Annual Report of the Commission on the implementation of the July 2008 Assembly Declaration on the Sharm El-Sheikh Commitments for Accelerating the Achievement of Water and Sanitation Goals in Africa Assembly Decision (Assembly/AU/ Decl.1 (XI))

2022 AFRICA WATER AND SANITATION REPORT

LEVERAGING PARTNERSHIPS FOR ASSURING SUSTAINABLE WATER AVAILABILITY FOR ALL PURPOSES



AFRICAN UNION

Department of Agriculture, Rural Development, Blue Economy, and Sustainable Environment





Watch the video abstract of the report

© AMCOW 2022. African Ministers' Council on Water (AMCOW) 2022 ISBN: 978-978-796-793-5

African Ministers' Council on Water 11 T. Y. Danjuma St. Asokoro, Abuja, Nigeria Tel: +234 9096074166 https://amcow-online.org

Suggested citation: AMCOW, AUC (2022). 2022 Africa Water and Sanitation Report. Abuja, Nigeria.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This report was prepared by the African Ministers' Council on Water (AMCOW) which serves as the Working Group on Water and Sanitation of the Sub-Committee of the African Union Specialised Technical Committee on Agriculture, Rural Development, Water and Environment. The African Development Bank, through the Africa Water Facility, provided the financial and technical support for a second phase of a project aimed to strengthen the African Water and Sanitation Monitoring and Reporting System (WASSMO).

AMCOW sincerely appreciates all the 44 Member States that submitted data and all the partners that supported the process of developing this report.



AFRICAN UNION

Department of Agriculture, Rural Development, Blue Economy, and Sustainable Environment

THE AFRICAN UNION SPECIALISED TECHNICAL COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE. RURAL DEVELOPMENT. WATER AND ENVIRONMENT

The African Union Specialized Technical Committee on Agriculture, Rural Development, Water, and Environment (STC on ARDWE) is a committee of the African Union that is responsible for promoting the sustainable development and management of natural resources on the African continent. The STC on ARDWE works to develop and implement policies and programs related to agriculture, rural development, water, and the environment. It has a mandate to coordinate and harmonize the efforts of Member States, regional economic communities, and other stakeholders in the areas of its policies and programs.

THE AFRICAN UNION COMMISSION DIRECTORATE OF SUSTAINABLE ENVIRONMENT AND THE BLUE ECONOMY

The African Union Commission (AUC) Directorate of Sustainable Environment and the Blue Economy (AUC-SEBE) is a unit within the AUC that is responsible for promoting the sustainable development and management of the environment and the blue economy in Africa. The AUC-SEBE works to develop and implement policies, programs, and initiatives that support the sustainable use of natural resources, protect the environment, and promote economic growth on the African continent. The AUC-SEBE plays a key role in supporting the implementation of the African Union's Agenda 2063, which is a long-term vision for the development of the African continent.

THE AFRICAN MINISTERS' COUNCIL ON WATER


Formed in 2002, the African Ministers' Council on Water (AMCOW) is an autonomous African intergovernmental body which provides a coordinating platform for water policy dialogue on the African continent and promotes sustainable management and development of the continent's water resources, with a focus on expanding access to water supply and sanitation services in Africa. AMCOW serves as the working group on water and sanitation of the Specialized Technical Committee on Agriculture, Rural Development, Water and Environment of the African Union Commission as part of its responsibilities. At the African Union Heads of State and Government Summit of July 2008, the AMCOW Secretariat was mandated to annually report on progress achieved in implementing the African Union's commitments which were made in the 2008 Sharm El-Sheikh Commitments on water and sanitation. Several other international agreements need to be considered within this report, including the Africa Water Vision 2025, the Africa Agenda 2063, as well as the global Sustainable Development Goals' targets on clean water and sanitation.



FOREWORD



H.E. MACKY SALL President of Republic of Senegal and and Chair of the African Union, 2022



H.E. HAGE GEINGOB President of the Republic of Namibia and Patron of AMCOW 2021 – 2023

Water and sanitation are critical to Africa's development aspirations, given their direct impact on all basic social and economic indicators. Water influences every sector in any economy. Direct use of water in the extraction and production sectors results in demand for such services as finance, insurance, real estate, public administration, technology, transportation, and trade. Economic activity creates jobs, boosts labour productivity, and increases prosperity, well-being, peace and security. Environmentally, the underdevelopment of water infrastructure translates into inadequate resilience to climate, health, and food system shocks and compromised capabilities to mitigate water-related disaster risks. It, therefore, follows that the effectiveness of both water management and water, sanitation and hygiene services provision should benefit economic growth and social transformation. In essence, success in ensuring water security is a critical influencing factor on the performance of the other sectors of the economy.

This is what constitutes the utility of the Annual Report of the Commission on the implementation of the July 2008 Assembly Declaration on the Sharm El Sheikh Commitments for Accelerating the Achievement of Water and Sanitation Goals in Africa (Assembly/AU/ Decl.1 (XI)). The African Water and Sanitation Sector Monitoring (WASSMO) System is used to track Africa's progress in achieving the targets of continental and global commitments on water and sanitation. These include the Africa Water Vision 2025, the Ngor Commitments on sanitation and hygiene, and Sustainable Development Goal 6. Accordingly, the WASSMO reports and the information from Member States' self-assessments offer an opportunity to measure the extent to which Africa's development agenda is being realised.

Limited progress on ensuring equitable availability of water for all purposes and sanitation for all is an indicator of economic production and labour productivity constraints.

Underdevelopment of water infrastructure translates into inadequate resilience to climate, health, and food system shocks and compromised capabilities to mitigate water-related disaster risks.

Challenges of ineffective water governance systems and management structures allude to missed opportunities to release water's full socio-economic development potential. As such, this 'barometer function' of the reports establishes their intrinsic value to the decision-making processes of the African Union Assembly of the Heads of State and Government. The report provides invaluable evidence-based policy formulation, implementation, and advisory support.

The 2022 Report on Implementing the July 2008 Sharm el-Sheikh Declaration (Assembly/AU/ Decl.1 (XI)) has been contributed to by 44 Member States through conducting self-assessments. It indicates that, as a continent, Africa is off-track to achieve the agreed-upon goals on water and sanitation. Therefore, there is an urgent need to revitalise efforts to optimise the socio-economic development potential of Africa's water resources for well-being, industrialisation, job creation, trade, and regional integration.

The Dakar Declaration "A Blue Deal for Water Security and Sanitation for Peace and Development" provides an appropriate framework for the required interventions. As an outcome of the successful 9th World Water Forum hosted by the Republic of Senegal in March 2022, the Blue Deal appropriately reflects the aspirations of the global water community. Its action points respond to Africa's ambition to actualise the Africa Water Vision 2025, as well as achieving the goals of the Ngor Commitments; the African Union Agenda 2063; the African Development Bank's High Five Priorities; and the Sustainable Development Goals.

Indeed, a key and lasting contribution of the implementation of the Blue Deal will be its ability to inform ongoing processes to formulate the post-2025 Africa Water Vision.

It is, consequently, imperative that all Member States actively utilise the WASSMO system to evaluate the degree to which the targets of the Africa Water Vision 2025 have been achieved. The information gathered, guided by the focus areas of the Blue Deal, will be used to transition from the African Water Vision 2025 to the post-2025 era. Additionally, partnerships need to be built, institutions need to be strengthened and resources need to be mobilised in order to implement the recommendations of this 2022 Africa Water and Sanitation Sector Report. Key among these is to develop and support the implementation of a capacity development programme to strengthen Member States' knowledge and information systems for evidence-based policy formulation, implementation and advisory support on water and sanitation.

H.E. Macky Sall, President of Republic of Senegal and and Chair of the African Union, 2022 H.E. Hage Geingob, President of the Republic of Namibia and Patron of AMCOW 2021 – 2023

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

| AfDB | African Development Bank |
|----------|---|
| ARDWE | Agriculture, Rural Development, Water and Environment |
| ASPG | African Sanitation Policy Guidelines |
| AU | African Union |
| AWF | Africa Water Facility |
| AWV 2025 | Africa Water Vision 2025 |
| CSO | Civil Society Organisation |
| FAO | Food and Agriculture Organization |
| GDP | Gross domestic product |
| GIZ | German Corporation for International Cooperation |
| IMI-SDG | UN Water Integrated Monitoring Initiative for SDG 6 |
| ODA | Official Development Assistance |
| PANAFCON | Pan-African Implementation and Partnership Conference |
| PIDA | Program for Infrastructure Development |
| SDG | Sustainable Development Goal |
| STC | Specialised Technical Committee |
| UN | United Nations |
| UNECA | United Nations Economic Commission for Africa |
| WASH | Water, Sanitation and Hygiene |
| WASSMO | Water and Sanitation Sector Monitoring |
| WSSD | World Summit on Sustainable Development |




Photo: Close-up view of person washing hands, © freepik, <u>www.freepik.com</u>

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY



H.E. JOSEFA LEONEL CORREIA SACKO Commissioner for Agriculture, Rural Development, Blue Economy and Sustainable Development, African Union Commission.



HON. CARL HERMANN GUSTAV SCHLETTWEIN, MP

Minister for Agriculture, Water and Land Reform, Republic of Namibia and AMCOW President 2021 – 2023

The policy recommendations of this 2022 edition of the report are based on the analysis of information from the self-assessments of 44 Member States. The status of the Member States' progress towards the vision of a water-secure Africa can be summarised according to key thematic areas:

1. Sector financing: A significant increase in sanitation and hygiene investment was observed during the Covid-19 pandemic, rising on average from 0.1% to 0.25% of GDP in the 2021 and 2022 reports. It remains to be seen if these levels of sector funding will be sustained by the individual Member States going forward. Overall, however, this proportion of funding is still significantly lower than the target of 0.5% of GDP being allocated to water, sanitation, and hygiene services, thus indicating incommensurate sector financing to assure achievement of Africa's sustainable development agenda.

By extension, budgetary allocations for water information management, education, research, and capacity building are also lower than planned. These implications are challenging for Member States' capabilities to effectively plan for water resources development, utilisation, and management under circumstances of climate change and climate variability.

2. Water supply, sanitation, hygiene, and wastewater: In the same reporting period, a notable improvement is observed in the proportion of the African population with access to safely managed water and sanitation. That said, the continent is still significantly off-track to achieve the related SDG6 targets. At the current rate of progress, Africa will not achieve universal access to safe water and safely managed sanitation until 2085.

Of particular concern is the current regression away from prior gains relating to Open-Defecation-Free status. A continent-wide increase of 6% in the practice of open defecation was observed over the reporting period. If this remains unchecked, it is likely that many gains will be reversed in the near future; a state of affairs that would contradict the Ngor Commitments' target to fully eradicate open defecation practice by 2030. The pursuit of accelerating delivery of inclusive, sustainable, and resilient water, sanitation and hygiene services in Africa cannot be overemphasised.

3. Water infrastructure for growth: A positive trend was observed in Member States' efforts to realise Aspiration 1 of Agenda 2063: "A prosperous Africa based on inclusive growth and sustainable development". Infrastructure development to assure energy and food security in the continent is on the rise. Indeed, up to 20% of Africa's economically viable hydropower potential was harnessed in the period 2015 to 2020. This progress is matched by the development of regional power pools, in part aimed at building a critical mass of distributed power generation capacity.

Similarly, at continent level, tremendous progress is reported on irrigable land brought under irrigation since 2000 in line with the related target of the Africa Water Vision 2025. The achievement though is attributable to Member States including Namibia, Nigeria, Senegal, and Somalia. Elsewhere, moderate gains have been registered which leaves much of the continent still exposed to the risks to food and nutrition security associated with rainfed agriculture, climate change, climate variability and the Russia-Ukraine conflicts.

4. Inadequate information is provided by the majority of the reporting Member States on parameters that include water stress, water use efficiency across all sectors, and ambient water quality. As a result, reporting on progress on the commitments under the themes on **Managing and protecting water resources** and **Climate change and disaster risk management** is indeterminate. By inference, it is imperative to strengthen capacity – particularly at Member States' level – to generate authoritative information on the multi-sector benefits of water resources management to inform decision-making processes.

5. Governance and institutions: Member States reported tremendous progress towards achieving effective transboundary water governance through consensual and joint establishment of transboundary water institutions at the regional level. In addition, the principles of Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM) are becoming ever more prominent in Africa. This is exemplified by the formulation of policies, improved management instruments, and increased measures for financing transboundary cooperation, as well as ensuring broad stakeholder participation at all levels. Subsequently, the institutional capacity to assure water security in Africa seems to be on the rise.

Nonetheless, Member States noted a challenge relating to operationalising and implementing management instruments and institutions. This is reflected in the data, which indicated limited progress on the matter.

The challenge, though, is noted in respect of operationalising and or implementing the management instruments and institutions, on which available information indicates limited progress.

To add new impetus to our approaches to deliver on the promise of water security and safely managed sanitation, the following interventions are prioritised.

1. For the period 2022/3 – 2024/5, a multi-partner collaboration has been arranged to strengthen levels of reporting on the WASSMO System, particularly on the Africa Water Vision 2025 and SDG6. The collaboration aims to operationalise National Coordination Platforms (NCPs) as a first step to strengthening the System for effective reporting at continental and global level. Not only will this support improve the completeness and reliability of available information, but it will also identify which urgent capacity development requirements need to be addressed.

The key entry point for the success of this collaboration is to institutionalise inter-sectoral coordination mechanisms for information gathering, validation and vetting by national statistics agencies prior to reporting on continental and global commitments.

2. The second aspect of the intervention will be to conduct, in 2023, a needs assessment of Member States' capacity development requirements will be conducted to achieve an effective WASSMO System at all levels. For the needs assessment, six Member States – at least one from each of the African Union's five regions – will be sampled. The pilot studies will be used to determine systemic and institutional requirements, including technology and human capital. The results of the studies will inform a medium-term programme to strengthen WASSMO capacity at the Member States level to support decision-making processes. In addition, the outputs will guide the prioritisation of targeted, fundamental-change trigger actions for operationalizing NCPs in at least 15 Member States by 2026. The NCPs – while initially focusing on the WASSMO reporting cycle – will provide high-potential entry points for wider sector policy strengthening and implementation. This will be emphasised in AMCOW's contribution to Member States' actions to operationalize the NCPs.

3. Work with Member States to establish baselines and capture as much information as possible into the WASSMO System. This is based on the fact that during the 2022 reporting period, the progress of a significant number of Member States was indeterminate across all thematic areas. This is partly because baseline data on some targets is yet to be officially reported by some Member States. As such, the information on the current situation is inadequate as an indicator of the progress made by the Member States. Another missing element is live information on the prevailing situation as the actions of a commitment come into effect. As such, AMCOW, in collaboration with Member States and mandated UN Agencies reporting on SDG 6, will focus on filling in data gaps in the WASSMO System. The activity will take a long-term approach to identifying and addressing capacity constraints at Member States level for:

- (a) data collection and storage;
- (b) information generation and accessibility;
- (c) utilisation of information to support decision-making processes and policy advocacy; and,
- (d) inter-sectoral coordination mechanisms and functionality of NCPs.

4. Target mobilisation of 50 Member States to provide information for the 2023 WASSMO reporting cycle, building on the results from the activities to establish baselines. The intent is to gather as much information as necessary to form a representative picture of the actual progress towards actualising the Africa Water Vision 2025. It is desired that the 2023 edition of the

WASSMO Report will form part of the basis for informing the outcome of the post-2025 Africa Water Vision, in the context of Africa Agenda 2063. As such, all partners, including RECs, RLBOs, the private sector and government agencies will be engaged subsequently to deepen and improve the quality of data submitted to AMCOW. Furthermore, Member States need more capacity building for data collection and reporting into the WASSMO system. The AUC in collaboration with AMCOW will set the approach, mechanisms, processes, and roadmap to advance preparation for the post 2025 Africa Water Vision framework. This will ensure that the technical proposals lead to a post-2025 Vision, the pursuit of which will address the real challenges to water security in Africa. More importantly, the action framework should release the potential of Africa's water resources to drive and sustain Africa's aspirations for social transformation, economic growth, trade, peace and security.

- 5. Conduct a multi-level dialogue process to:
 - (a) assure broad and active stakeholder input into the prioritisation of the technical proposals and articulation of the action framework of the post-2025 Africa Water Vision;
 - (b) offer high-level political ownership of the Vision across stakeholders and, in turn, generate active commitment for its actualisation. Here, emphasis will be placed on making a business case for the water and sanitation economy as an essential success factor of national fiscal policy; and,
 - (c) ensure that the post-2025 Africa Water Vision is aligned to and adopted as an implementation framework of Agenda 2063.

6. Facilitate experiential learning and sharing of proven solutions through reverse linkage programmes among Member States. The various programmes on different thematic areas will create opportunities for Member States who are facing challenges to learn from the experience of others who have demonstrated positive progress on the topic. Doing so, empirical information on success factors, encountered issues, lessons learnt, approaches and methodologies can be shared among the Member States. The programmes will provide support for experiential learning and analysis of success factors for adoption to the unique circumstances of beneficiary Member States. In addition, a key expected outcome will be the operationalisation of Member States-led communities of practice (CoP) linked to the AMCOW Knowledge Hub.

7. The positive progress to-date has been a result of the involvement of a variety of stakeholders. Much of the work undertaken has been thanks to the invaluable support from the African Development Bank, through their African Water Facility, and a host of technical and implementing partners. These include the various UN-Water Agencies mandated to report on SDG 6. The contributions of Member States, partners and stakeholders made the preparation of this 2022 edition of the Africa Water and Sanitation Sector Monitoring report possible. We acknowledge, with appreciation, the commitment by all stakeholders to leverage partnerships for assuring sustainable water availability for all purposes and access for all to safely managed sanitation.

H.E. Ambassador Josefa Leonel Correia Sacko, Commissioner for Agriculture, Rural Development, Blue Economy and Sustainable Development, African Union Commission. Hon. Carl-Hermann Gustav Schlettwein, MP, Minister for Agriculture, Water and Land Reform, Republic of Namibia and AMCOW President

| ACKN | NOWLEDGEMENT | 1 |
|-------|---|-------|
| FORE | WORD | . I V |
| ACRO | ONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS | . V I |
| EXEC | CUTIVE SUMMARY | / |
| TABL | E OF CONTENTS | XII |
| LIST | OF FIGURES | < V I |
| LIST | OF TABLES | (VI |
| 1. IN | NTRODUCTION | 2 |
| | 1.1 SIGNIFICANCE OF WATER AND SANITATION IN AFRICA'S DEVELOPMENT | |
| | A G E N D A | . 2 |
| | 1.2 WATER AND SANITATION SITUATION ACROSS AFRICA | . 3 |
| | 1.3 ABOUT THIS REPORT | . 4 |
| | 1.4 PREPARATION OF THE 2022 REPORT | . 6 |
| | 1.5 MAIN FINDINGS OF THE REPORT | . 8 |
| 2. M | IEMBER STATES' REPORTED PROGRESS | 10 |
| 2.1 | THEME 1: FINANCING | 10 |
| | 2.1.1 KEY MESSAGES: | 14 |
| | 2.1.2 PERCENTAGE OF GDP ALLOCATED TO SANITATION AND HYGIENE | 16 |
| | 2.1.3 NATIONAL BUDGET ALLOCATED TO WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, AND | |
| | HYGIENE | 16 |
| | 2.1.4 FINANCING OF WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION AND HYGIENE SERVICES THAT | - |
| | COMES THROUGH OFFICIAL DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE | 18 |
| | 2.1.5 APPLICATION OF PRO-POOR STRATEGIES | 18 |
| | 2.1.6 FINANCING WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT | 10 |

| | 2.1.7 NON-GOVERNMENT FINANCING OF WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, AND | |
|-----|--|-------|
| | HYGIENE | . 22 |
| | 2.1.8 SYNTHESIS | . 22 |
| 2.2 | THEME 2: WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE AND WASTEWATER | 24 |
| | 2.2.1 KEY MESSAGES: | . 24 |
| | 2.2.2 POPULATION WITH SAFELY MANAGED DRINKING WATER SERVICES | . 28 |
| | 2.2.3 ACCESS TO SAFELY MANAGED SANITATION SERVICES | .30 |
| | 2.2.4 SANITATION IN SCHOOLS WITH DUE CONSIDERATION OF THE NEEDS OF | |
| | GIRLS | . 32 |
| | 2.2.5 OPEN DEFECATION | . 33 |
| | 2.2.6 POPULATION WITH HANDWASHING FACILITIES WITH SOAP AND WATER | ΑT |
| | НОМЕ | . 34 |
| | 2.2.7 WASTEWATER TREATMENT | .36 |
| | 2.2.8 SYNTHESIS | .36 |
| 2.3 | THEMES 3 AND 4: WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH, AND MANAG | NG |
| AND |) PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | 38 |
| 2.4 | THEME 5: CLIMATE CHANGE AND DISASTER RISK REDUCTION | 40 |
| | 2.4.1 KEY MESSAGES: | .40 |
| | 2.4.2 CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION AND MITIGATION MEASURES | . 43 |
| | 2.4.3 LOSS AND DAMAGE | . 4 4 |
| | 2.4.4 SYNTHESIS | . 4 5 |
| 2.5 | THEME 6: GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | 46 |
| | 2.5.1 KEY MESSAGES: | .46 |
| | 2.5.2. IWRM IMPLEMENTATION: GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | . 47 |
| | 2.5.3. GENDER MAINSTREAMING IN ENABLING ENVIRONMENT | . 52 |

| | 2.5.4. COOPERATION ON TRANSBOUNDARY WATER MANAGEMENT | . 53 |
|-------|---|--|
| | 2.5.5 SYNTHESIS | . 54 |
| 2.6 | THEME 7: INFORMATION MANAGEMENT AND CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT | 56 |
| | 2.6.1 KEY MESSAGES: | . 56 |
| | 2.6.2 WASSMO CAPACITY AT THE MEMBER STATES LEVEL | . 57 |
| | 2.6.3 FUNDING FOR EDUCATION AND RESEARCH | . 61 |
| | 2.6.4 SYNTHESIS | . 61 |
| 3.0 | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 62 |
| | 3.1 CONCLUSIONS | . 62 |
| | 3.2 KEY RECOMMENDATIONS | . 64 |
| | 3.3 NEXT STEPS | . 66 |
| | 3.4 CASE STUDIES FROM MEMBER STATES | . 69 |
| | | 70 |
| AININ | EXES | . / Z |
| | EX 1: TECHNICAL NOTE ON MODEL | |
| ANN | EX 1: TECHNICAL NOTE ON MODEL | . 72 |
| ANN | | .72 .73 |
| ANN | EX 1: TECHNICAL NOTE ON MODEL EX 2: MEMBER STATES SCORECARDS | .72 .73 74 |
| ANN | EX 1: TECHNICAL NOTE ON MODEL EX 2: MEMBER STATES SCORECARDS ANGOLA | .72 .73 74 76 |
| ANN | EX 1: TECHNICAL NOTE ON MODEL EX 2: MEMBER STATES SCORECARDS ANGOLA BENIN | . 72 . 73 74 76 78 |
| ANN | EX 1: TECHNICAL NOTE ON MODEL EX 2: MEMBER STATES SCORECARDS ANGOLA BENIN BOTSWANA | . 72 . 73 74 76 78 80 |
| ANN | EX 1: TECHNICAL NOTE ON MODEL EX 2: MEMBER STATES SCORECARDS ANGOLA BENIN BOTSWANA BURKINA FASO | .72 .73 74 76 78 80 82 |
| ANN | EX 1: TECHNICAL NOTE ON MODEL EX 2: MEMBER STATES SCORECARDS ANGOLA BENIN BOTSWANA BURKINA FASO CAMEROON | . 72 . 73 74 76 78 80 82 84 |
| ANN | EX 1: TECHNICAL NOTE ON MODEL EX 2: MEMBER STATES SCORECARDS ANGOLA BENIN BOTSWANA BURKINA FASO CAMEROON CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC. | . 72 . 73 74 76 80 82 84 |
| ANN | EX 1: TECHNICAL NOTE ON MODEL EX 2: MEMBER STATES SCORECARDS ANGOLA BENIN BOTSWANA BUTSWANA BURKINA FASO CAMEROON CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC. CHAD | . 72 . 73 74 76 80 82 84 86 88 |
| ANN | EX 1: TECHNICAL NOTE ON MODEL EX 2: MEMBER STATES SCORECARDS ANGOLA BENIN BOTSWANA BURKINA FASO CAMEROON CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC CHAD COMOROS | . 72 . 73 74 76 80 88 88 88 90 |
| ANN | EX 1: TECHNICAL NOTE ON MODEL EX 2: MEMBER STATES SCORECARDS ANGOLA BENIN BOTSWANA BURKINA FASO CAMEROON CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC CHAD COMOROS COTE D'IVOIRE | . 72 . 73 74 76 80 88 80 82 84 84 88 90 92 |
| ANN | EX 1: TECHNICAL NOTE ON MODEL EX 2: MEMBER STATES SCORECARDS ANGOLA BENIN BOTSWANA BURKINA FASO CAMEROON CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC CHAD COMOROS COTE D'IVOIRE. DR CONGO | . 72 . 73 74 76 78 80 88 80 82 84 84 88 90 92 94 |
| ANN | EX 1: TECHNICAL NOTE ON MODEL EX 2: MEMBER STATES SCORECARDS. ANGOLA BENIN BOTSWANA BURKINA FASO CAMEROON CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC. CHAD COMOROS COTE D'IVOIRE. DR CONGO EGYPT. | . 72 . 73 74 76 78 80 88 80 82 84 80 82 90 92 94 96 |

| | GHANA | 102 |
|-----|---|-------|
| | GUINEA | 104 |
| | GUINEA BISSAU | 106 |
| | KENYA | 108 |
| | LESOTH0 | . 110 |
| | LIBERIA | . 112 |
| | LIBYA | . 114 |
| | MADAGASCAR | .116 |
| | MALAWI | . 118 |
| | MALI | 120 |
| | MAURITANIA | 122 |
| | MOZAMBIQUE | 124 |
| | NAMIBIA | 126 |
| | NIGER | 128 |
| | NIGERIA | 130 |
| | RWANDA | 132 |
| | SAO TOME ET PRINCIPE | 134 |
| | SENEGAL | 136 |
| | SEYCHELLES | 138 |
| | SIERRA LEONE | 140 |
| | SOMALIA | 142 |
| | SOUTH AFRICA | 144 |
| | SOUTH SUDAN | 146 |
| | SUDAN | 148 |
| | TANZANIA | 150 |
| | T0G0 | 152 |
| | TUNISIA | 154 |
| | UGANDA | 156 |
| | ZAMBIA | 158 |
| | ZIMBABWE | 160 |
| ANN | EX 3: KEY PROGRESS CHARACTERISTICS | 162 |
| ANN | EX 4: GLOSSARY OF POLITICAL COMMITMENTS | 163 |
| ANN | EX 5: CONTRIBUTORS | 165 |

LIST OF FIGURES

7 ... FIGURE 1 : Extent of the updates carried out by individual Member States to their available information in the WASSMO System, WAS-SMO 2022

11 ... FIGURE 2 : Member States' reported progress on relevant parameters of the Financing theme

17 ... FIGURE 3 : Budget allocation to WASH sub-sectors, 2022

21 ... FIGURE 4 : Individual Member States' reported degree of implementation (%) of financing water resources development and management

25 ... FIGURE 5 : Member States' reported progress on relevant parameters of Theme 2.

29 ... FIGURE 6 : Individual Member States' reported percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services

31 ... FIGURE 7 : Individual Member States' Safely managed sanitation service coverage level.

34 ... FIGURE 8 : Individual Member States' reported percentage of population practicing open defecation

35 ... FIGURE 9 : Individual Member States' reported percentage of population with hand-washing facilities with soap and water at home

41 ... FIGURE 10 : Member States' reported progress on relevant parameters of Theme 5

49 ... FIGURE 11 : Individual Member States' reported degree of implementation of enabling environments at all levels

50 ... FIGURE 12 : Individual Member States' reported degree of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels (I-6.2a)

51 ... FIGURE 13 : Correlation between establishment and reform of institution and implementation of management instrument (2022)

52 ... FIGURE 14 : Individual Member States' reported percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws, and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration.

59 ... FIGURE 15 : Individual Member States' reported degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting

60 ... FIGURE 16 : Individual Member States' reported proportion of African monitoring and reporting

66 ... FIGURE 17 : Road map to the adoption of the post-2025 Africa Water Vision

162 ... FIGURE 18 : Reported Progress of Member States grouped by WASSMO Theme

162 ... FIGURE 19 : Reported Progress of Member States grouped by WASSMO Theme

LIST OF TABLES

6 ... TABLE 1 : Reporting against the WASS-MO Indicators by Member States

12 ... TABLE 2 : Member States' Reported

Progress against the Performance Indices of the Financing Theme

16 ... TABLE 3 : Reported progress with respect

to percentage of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene (Indicator 1.1c)

17 ... TABLE 4 : Reported progress with respect to percentage of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation, and hygiene (Indicator 1.2d)

18 ... TABLE 5 : Reported progress with respect to total financing of WASH that comes through ODA (Indicator 1.3)

19 ... TABLE 6 : Reported progress with respect to the application of pro-poor strategies (Indicator 1.4)

19 ... TABLE 7 : Reported progress with respect to the degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management (Indicator 1.5)

22 ... TABLE 8 : Reported progress with respect to degree of non-government financing of WASH (Indicator 1.6)

26 ... TABLE 9 : Member States' Reported Progress against the Performance Indices of the Water Supply, Sanitation and Wastewater theme

28 ... TABLE 10 : Reported progress with respect to population with safely managed drinking water services (Indicator 2.1f)

30 ... TABLE 11 : IReported progress with respect to population with safely managed sanitation services (Indicator 2.2f)

32 ... TABLE 12 : Reported progress with respect to primary and secondary schools with a school sanitation program under which needs of girls are taken into consideration (Indicator 2.3)

33 ... TABLE 13 : Reported progress with respect to percentage of population practicing open defecation (Indicator 2.4c)

34 ... TABLE 14 : Reported progress with respect of percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (Indicator 2.5c)

36 ... TABLE 15 : Reported progress with respect to percentage of wastewater not safely treated (Indicator 2.6)

39 ... TABLE 16 : Indicator Framework for tracking changes in development of Water Infrastructure for Growth; and Managing and Protecting Water Resources

42 ... TABLE 17 : Member States' reported progress against the performance indices of the climate change and disaster risk reduction theme

43 ... TABLE 18 : Reported progress with respect to the degree of implementation of climate change adaptation (Indicator 5.1)

44 ... TABLE 19 : Reported progress with respect to number of deaths, missing persons and persons affected by relating to water-related disaster and direct economic loss from water-related disasters (Indicator 5.2a and 5.2b)

47 ... TABLE 20 : Reported progress with respect to the IWRM Implementation - SDG 6.5.1 Governance and Institutions

53 ... TABLE 21 : Progress of Member States with respect to the area of transboundary water basins with an operational arrangement, 2022

57 ... TABLE 22 : Reported progress with respect to the establishment of national monitoring and reporting system (Indicator 7.1a and 7.1b)

61 ... TABLE 23 : Reported Progress with respect to the amount of funds disbursed to education and research (Indicator 7.2b)



INTRODUCTION

1.1 SIGNIFICANCE OF WATER AND SANITATION IN AFRICA'S DEVELOPMENT AGENDA

Over the past five decades, human development efforts across the world have put an emphasis on providing access to water and sanitation to all, due to their various benefits for reducing inequalities, alleviating poverty, promoting well-being, and protecting people's dignity. Beyond water's essential role as drinking water for maintaining healthy biological function and usage in sanitation, it is a necessity for a multitude of economic sectors: energy, agriculture, fisheries and transport, to name a few. Water is therefore a pre-requisite, enabling factor and outcome of nearly all of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Conversely, the use of contaminated water and poor sanitation levels have severe negative social and economic impacts, placing a huge burden on people's health and on national budgets. Accordingly, depending on the country and region, the economic benefits of investing in clean water and sanitation have been estimated to range from US\$3 to US\$34 for each dollar spent (AfriDev.Info, 2020¹). The proper management of water resources is, subsequently, central to the efforts of any Member State for eradicating poverty, promoting peace and prosperity, and boosting socio-economic development.

¹ AfriDev.Info 2020. Combined Global and African Ranking - 25 Country Populations with the Least Sustainable Access to Improved / Clean Water Sources. Online article accessed on January 3, 2020, at: <u>https://www.who.int/pmnch/media/news/2012/201205_africa_scorecard.pdf</u> Photo: Closeup shot of peaceful calming textures of the body of water, © wirestock, www.freepik.com

1.2 WATER AND SANITATION SITUATION ACROSS AFRICA

A great deal needs to be done to improve access to potable water and safely managed sanitation services in Africa. The analysis of data collected for this report indicates that:

- i. over 400 million people in Africa do not have access to potable water
- ii. approximately 800 million people in Africa do not have access to safely managed sanitation and basic hygiene services
- iii. 18% of the African population still practice open defecation

These statistics are clearly not aligned with the African Union (AU) Agenda 2063, SDGs or the African 2025 Water Vision. This is exacerbated by the fact that demand for water is on the rise across the African continent, leading to increased environmental degradation and greater susceptibility to the impacts of climate variability and change. In turn, a crisis of deepening water scarcity and food and energy insecurity is already manifesting in a significant number of Member States.

This natural resource, upon which millions of Africans depend for their livelihood, is under threat.

AFRICAN CONTINENTAL COMMITMENTS ON WATER AND SANITATION

Africa Water Vision 2025: Aims to achieve sustainable water availability for all purposes in Africa by 2025. The African Water Vision focuses on the management and protection of water resources, as well as the development of infrastructure and services to improve access to water and sanitation. It considers the impacts of climate change and variability on water resources and calls for the integration of climate change adaptation measures into water management policies and practices.

AfricaSan Ngor Commitments: Works to achieve universal access to safely managed sanitation and hygiene by 2030. This calls for increased investment and political commitment to improve access to safely managed sanitation and hygiene services, and the development of policies and programs to promote behavior change and community-led approaches. It was adopted by the African Ministers responsible for water and sanitation in 2015.

eThekwini Declaration: A commitment made by the African Ministers Council on Water and the African Union Commission in 2007, during the 3rd World Water Forum in Durban, South Africa. The Declaration aims to promote the sustainable development and management of water resources in Africa, and to support the implementation of the Africa Water Vision 2025.

PANAECON: The Pan-African Implementation and Partnership Conference is a platform for stakeholders across Africa to come together and discuss the implementation of sustainable water management policies and strategies on the continent. The conference promotes collaboration and partnership among governments, private sector, civil society organizations, and development partners to address the water challenges facing Africa.

Sharm El-Sheikh Declaration: Calls for increased investment and political commitment to improve access to water, sanitation, and hygiene services, as well as the development of policies and programs to promote behavior change and community-led approaches. It was adopted by the Head of State and Government of the African Union in 2008.

1.3 ABOUT THIS REPORT

All data and analysis presented in the report is based on information provided by 44 Member States of the African Union (AU).

The progress of Member States relates to the extent to which they are on-track to achieve certain targets. The model used for the analysis is a formula for calculating the time required to reach a target level of output (Y*) given an initial output level (YLst), a list of times (tLst), and the average rate of growth (r-bar). The approach taken does not involve normalising data, but rather is a direct use of data for estimating the exact year of attaining the indicator of a target if current growth rate continues.

This methodology follows the lead of the United Nations Development Programme, the Asian Development Bank and the United Nation Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific joint study².

Two different estimation models were used during data analysis, depending on whether an indicator is decreasing or increasing:

| For decreasing indicators | For increasing indicators |
|---|---------------------------------|
| t* = tLst + {LOG(Y*/YLst)} / {LOG(1+r-bar)} | t* = tLst + {Y* - YLst} / q-bar |

The inputs required for the model are:

- t* is the year by which a country is expected to reach its target (AWV2025, SDGs, AfricaSan Ngor, etc) if the trend continued
- tLst is the last year with data available
- Y* is the target value of the indicator
- YLst is the latest value available
- r-bar is the average rate of growth.

Additional information on the model is available in **Annex 1**.

² "The Millennium Development Goals: Progress in Asia and the Pacific 2007".

Based on the rate of progress between the baseline year and the reporting year, as well as factoring in the benchmark for the reporting year, an estimate is provided on the year in which a Member State is expected to achieve a given target.

Member States' progress is classified as on-track when the estimated year of achieving the target is equal to or less than its respective target year, which is 2030 for SDGs, 2025 for the Africa Water Vision 2025 (AWV2025), and the milestones of international commitments such as the eThekwini and Ngor Declarations. Member States' progress is classified as off-track if the projected year of achieving a given target is greater than its target year.

Under each theme, the results of the analysis are grouped into four categories:

Early achiever: The Member State meets or has met the target ahead of the target year.

On-track: The Member State is progressing toward meeting the target.

Off-track: The Member State is unlikely to meet the target.

Progress Indeterminate: This lists the Member States into two sub-groups as follows:

- Member States' information not accessed.
- Baseline for the target is yet to be established.

Additionally, a map and country scorecards (see: **Annex 2**) illustrate the status of each Member State regarding their progress on each indicator. A summary of key messages and synthesis for each indicator is also provided here.

This report is organized into two sections as follows:

- Section 1 presents the analysis and trends emerging from the information collected from the 44 Member States.
- Section 2 offers conclusions and recommendations.
- The report also has annexes containing technical notes and tables of key data.

1.4 PREPARATION OF THE 2022 REPORT

Prior to reporting, four subregional training workshops were held to provide national focal points with the skills and understanding needed to manage their national reporting teams and submit data to the Water and Sanitation Sector Monitoring and Reporting System (WASSMO). These took place:

- 4-6 May 2022 in Gaborone, Botswana (Southern Africa)
- 10-12 May 2022 in Cotonou, Benin (West and Central Africa)
- 14-17 May 2022 in Cairo, Egypt (North and East Africa)
- 20-22 June 2022 in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia (special training)

More than 100 data focal points from 50 Member States attended the workshops (with exceptions from Cabo Verde, Mauritius, Algeria, Morocco, and the Saharawi Arab Democratic Republic). Following the training, the 2022 data collection campaign was launched by AMCOW in May and ended on 31st July 2022. To allow for more data inputs, the deadline was extended several times, with the latest extension being 20th September 2022.

Before commencing the data analysis phase, a month-long process of quality assurance took place to clean the data and remove any unusable information. This was conducted by both AMCOW and individual Member States.

Overall, a total of 44 Member States (80% of AU Member States) participated in the 2022 round of the WASSMO data campaign. Seven Member States provided complete data (Cote d'Ivoire, Kenya, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, South Africa, and Togo), representing 16% of the total 44 Member States. 24 Member States provided more than 50% of requested data.

| Proportion of WASSMO Indicators reported against | # of countries | % | Country name |
|---|-------------------|------|--|
| [0%, <=25%] | 5 | 11.4 | Angola, Benin, Comoros, Guinea Bisau, Seychelles |
| [>25%, <=50%] | 15 | 34.1 | Chad, DR Congo, The Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Lesotho, Liberia, Libya, Mozambique, Sao Tome and Principes, Somalia, South Sudan, Tanzania, Tunisia, Zambia |
| [>50%, <=75%] | 17 | 38.6 | Botswana, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Central Africa Republic, Egypt, Eswatini, Gabon, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritania, Namibia, Rwanda, Sierra Leone, Sudan, Uganda, Zimbabwe |
| [>75%, <=100%] | 7 | 15.9 | Cote d'Ivoire, Kenya, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, South Africa, Togo |
| Total | 44 | 100 | |

Table 1: Reporting against the WASSMO Indicators by Member States

It should be emphasised here that the responsibility for and ownership of all data remain that of the Member States' Monitoring and Reporting teams.




Figure 1: Extent of the updates carried out by individual Member States to their available information in the WASSMO System, WASSMO 2022

1.5 MAIN FINDINGS OF THE REPORT

FINANCING

• Overall, Africa is not on-track to meet sector funding targets by 2025. However, there was an improvement in the year-on-year median value of the percentage of GDP allocated to water and sanitation from 0.1% to 0.25% between 2021-2022.

II. Four Member States (South Africa, Togo, Zimbabwe, and Senegal) reported having met the target of allocating at least 0.5% of GDP³ to the water and sanitation sector.

Five Member States (Namibia, South Africa, Togo, Senegal and Zimbabwe) reported allocating at least 5% of the national budget to water supply, sanitation, and hygiene as per the PANAFCON 2003, AMCOW 2008, Sharm El-Sheikh 2008 Declaration.

IV. There are still funding inequalities between sub-sectors of the water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) sector. Approximately 87% of all allocations to the WASH sector go towards water supply, 12% sanitation, and 1% hygiene.

V. Member States are not on-track to attain sustainable water supply, sanitation, and hygiene funding. On average, Official Development Assistance (ODA) accounts for 34% of WASH financing, with only five Member States (Eswatini, Nigeria, Togo, Senegal and Zimbabwe) attaining the target of ODA contribution accounting for less than 25% of total WASH sector funding.

VI. A moderate level of water tariffs takes into consideration the needs of the poor and vulnerable people. Eight Member States (Botswana, Côte d'Ivoire, Egypt, Ghana, Guinea, Niger, Uganda, and Zambia) applied water tariffs ranging from 80 to 100%, and so are on-track to achieve the target by 2030.

WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION. HYGIENE AND WASTEWATER

VII. The overall rate of Member States' progress is slower than required to achieve universal access to safe water supply, safely managed sanitation, and basic hygiene by 2030.

VIII. Coverage for safe drinking water services reaches 68.5% of the population of reporting Member States. 12 Member States – Botswana, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Côte d'Ivoire, Egypt, Gabon, Libya, Nigeria, Senegal, Tunisia, Uganda and DR Congo– are on-track to provide universal coverage by 2030.

IX. Delivery of safely managed sanitation services is generally improving in Africa, with tremendous progress reported by Zambia, Côte d'Ivoire, Egypt, Libya, and Senegal.

X. A worrisome trend is noted regarding eliminating open defecation in Africa by 2030. The information availed by Member States indicates that over 18.32% of the population still practice open defecation. It is only in South Africa, Rwanda, Tanzania, Zambia, DR Congo, Eswatini and Gabon where it is reported that open defecation has been brought down to less than 5% of the population.

³ By 2020, allocate at least 0.5% of GDP to sanitation and hygiene.



CLIMATE CHANGE AND DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT

XI. The implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures is improving in African Member States, with significant progress reported by Cameroon, Cote d'Ivoire, Nigeria, Namibia, and Uganda.

GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS

XII. The overall picture on Integrated Water Resource Management (IWRM) implementation across the Member States is generally satisfactory, with seven (Ghana, Rwanda, Niger, Tanzania, Uganda, Zimbabwe, and Mali) reporting very positive progress.

XIII. Information received from South Sudan, Comoros and Gabon indicates adoption of the IWRM approach into environmental decision-making, albeit with limited operationalisation across the country.

XIV. Member States have made moderately strong progress with respect to establishing enabling environments that support sustainable water resources management and development at all levels. Five Member States (Ghana, Namibia, Tanzania, Uganda and Zimbabwe) attained an 80% or higher level of implementation of enabling environments at national level, local government level and within water catchments.

XV. On ensuring that gender concerns are considered in the formulation of policy, law, and plans in all sectors of water and sanitation to create equity and equality, 28 Member States have recorded progress to varying degrees.

INFORMATION MANAGEMENT AND CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT

XVI. The degree of establishment of the national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO varies greatly across Member States. While some Member States have achieved a high level of establishment, others have not yet begun to establish a system.

XVII. Seven Member States (Burkina Faso, Ghana, Kenya, Mauritania, Niger, Senegal and Tanzania) reported establishing their national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO as per the AWV 2025, PANAFCON 2003, Ngor 2015 Declaration.

XVIII. Four Member States (Burkina Faso, Malawi, Mauritania, Rwanda) reported dedicating 5% of funding of the water and sanitation sector to support education and research activities.



2.1 THEME 1: FINANCING

This theme's focus is measuring the extent to which Member States have realised their financial commitments to the WASH sector. These derive from all major initiatives and declarations implemented by AU Member States. Inter alia, these are:

- i. Africa Water Vision 2025
- ii. Sharm El-Sheikh Commitments for Accelerating the Achievement of Water and Sanitation Goals in Africa 2008
- iii. eThekwini Declaration 2008
- iv. Ngor Declaration on Sanitation and Hygiene 2015
- v. the 2008 Ministerial Declaration on Accelerating Water Security for Africa's Socio-Economic Development

- vi. Pan-African Implementation, and Partnership Conference on Water (PANAFCON)
- vii. t2004 Sirte Declaration on the Challenges of Implementing Integrated and Sustainable Development in Agriculture and Water in Africa.

Figure 1 shows which Member States are on-track or off-track regarding meeting the financing targets under the SDGs and the Ngor Declaration. A heat map of the Member States' reported progress against the performance indices of Theme 1 is provided in Table 2.



Figure 2: Member States' reported progress on relevant parameters of the Financing theme

| | Country | Angola | Benin | Botswana | Burkina Faso | Cameroon | Central African Republic | Chad | Comoros | Côte d'Ivoire | DR Congo | Egypt | Eswatini | Gabon | The Gambia | Ghana | Guinea |
|--|---------|--------|-------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------------------|------|---------|---------------|----------|-------|----------|-------|------------|-------|--------|
| Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation I-1 | 1.1a | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent of GDP allocated to hygiene I-1 | l.1b | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | 1.1c | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation I-1 | 1.1d | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent of GDP disbursed to hygiene I-1 | 1.1e | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | 1.1f | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dereent of national budget allocated to water | 1.2a | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent of national budget allocated to sanitation I-1 | l.2b | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent of national budget allocated to hygiene I-1 | 1.2c | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | 1.2d | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply | 1.2e | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent of national budget disbursed to sanitation | 1.2f | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent of national budget disbursed to hygiene I-1 | l.2g | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | l.2h | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | 1.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | 1.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | 1.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Private sector contribution to water and sanitation I-1 | 1.6a | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | l.6b | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NGO contribution to water and sanitation I-1 | 1.6c | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Overall Progress | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |






| Guinea Bisau | Kenya | Lesotho | Liberia | Libya | Madagascar | Malawi | Mali | Mauritania | Mozambique | Namibia | Niger | Nigeria | Rwanda | Sao Tome and Principes | Senegal | Seychelles | Sierra Leone | Somalia | South Africa | South Sudan | Sudan | Tanzania | Togo | Tunisia | Uganda | Zambia | Zimbabwe |
|--------------|-------|---------|---------|-------|------------|--------|------|------------|------------|---------|-------|---------|--------|------------------------|---------|------------|--------------|---------|--------------|-------------|-------|----------|------|---------|--------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

2.1.1 KEY MESSAGES:

The emerging picture from the analysis can be summarised as:









Overall, Africa is not on-track to meet sector funding targets by 2025. However, there was an improvement in the year-on-year median value of the **percentage of GDP allocated to water and sani-tation** from 0.1% to 0.25% between 2021 and 2022.

Four Member States (Senegal, South Africa, Togo, and • Zimbabwe) reported having **met the target** of allocating at least 0.5% of GDP⁴ to the water and sanitation sector.

Five Member States (Namibia, South Africa, Togo, Senegal and Zimbabwe) reported allocating at least 5% of the national budget to **water supply, sanitation, and hygiene** as per the PANAFCON 2003, AMCOW 2008, Sharm El-Sheikh 2008 Declaration

There are still **funding inequalities between the sub-sectors** of the WASH sector. Approximately 87% of all allocations go towards water supply; approximately 12% for sanitation, and 1% for hygiene.

W. Member States are not on-track to attain *sustainable water supply, sanitation, and hygiene funding*. ODA accounts for 34% of WASH sector financing with only five Member States (Eswatini, Nigeria, Togo, Senegal and Zimbabwe) attaining the target of ODA contribution accounting for less than 25% of total WASH sector funding.

A moderate level of water tariffs takes into consideration the needs of the poor and vulnerable people. Eight Member States (Botswana, Côte d'Ivoire, Egypt, Ghana, Guinea, Niger, Uganda, and Zambia) applied water tariffs ranging from 80 to 100%, and so are on-track to achieve the target by 2030.

⁴ In 2015, African Heads of States and sector ministers adopted the Ngor Ministerial Declaration on Sanitation and Hygiene calling for sanitation and hygiene budget lines to reach a minimum of 0.5% of GDP by 2020



Photo: Namibia desert, © Faith Sea, <u>www.flickr.com</u>

2.1.2 PERCENTAGE OF GDP ALLOCATED TO SANITATION AND HYGIENE

The progress of Member States with respect to this indicator is summarized in Table 2.

Table 3: Reported progress with respect to percentage of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene(Indicator 1.1c)

| Indicator | I-1.1c: Percentage of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene |
|------------------------|---|
| Target | T-1.1a: By 2020, allocate at least 0.5% of GDP to sanitation and hygiene |
| | [AMCOW 2008; Sharm El-Sheikh 2008; Ngor 2015] |
| Number of reporting | 19 |
| Member States | |
| Early Achiever | South Africa (2020), Togo (2019), Zimbabwe (2020), Senegal (2019) |
| On-track | Tunisia |
| Off-track | Madagascar, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Central Africa Republic, Cote d'Ivoire, |
| | Eswatini, Kenya, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Rwanda, Sierra Leone, Tanzania |
| Progress Indeterminate | Baseline yet to be established: Burkina Faso, Central Africa Republic, |
| | Eswatini, Kenya, Liberia, Mauritania, Namibia, Nigeria, Zimbabwe |
| | Information not accessed: Angola, Benin, Botswana, Chad, Comoros, DR |
| | Congo, Egypt, Gabon, The Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea Bissau, Lesotho, |
| | Liberia, Libya, Malawi, Mali, Mozambique, Namibia, São Tomé and Príncipe, |
| | Seychelles, Somalia, South Sudan, Sudan, Uganda, Zambia. |

Table 3 illustrates that a number of Member States are facing significant challenges to achieving the Ngor Declaration commitment of allocating at least 0.5% of GDP to sanitation and hygiene. However, there are a few exceptions to this trend: South Africa, Togo, Zimbabwe, and Senegal met this target in 2020, 2019, 2020 and 2019 respectively. 14 Member States have reported minimal progress in this area, allocating less than 0.2% of GDP to sanitation and hygiene.

Additional analysis indicates that the ratio of allocations – as a percentage of GDP – to sanitation and hygiene respectively is 4:1. Moreover, there is a noticeable variation between allocations and the amount disbursed.

2.1.3 NATIONAL BUDGET ALLOCATED TO WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, AND HYGIENE

The progress of Member States with respect to this indicator is summarized in Table 4.

Table 4: Reported progress with respect to percentage of national budget allocated to water supply,sanitation, and hygiene (Indicator 1.2d)

| Indicator | I-1.2d: Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation, and |
|------------------------|---|
| | hygiene. |
| Target | T-1.1b: By 2020, allocate at least 5% of national budget for water supply, |
| | sanitation and hygiene1 [PANAFCON 2003, AMCOW 2008; Sharm El-Sheikh, |
| | 2008] |
| Number of reporting | 17 |
| Member States | |
| Early Achiever | Namibia, South Africa, Togo, Zimbabwe, Senegal |
| On-track | Niger, Mauritania, Sierra Leone, Tanzania, Tunisia |
| Off-track | Burkina Faso, Central African Republic, Côte d'Ivoire, Eswatini, Kenya, |
| | Liberia, Madagascar, Rwanda |
| Progress Indeterminate | Baseline yet to be established: Burkina Faso, Central Africa Republic, |
| | Eswatini, Kenya, Liberia, Zimbabwe |
| | Information not accessed: Angola, Benin, Botswana, Cameroon, Chad, |
| | Comoros, DR Congo, Egypt, Gabon, The Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea |
| | Bissau, Lesotho, Libya, Malawi, Mali, Mozambique, Nigeria, São Tomé and |
| | Príncipe, Seychelles, Somalia, South Sudan, Sudan, Uganda, Zambia. |

A key commitment of the Sharm El-Sheikh Declaration is for Member States to allocate at least 5% of their national budgets to water supply, sanitation, and hygiene by 2020. The information indicates that there is an average allocation of 3.5% of the 17 reporting Member States' national budgets. Based on this analysis, Namibia, South Africa, Togo, Zimbabwe, and Senegal are Early Achievers. Five Member States (Niger, Mauritania, Sierra Leone, Tanzania, Tunisia) are on-track, meaning that they have made some progress towards their goal but have not yet reached 5 percent. Eight Member States are off-track.

On further analysis, it was deduced that approximately 87% of all allocations to the WASH sector went to water supply; approximately 12% to sanitation; and 1% to hygiene (Figure 3).




Figure 3: Budget allocation to WASH sub-sectors, 2022

2.1.4 FINANCING OF WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION AND HYGIENE SERVICES THAT COMES THROUGH OFFICIAL DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE

The progress of Member States with respect to this indicator is presented in Table 5.

Table 5: Reported progress with respect to total financing of WASH that comes through ODA(Indicator 1.3)

| ene |
|-------|
| |
| |
| ly, |
| or |
|), |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| na, |
| nda, |
| ania, |
| |
| rr |

Note: Section 1.3 above provides details on the methodology used to group Member States as Early Achievers; On-Track; Off-Track; and Progress Indeterminate

In 2022, ODA funding to the water and sanitation sector in Africa accounted for 34.16% of the Member States' national budgets. Eswatini, Nigeria, Togo, Senegal, and Zimbabwe reported sector allocations that limit ODA to less than 25% of national budgets. South Africa is on-track to meet this target. The remaining eight Member States (Central African Republic, Côte d'Ivoire, Kenya, Liberia, Madagascar, Mauritania, Namibia, Niger, Sierra Leone, and Tunisia) are off-track.

Information on the progress achieved by 29 of the reporting Member States could not be accessed.

2.1.5 APPLICATION OF PRO-POOR STRATEGIES

The progress of Member States with respect to this indicator is presented in Table 6.

| Indicator | I-1.4 The proportion of the utility-served population that obtains water and sanitation services from public water utilities that apply tariffs embracing cross-subsidies and considerations for needs of the poor. |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Target | T-1.4: By 2030, implement water supply and wastewater tariffs that address cross-subsidy and the needs of the poor. [AWV 2025] |
| Number of reporting Member States | 28 |
| Early Achiever | N/A |
| On-track | Botswana, Côte d'Ivoire, Egypt, Ghana, Guinea, Niger, Uganda, Zambia |
| Off-track | Kenya, Nigeria, Burkina Faso, Central African Republic, Eswatini, Gabon, Liberia, Malawi, Mozambique, Namibia, Rwanda, Senegal, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Tanzania, Togo, Tunisia, Zimbabwe |
| Progress Indeterminate | Baseline yet to be established: Eswatini, Kenya. Mozambique, South Africa, Zimbabwe |
| | Information not accessed: Angola, Benin, Cameroon, Chad, Comoros, DR Congo, The Gambia, Guinea Bissau, Lesotho, Libya, Madagascar, Mali, Mauritania, São Tomé and Príncipe, Seychelles, Somalia, South Sudan, Sudan |

A total of 28 Member States submitted data for this indicator. The proportion of the population served by water utilities that obtains water and sanitation services from public water utilities that apply tariffs embracing cross-subsidies and considerations for needs of the poor shows a year-on-year average improvement of approximately 4% (55.83 percent in 2021 report to 59.19 percent in the 2022 report).

Botswana, Côte d'Ivoire, Egypt, Ghana, Guinea, Niger, Uganda, and Zambia are on-track with a coverage of 80-100%, according to the latest available data. 18 Member States are off-track with a coverage of less than 80%.

2.1.6 FINANCING WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT

The progress of Member States with respect to this indicator is summarized in Table 7.

Table 7: Reported progress with respect to the degree of implementation of financing for waterresources development and management (Indicator 1.5)

| Reporting Member States | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management [EH1] [PL2] [RM3] | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|------|--|--|--|--|
| Burkina Faso | | 65.6 | | | | |
| Cameroon | | 30 | | | | |
| Central Africa Republic | | 11 | | | | |
| Chad | | 20 | | | | |

| Côte d'Ivoire | 0.02 |
|-----------------------|-------|
| DR Congo | 0.49 |
| Egypt | 66 |
| Eswatini | 13.34 |
| Gabon | 7.76 |
| Kenya | 44 |
| Liberia | 0.2 |
| Madagascar | 1.75 |
| Malawi | 9.77 |
| Mali | 0.25 |
| Mauritania | 49.9 |
| Namibia | 33.58 |
| Niger | 24 |
| Nigeria | 64.65 |
| São Tomé and Príncipe | 20 |
| Senegal | 34 |
| Seychelles | 40 |
| South Africa | 57.2 |
| Sudan | 32 |
| Tanzania | 4.75 |
| Тодо | 26 |
| Tunisia | 100 |
| Uganda | 14.06 |
| Zambia | 0 |
| Zimbabwe | 3.37 |
| | |

General interpretation

| Progress measurement and interpretation | Range (%) | Interpretation |
|--|-----------|---|
| Very high | 90 - 100 | The Member State is investing a large amount of funding in the development and management of water resources, and so access to and quality of said resources are likely to be greatly improved. |
| High | 50 - 89.9 | The Member State is investing a substantial amount of funding in developing and managing water resources, and access to and quality of said resources are likely to be improved. |
| Medium-low | 30 - 49.9 | The Member State is investing a moderate amount of funding in the development and management of water resources, but not enough to significantly improve water access and quality. |
| Low | 0 – 29.9 | The level of Member States' sector investment and funding for water resources development and management, as well as investments to improve water access and water quality is inadequate to register progress. |

Of the data provided by the 30 Member States, analysis demonstrates that there is an average level of financing water resources development and management of 36.97%. The overall trend in the data indicates that most of the Member States are investing low to moderate amounts of funding in the development and management of water resources. Only four Member States (Burkina Faso, Egypt, Nigeria, and Tunisia) have a high to very high degree of implementation of this type of financing, with ratings between 70-100%. Most other Member States have ratings of 0-50% indicating a need for increased investment in water resources development and management.




Figure 4: Individual Member States' reported degree of implementation (%) of financing water resources development and management

2.1.7 NON-GOVERNMENT FINANCING OF WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, AND HYGIENE

The progress of Member States with respect to this indicator is summarized in Table 8.

Table 8: Reported progress with respect to degree of non-government financingof WASH (Indicator 1.6)

| Indicator | I-1.6c: Percentage of water and sanitation sector budget that is financed |
|------------------------|--|
| | from non-governmental sources and is part of a government-coordinated spending plan |
| Target | T-1.6: By 2025, expand non-governmental financing to cover at least 30% of water- and sanitation-related activities and programmes, including water harvesting, desalination, water efficiency, wastewater treatment, recycling, and reuse technologies [PANAFCON 2008; AMCOW 2008; Sharm El-Sheikh, 2008] |
| Number of reporting | 10 |
| Member States | |
| Early Achiever | Mali, Niger, Sudan |
| On-track | N/A |
| Off-track | Senegal, Kenya, Madagascar, Mozambique, Nigeria, Togo, Uganda |
| Progress Indeterminate | Baseline yet to be established: Kenya, Madagascar, Sudan, Togo |
| | Information not accessed: Angola, Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, |
| | Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Comoros, Côte d'Ivoire, DR |
| | Congo, Egypt, Eswatini, Gabon, The Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea Bissau, |
| | Lesotho, Liberia, Libya, Malawi, Mauritania, Namibia, Rwanda, São Tomé |
| | and Príncipe, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Somalia, South Africa, South Sudan, |
| | |

10 Member States reported on this indicator. Niger, Mali, and Sudan have achieved the target by using non-governmental financing to cover at least 30% of water- and sanitation-related activities. Senegal, Kenya, Madagascar, Mozambique, Nigeria, Togo, and Uganda are off-track. 34 Member States did not provide data on this indicator.

2.1.8 SYNTHESIS

i. To achieve the SDG6 targets, there is a need to increase investment in financing water resources development and management. This is because the sustainable development and management of water resources requires significant financial resources to build and maintain infrastructure, such as dams, irrigation systems, water treatment plants, and sanitation facilities. Additionally, financing is needed to support research and development, capacity building, and programs to promote water conservation and efficiency.

ii. There are significant gaps in the amount of information provided by Member States on key parameters of the Financing thematic area. A focus will be placed on identifying the fundamental issues which are underlying and causing these gaps. Preliminary indications suggest that capacity development support is required to strengthen national level systems and mechanisms for financial data collection, analysis, storage and sharing.

iii. There are opportunities for synergy to improve the availability of sector financing information and its quality by strengthening operational linkages with the UN-Water Global Analysis and Assessment of Sanitation and Drinking Water. A key entry point will be establishing joint actions to develop capacity at all levels, so that TrackFin⁵ methodology can be applied to cascade preparation of WASH accounts to all Member States.

iv. A key priority is to raise the profile and value attached to water and sanitation in national systems for economic planning and development. There is an urgent need to inject new approaches into ongoing efforts to increase domestic allocations and investment into the water and sanitation sector. AMCOW's contribution to this process will be to motivate action at Member States' level to build a business case for the water and sanitation economy as an essential pre-requisite for success in national fiscal policy.

⁵ TrackFin produces WASH accounts which can be used for national benchmarking, cross-country comparisons and to provide an evidence base to better plan, finance, manage and monitor WASH services and systems. More information: shorturl.at/aMUX4



2.2 THEME 2: WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE AND WASTEWATER

Theme 2 focuses on issues relating to WASH. It comprises 19 indicators which cover drinking water supply, sanitation, hygiene, and wastewater treatment. Member States' overall progress on Theme 2 is presented in Figure 5 below.


2.2.1 KEY MESSAGES:

Table 9 provides an overview of the reported progress of Theme 2's parameters. Key trends which have emerged from the data analysis are:



The overall rate of Member States' progress is slower than
required to achieve universal access to safe water supply, safely managed sanitation, and basic hygiene by 2030.

Coverage for safe drinking water services is reported to reach 68.5% of the population of reporting Member States. 12 Member States – Botswana, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Côte d'Ivoire, Egypt, Gabon, Libya, Nigeria, Senegal, Tunisia, Uganda and Democratic Republic of Congo – are on-track to provide universal coverage by 2030. Figure 5: Member States' reported progress on relevant parameters of Theme 2.



- Information insufficient



Delivery of *safely managed sanitation services is improving* in Africa, with tremendous progress reported by Uganda, Zambia, Côte d'Ivoire, Egypt, Libya, and Senegal.



W. A worrisome trend is shown regarding eliminating open defecation in Africa by 2030. The information availed by Member States indicates that over 18% of the population still practice open defecation. Only South Africa, Rwanda, Tanzania, Zambia, DR Congo, Eswatini and Gabon are expected to meet the target of eliminating open defecation by 2030. Table 9: Member States' Reported Progress against the Performance Indices of the Water Supply,Sanitation and Wastewater theme

| | Country | Angola | Benin | Botswana | Burkina Faso | Cameroon | Central African Republic | Chad | Comoros | Côte d'Ivoire | DR Congo | Egypt | Eswatini | Gabon | The Gambia | Ghana | Guinea |
|--|---------|--------|-------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------------------|------|---------|---------------|----------|-------|----------|-------|------------|-------|--------|
| Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (rural) | I-2.1a | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (urban) | I-2.1b | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | I-2.1c | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (rural) | I-2.1d | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (urban) | I-2.1e | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | I-2.1f | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (rural) | I-2.2a | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (urban) | I-2.2b | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | I-2.2c | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (rural) | I-2.2d | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (urban) | I-2.2e | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | I-2.2f | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | 1-2.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of population practicing open defecation (rural) | I-2.4a | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of population practicing open defecation (urban) | I-2.4b | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | I-2.4c | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (rural) | I-2.5a | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (urban) | I-2.5b | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | I-2.5c | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | 1-2.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Overall progress | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Note: Section 1.3 above provides details on the methodology used to group Member States as Early Achievers; On-Track; Off-Track; and Progress Indeterminate




| Guinea Bissau | Kenya | Lesotho | Liberia | Libya | Madagascar | Malawi | Mali | Mauritania | Mozambique | Namibia | Niger | Nigeria | Rwanda | Sao Tome and Principe | Senegal | Seychelles | Sierra Leone | Somalia | South Africa | South Sudan | Sudan | Tanzania | Togo | Tunisia | Uganda | Zambia | Zimbabwe |
|---------------|-------|---------|---------|-------|------------|--------|------|------------|------------|---------|-------|---------|--------|-----------------------|---------|------------|--------------|---------|--------------|-------------|-------|----------|------|---------|--------|--------|----------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

2.2.2 POPULATION WITH SAFELY MANAGED DRINKING WATER SERVICES

The progress reported by Member States with respect to this indicator is summarized in Table 10.

Table 10: Reported progress with respect to population with safely managed drinkingwater services (Indicator 2.1f)

| Indicator | I-2.1f: Percentage of population with safely managed drinking water services. |
|------------------------|--|
| Target | T-2.1: By 2030, achieve equitable access to safe and affordable drinking water for all. [AWV 2025; PANAFCON 2003; Tunis 2013; SDG-6.1] |
| Number of reporting | 36 |
| Member States | |
| Early Achiever | N/A |
| On-track | Botswana, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Côte d'Ivoire, Egypt, Gabon, |
| | Libya, Nigeria, Senegal, Tunisia, Uganda, DR Congo |
| Off-track | Burkina Faso, Chad, Comoros, Eswatini, The Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Kenya, |
| | Lesotho, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mozambique, Niger, São Tomé |
| | and Príncipe, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Sudan, Tanzania, Togo |
| Progress Indeterminate | Baseline yet to be established: Burkina Faso, Central African Republic, Chad, |
| | Eswatini, Guinea, Kenya, Lesotho, Mali, Mozambique, Sudan, Tanzania, |
| | Togo, Tunisia, Zimbabwe |
| | Information not accessed: N/A |



The following Member States are on-track to achieve safely managed drinking water services for all by 2030: Botswana, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Côte d'Ivoire, Egypt, Gabon, Libya, Nigeria, Senegal, Tunisia, Uganda and Democratic Republic of Congo.

The following 21 Member States are classified off-track: Burkina Faso, Chad, Comoros, Eswatini, The Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Kenya, Lesotho, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mozambique, Niger, São Tomé and Príncipe, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Sudan, Tanzania, Togo. Figure 6: Individual Member States' reported percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services



- Information not accessed

2.2.3 ACCESS TO SAFELY MANAGED SANITATION SERVICES

Member States' reported progress with respect to this indicator is summarized in Table 11.

Table 11: Reported progress with respect to population with safely managedsanitation services (Indicator 2.2f)

| Indicator | I-2.2f: Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services. |
|------------------------|--|
| Target | I-2.2: By 2030, achieve access to adequate and equitable sanitation for all, |
| | paying special attention to the needs of women and girls and those in |
| | vulnerable situations. |
| | [AWV 2025; PANAFCON 2003; Tunis 2013; Ngor 2015; SDG-6.2] |
| Number of reporting | 31 |
| Member States | |
| Early Achiever | N/A |
| On-track | Zambia, Côte d'Ivoire, Egypt, Libya, Senegal |
| Off-track | Guinea Bissau, São Tomé and Príncipe, South Africa, Cameroon, Central |
| | African Republic, DR Congo, Gabon, The Gambia, Ghana, Kenya, Liberia, |
| | Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mozambique, Namibia, Niger, Nigeria, Sierra |
| | Leone, South Sudan, Sudan, Tanzania, Togo, Tunisia, Zimbabwe |
| Progress Indeterminate | Baseline yet to be established: Central African Republic, DR Congo, Gabon, |
| | The Gambia, Kenya, Mali, Mozambique, South Sudan, Sudan, Tunisia |
| | Information not accessed: Angola, Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Chad, |
| | Comoros, Eswatini, Guinea, Lesotho, Mauritania, Rwanda, Seychelles, |
| | Somalia |

Note: Section 1.3 above provides details on the methodology used to group Member States as Early Achievers; On-Track; Off-Track; and Progress Indeterminate



The progress towards this indicator was reported by 31 Member States, of which five (Zambia, Côte d'Ivoire, Egypt, Libya, and Senegal) were assessed to be on track in terms of **achieving access to adequate and equitable sanitation for all**, paying special attention to the needs of women and girls and those in vulnerable situations. The remaining 26 Member States were assessed to be off-track or had an indeterminate progress towards this indicator. Figure 7: Individual Member States' Safely managed sanitation service coverage level.



- Information not accessed

2.2.4 SANITATION IN SCHOOLS WITH DUE CONSIDERATION OF THE NEEDS OF GIRLS

The progress of Member States with respect to this indicator is summarized in Table 12.

Table 12: Reported progress with respect to primary and secondary schools with a school sanitationprogram under which needs of girls are taken into consideration (Indicator 2.3)

| I-2.3: The percentage of primary and secondary schools with a school |
|--|
| sanitation program under which needs of girls are taken into consideration. |
| I-2.2: By 2030, achieve access to adequate and equitable sanitation for all, |
| and end open defecation while paying special attention to the needs of |
| women and girls and those in vulnerable situations. [AWV 2025; PANAFCON |
| 2003; Tunis 2013; Ngor 2015; SDG-4a.1] |
| 25 |
| |
| Egypt |
| Central African Republic, Côte d'Ivoire, Kenya, Libya, Namibia |
| Botswana, Liberia, Nigeria, South Sudan, Togo, Burkina Faso, Comoros, |
| Gabon, Guinea Bissau, Madagascar, Malawi, Niger, Senegal, Sudan, Uganda, |
| Zambia, Zimbabwe |
| Baseline yet to be established: Botswana, Burkina Faso, Central Africa |
| Republic, Egypt, Gabon, Niger, Senegal, Sudan, Zambia, Zimbabwe |
| Information not accessed: Angola, Benin, Cameroon, Chad, DR Congo, |
| Eswatini, The Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Lesotho, Mali, Mauritania, |
| Mozambique, Rwanda, São Tomé and Príncipe, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, |
| Somalia, South Africa, Tanzania, Tunisia. |
| |

According to the data, Egypt has achieved a high level of coverage for sanitation in primary and secondary schools, with 80% of such schools having programs in place that take into account the needs of girls. This is significantly higher than the continent-wide average of 53.23%. Additionally, several other Member States, such as the Central African Republic, Côte d'Ivoire, Kenya, Libya, and Namibia, are making meaningful progress and are considered on-track towards achieving the target for gender-inclusive sanitation in schools.

2.2.5 OPEN DEFECATION


The information provided by the Member States on this topic is summarized in Table 13.

Table 13: Reported progress with respect to percentage of population practicing open defecation(Indicator 2.4c)

| Indicator | I-2.4c: Percentage of population practicing open defecation |
|------------------------|--|
| Target | I-2.2: By 2030, achieve access to adequate and equitable sanitation for all, and end open defecation while paying special attention to the needs of women and girls and those in vulnerable situations. [AWV 2025; PANAFCON 2003; Ngor 2015; SDG-6.2] |
| Number of reporting | 36 |
| Member States | |
| Early Achiever | N/A |
| On-track | South Africa, Rwanda, Tanzania, Zambia, Eswatini, Gabon |
| Off-track | Benin, Botswana, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Côte d'Ivoire, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea Bissau, Kenya, Lesotho, Liberia, Madagascar, Mali, Mauritania, Mozambique, Namibia, Niger, Nigeria, São Tomé and Príncipe, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Somalia, South Sudan, Sudan, Togo, Uganda, Zimbabwe |
| Progress Indeterminate | Baseline yet to be established: Central African Republic, Chad, Eswatini, Gabon, Kenya, Lesotho, Mali, Mauritania, Mozambique, São Tomé and Príncipe, South Sudan, Sudan, Zambia, and Zimbabwe Information not accessed: Angola, Burkina Faso, Comoros, Egypt, The Gambia, Libya, Malawi, Seychelles, Tunisia. |

The average proportion of the population practicing open defecation among Member States is 18.32%. South Africa, Rwanda, Tanzania, Zambia, DR Congo, Eswatini and Gabon are expected to meet the target of eliminating open defecation by 2030. 28 Member States - namely Benin, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea Bissau, Kenya, Lesotho, Liberia, Madagascar, Mali, Mauritania, Mozambique, Namibia, Niger, Nigeria, São Tomé and Príncipe, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Somalia, South Sudan, Sudan, Togo, Uganda and Zimbabwe - are off-track.

Figure 8: Individual Member States' reported percentage of population practicing open defecation



2.2.6 POPULATION WITH HANDWASHING FACILITIES WITH SOAP AND WATER AT HOME

The progress reported by Member States with respect to this indicator is summarized in Table 14.

Table 14: Reported progress with respect of percentage of population with handwashing facilitieswith soap and water at home (Indicator 2.5c)

| Indicator | I-2.5c: Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home. |
|---------------------|---|
| Target | I-2.2: By 2030, achieve access to adequate and equitable sanitation for all, and end open defecation while paying special attention to the needs of women and girls and those in vulnerable situations. [Tunis 2013; Ngor 2015; SDG-6.2] |
| Number of reporting | 32 |
| Member States | |

| Early Achiever | N/A |
|------------------------|--|
| On-track | Egypt, Eswatini, The Gambia, Madagascar, São Tomé and Príncipe, Senegal, |
| | South Africa, Tanzania |
| Off-track | Cameroon, CAR, Chad, DR Congo, Eswatini, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea Bissau, |
| | Lesotho, Malawi, Mali, Mauritania, Mozambique, Madagascar, Nigeria, Sierra |
| | Leone, South Sudan, Sudan, Togo, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe |
| Progress Indeterminate | Baseline yet to be established: Cameroon, Central African Republic, Côte |
| | d'Ivoire, DR Congo, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea Bissau, Kenya, Liberia, Malawi, |
| | Niger, Nigeria, Sierra Leone, Togo, Uganda |
| | Information not accessed: Angola, Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Comoros, |
| | Gabon, Namibia, Rwanda, Seychelles, Somalia, Tunisia |

32 Member States provided data on this indicator. The information shows that roughly 40% of their populations have a facility at home for hand washing with soap and water.



Figure 9: Individual Member States' reported percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home

Egypt, Eswatini, The Gambia, Madagascar, São Tomé and Príncipe, Senegal, South Africa, and Tanzania are on-track to meet this target. Indeed, South Africa, Tanzania, Egypt, and Libya reported that 70%-98% of their population have access to handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (Figure 9).

2.2.7 WASTEWATER TREATMENT

The outcome of the analysis of the information provided by the Member States is summarized in Table 15.

| Indicator | I-2.6: Percentage of wastewater not safely treated. |
|------------------------|--|
| Target | T-2.6: By 2030, halve the proportion of untreated wastewater. [Ngor 2015; |
| | SDG-6.3.1] |
| Number of reporting | 3 |
| Member States | |
| Early Achiever | Malawi, Nigeria |
| On-track | N/A |
| Off-track | Uganda |
| Progress Indeterminate | Baseline yet to be established: N/A |
| | Information not accessed: Angola, Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, |
| | Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Comoros, Côte d'Ivoire, DR |
| | Congo, Egypt, Eswatini, Gabon, The Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea Bissau, |
| | Kenya, Lesotho, Liberia, Libya, Madagascar, Mali, Mauritania, Mozambique, |
| | Namibia, Niger, Rwanda, São Tomé and Príncipe, Senegal, Seychelles, Sierra |
| | Leone, Somalia, South Africa, South Sudan, Sudan, Tanzania, Togo, Tunisia, |
| | Zambia, Zimbabwe |

Table 15: Reported progress with respect to percentage of wastewater notsafely treated (Indicator 2.6)

Only three Member States - Malawi, Nigeria, and Uganda - reported on this indicator. Malawi and Nigeria reported having achieved the target. Uganda's progress, however, is not at the rate required to meet the target by 2030.

2.2.8 SYNTHESIS

i. Of all the indicators of Theme 2, data relating to wastewater treatment was the least offered by Member States. This parameter can provide insights into safe recycling, reuse and return of used water to the environment. There are therefore major implications for water use efficiency, pollution of water bodies, environmental degradation, and – consequently – availability of water to meet demands.

ii. This makes it imperative to assess and address the challenges underlying Member States' limited provision of information on their progress in treating wastewater. AMCOW, in collaboration with the World Health Organization and UN-Habitat, will coordinate a support programme to improve availability of information on wastewater management. Working with Member States, capacity developing actions will be undertaken to improve information availability on SDG 6.3 for Africa. This will be the first step to a long-term programme of targeted actions to assure effective water quality management across the continent.

iii. Related improvements in water use efficiency and environmental integrity will benefit ongoing efforts to strengthen Water Security in Africa. This is critical to ensure safe drinking water and sanitation for all, which is SDG6.

iv. To reach the goal of ending open defecation by 2030, the AMCOW Secretariat, in partnership with other organizations, will continue to provide support to Member States through various means, such as technical assistance, capacity building, financing, advocacy, awareness raising, networking, knowledge sharing, and monitoring and evaluation.



2.3 THEMES 3 AND 4: WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH, AND MANAGING AND PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES

Theme 3 deals with infrastructure development for hydropower production and for water use in agriculture and the tertiary sector of the economy. Additional levels of analysis are provided by the monitoring of water use efficiencies relating to different sub-sectors – energy, agriculture, and services. By extension, Theme 4 addresses the sustainable use of water resources, including tracking changes in water stress, water use efficiency, wastewater recycling, rainwater use, ambient water quality, groundwater abstractions and ecosystem conservation.

The indicator framework of Themes 3 and 4 is summarised in Table 16 below.

The indicator framework under Themes 3 and 4 was the least reported against by the 44 Member States that provided information for this edition of the report. Meaningful trend analysis of the data gathered was therefore not possible at this stage as the information was inadequate to provide a representative picture of continental progress. In addition, the information validation processes – particularly on water use efficiency in all sectors – is yet to be completed with the reporting Member States. As such, no analysis is provided in this report on the two themes.

Accordingly, a key element of the capacity development interventions – proposed in response to the challenges identified in this report – will focus on improving the availability and quality of information on Themes 3 and 4.

Table 16: Indicator Framework for tracking changes in development of WaterInfrastructure for Growth; and Managing and Protecting Water Resources

| Themes | Number | Indicators |
|---------------------------|--------|---|
| Theme 3 – Water | I-3.1a | Hydropower utilization |
| Infrastructure for Growth | I-3.1b | Energy Water Productivity |
| | I-3.2a | Change in Crop Water Productivity |
| | I-3.2b | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential |
| | I-3.2c | Agricultural Water Productivity |
| | 1-3.3 | Industrial Water Productivity |
| | I-3.4a | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency |
| | I-3.4b | Services Water Use Efficiency |
| | I-3.5 | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states |
| | 1-3.6 | Required water infrastructure for growth |
| Theme 4 Managing and | I-4.1a | Level of water stress |
| Protecting Water | I-4.1b | Water use efficiency across all sectors |
| Resources - | I-4.2a | Percentage of water recycled and reused |
| | I-4.2b | Percentage of rainwater use |
| | I-4.3a | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality |
| | I-4.3b | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality |
| | I-4.3c | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality |
| | I-4.3d | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality |
| | 1-4.4 | Sustainable groundwater abstraction |
| | 1-4.5 | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time |



2.4 THEME 5: CLIMATE CHANGE AND DISASTER RISK REDUCTION

Theme 5 covers climate change and disaster risk reduction. The indicators under the theme are discussed below.

2.4.1 KEY MESSAGES:

A snapshot of the progress of all relevant parameters is provided in Table 17.



The analysis highlights that *the implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures is generally improving*. The Member States that reported significant progress are Botswana, Côte d'Ivoire, Namibia, and Uganda.

A key constraint of the analysis, however, is the inadequacy of the level of reporting on the related parameters by the Member States.

Figure 10: Member States' reported progress on relevant parameters of Theme 5



Table 17: Member States' reported progress against the performance indices of the climate change and disaster risk reduction theme

| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water-related disasters per 100,000 people. | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | Overall Progress |
|--------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------|
| Country | 1-5.1 | I-5.2a | I-5.2b | |
| Angola | | | | |
| Benin | | | | |
| Botswana | | | | |
| Burkina Faso | | | | |
| Cameroon | | | | |
| Central African Republic | | | | |
| Chad | | | | |
| Comoros | | | | |
| Côte d'Ivoire | | | | |
| DR Congo | | | | |
| Egypt | | | | |
| Eswatini | | | | |
| Gabon | | | | |
| The Gambia | | | | |
| Ghana | | | | |
| Guinea | | | | |
| Guinea Bissau | | | | |
| Kenya | | | | |
| Lesotho | | | | |
| Liberia | | | | |
| Libya | | | | |
| Madagascar | | | | |
| Malawi | | | | |
| Mali | | | | |
| Mauritania | | | | |
| Mozambique | | | | |
| Namibia | | | | |
| Niger | | | | |
| Nigeria | | | | |
| Rwanda | | | | |
| São Tomé and Príncipe | | | | |
| Senegal | | | | |
| Seychelles | | | | |
| Sierra Leone | | | | |
| Somalia | | | | |
| South Africa | | | | |
| South Sudan | | | | |
| Sudan | | | | |
| Tanzania | | | | |
| Togo | | | | |
| Tunisia | | | | |
| Uganda | | | | |
| Zambia | | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | | | |



2.4.2 CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Member States' reported progress with respect to this indicator summarized in Table 18.

Table 18: Reported progress with respect to the degree of implementation of climate changeadaptation (Indicator 5.1)

| Indicator | I-5.1 Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation |
|------------------------|--|
| | measures |
| Target | T-5.1: By 2030, implement 90% of planned water-related aspects of climate |
| | change adaptation and mitigation measures. |
| | [PANAFCON 2003; AMCOW Tunis, 2008; Johannesburg 2009; SDG 13.2] |
| Number of reporting | 6 |
| Member States | |
| Early Achiever | N/A |
| On-track | Cameroon, Namibia, Uganda, Côte d'Ivoire, Nigeria |
| Off-track | Rwanda |
| Progress Indeterminate | Baseline yet to be established: Cameroon, Namibia, Nigeria, Uganda |
| | Information not accessed: Angola, Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Central |
| | African Republic, Chad, Comoros, DR Congo, Egypt, Eswatini, Gabon, |
| | Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea Bissau, Kenya, Lesotho, Liberia, Libya, |
| | Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritania, Mozambique, Niger, São Tomé and |
| | Príncipe, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Somalia, South Africa, South Sudan, |
| | Sudan, Tanzania, Togo, Tunisia, Zambia, Zimbabwe |

The analysis of the implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures shows that six Member States reported progress in achieving the target. Of those, Cameroon, Namibia, Uganda, Côte d'Ivoire, and Nigeria are on-track, while Rwanda is off-track. As only six Member States provided data on this indicator, it is insufficient to make inferences for the whole continent.

2.4.3 LOSS AND DAMAGE

Member States' reported progress with respect to these indicators is summarized in Table 19.

Table 19: Reported progress with respect to number of deaths, missing persons and persons affected by relating to water-related disaster and direct economic loss from water-related disasters (Indicator 5.2a and 5.2b)

| Indicator | I-5.2a: Number of deaths, missing persons, and persons affected by water-related disasters per 100,000 people. (SDG-11.5.1) | I-5.2b: Direct economic loss from water-related disasters in relation to national GDP, including water-related disaster damage to critical infra- structure and disruption of basic services. | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Target | T-5.2: By 2030, reduce by 25% the number of deaths, number of people affected, and value of direct economic losses caused by water-related disasters, relative to national gross domestic product, with a focus on protecting the poor and people in vulnerable situations. [AWV 2025; PANAFCON 2003; Sirte 2004; SDG 1.5.1, SDG 11.5.1, SDG 11.5.2, SDG 13.1.1] | | |
| Number of Member States that reported | 20 | 13 | |
| On-track | Côte d'Ivoire, Rwanda, Sierra Leone, DR Congo, Ghana | Malawi | |
| Off-track | Malawi, Niger, Nigeria, Togo | Ghana, Niger, Sierra Leone, South Sudan, Uganda | |
| Progress Indeterminate | Baseline yet to be established: Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Central African Republic, Comoros, Eswatini, Guinea, Kenya, Mali, Namibia, South Sudan, Tanzania, Zimbabwe | Baseline yet to be established: Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Comoros, Eswatini, Nigeria | |
| | Information not accessed: Angola, Cameroon, Chad, Comoros, Egypt, Eswatini, Gabon, The Gambia, Guinea Bissau, Lesotho, Liberia, Libya, Madagascar, Malawi, Mauritania, Mozambique, Niger, Nigeria, São Tomé and Príncipe, Senegal, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Somalia, South Africa, South Sudan, Sudan, Tunisia, Uganda, Zambia. | Information not accessed: Angola, Botswana, Cameroon, Central Africa Republic, Chad, Comoros, Côte d'Ivoire, DR Congo, Egypt, Gabon, The Gambia, Guinea, Guinea Bissau, Lesotho, Liberia, Libya, Madagascar, Mali, Mauritania, Mozambique, Namibia, Rwanda, São Tomé and Príncipe, Senegal, Seychelles, Somalia, South Africa, Sudan, Tanzania, Togo, Tunisia, Zambia, Zimbabwe | |

20 Member States reported on the number of deaths, missing persons and people affected by water-related disasters, with a median of 209.69 people per 100,000. Côte d'Ivoire, Rwanda, Sierra Leone, DR Congo, and Ghana are on-track for meeting the target. Four Member States (Malawi, Niger, Nigeria, Togo) are off-track.


13 Member States reported on the level of economic damage by water-related disasters in relation to GDP. Malawi is on-track for meeting the target. Five Member States (Ghana, Niger, Sierra Leone, South Sudan, Uganda) are off-track.

2.4.4 SYNTHESIS

i. The limited information provided by Member States about their progress under Theme 5 highlights the importance of assessing the challenges faced by Member States in relation to climate change and disaster risk reduction. Peer-to-peer exchange is one way of addressing these challenges, where Member States can learn 'best practices' from peers that have already achieved relevant targets.

ii. Among the Member States, the parameters related to "direct economic loss resulting from water-related disasters" was the least reported on in this theme.

iii. The indicators on loss and damage are based on the four priorities of the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction: (a) understanding disaster risk; (b) strengthening disaster risk governance; (c) investing in disaster risk reduction; and (d) enhancing disaster preparedness. Under the leadership of the AUC Directorate of Sustainable Environment and the Blue Economy, the United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction will be engaged to support the implementation of Member States' related action plans.



2.5 THEME 6: GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS

Theme 6 comprises six subthemes and seven indicators which address the strengthening of policy, legal and institutional frameworks at catchment, national and transboundary basin levels. Many Member States (14-29) provided data on most indicators under this theme.

2.5.1 KEY MESSAGES:

The following conclusions can be drawn from the information provided by Member States:



The overall picture on IWRM implementation across the Member
States is generally satisfactory, with seven (Ghana, Kenya, Malawi, Niger, Rwanda, Uganda, Zimbabwe) *reporting very positive progress*.



Information received from South Sudan, Comoros and Gabon
 indicates adoption of the *IWRM approach albeit with limited operationalisation* across the country.



Concerning the *implementation of an enabling environment* that supports sustainable water resources management and development at all levels, Member States have made *moderately strong progress*. Five Member States (Ghana, Namibia, Tanzania, Uganda and Zimbabwe) had attained an 80% or higher level of implementation of enabling environment at national level, local government levels and within water catchments.



W. One indicator relates to ensuring that **gender concerns are considered** in the formulation of policy, law, and plans in all sectors of water and sanitation to promote equity and equality. 13 Member States (Burkina Faso, Niger, Nigeria, Central African Republic, DR Congo, Gabon, Kenya, Malawi, South Sudan, Tanzania, Togo, Uganda, and Zimbabwe) have recorded progress to varying degrees.

2.5.2. IWRM IMPLEMENTATION: GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS

Member States' reported progress with respect to these indicators is summarized in Table 20.

Table 20: Reported progress with respect to the IWRM Implementation - SDG 6.5.1Governance and Institutions

| Country name | Overall | Section 1 | Section 2 | Section 3 | Section 4 |
|--------------------------|---------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|
| | IWRM | Average | Average | Average | Average |
| | Score | Enabling | Establishment | Management | Governance |
| | | environment | and reform of | instruments | mecha- |
| | | (1.6.1) | institutions at | (1.6.3) | nisms for |
| | | | all levels | | integrity and |
| | | | (I.6.2a) | | transpar- |
| | | | | | ency (l.6.5) |
| Benin | 70.14 | | 70.14 | | |
| Botswana | 54.42 | 64.78 | 49.67 | 37.22 | 66 |
| Burkina Faso | 65.67 | 65 | 56.67 | 81 | 60 |
| Cameroon | 39.28 | 48.17 | 36.67 | 33 | |
| Central African Republic | 33.91 | 41.43 | 21.11 | 39.09 | 34 |
| Chad | 37.57 | 30.5 | 43.33 | 38.89 | |
| Comoros | 28.88 | 29.18 | | 28.57 | |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 51.92 | 49.33 | 53.33 | 77 | 28 |
| DR Congo | 45.41 | 52.16 | 38.89 | 76.82 | 13.75 |
| Egypt | 70.61 | 62.86 | 59 | 65.56 | 95 |
| Gabon | 25.06 | 28.57 | 21.56 | 18.11 | 32 |
| The Gambia | 36 | 38 | 32.22 | 37.78 | |

| Ghana | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Guinea | 36.62 | 64.29 | 30 | 28.18 | 24 |
| Kenya | 72.31 | 73.33 | 48.89 | 67 | 100 |
| Madagascar | 50.65 | 53.33 | 53.89 | 55.56 | 39.8 |
| Malawi | 72.21 | 78.57 | 63.89 | 66.36 | 80 |
| Mali | 56.47 | 58.33 | 55.56 | 60 | 52 |
| Mauritania | 62.26 | 70.71 | 56.88 | 68.12 | 53.33 |
| Mozambique | 65.97 | 63.33 | 68.33 | 66.25 | |
| Namibia | 63.56 | 88 | 60.89 | 7 | 98.33 |
| Niger | 71.62 | 60 | 55.56 | 70.91 | 100 |
| Nigeria | 65.03 | 70 | 55.67 | 67.45 | 67 |
| Rwanda | 72.45 | 67.5 | 67.78 | 72.5 | 82.02 |
| São Tomé and Príncipe | 34.5 | 39 | | 30 | |
| Senegal | 55.44 | 58.33 | 55.56 | 52.44 | |
| Seychelles | 60.85 | 60 | 51.11 | 71.43 | |
| Sierra Leone | 54.03 | 60.71 | 50 | 51.36 | |
| Somalia | 33.53 | 44.29 | 30 | 31.82 | 28 |
| South Africa | 58.58 | 78.33 | 75 | 55 | 26 |
| South Sudan | 26.84 | 32.14 | 23.56 | 27.27 | 24.4 |
| Sudan | 38.17 | 31.43 | 38.44 | 36.82 | 46 |
| Tanzania | 91.56 | 98.75 | 100 | 85 | 82.5 |
| Тодо | 37.39 | 50 | 31.25 | 30.91 | |
| Tunisia | 59.71 | 58.57 | 55.56 | 65 | |
| Uganda | 82.99 | 82.83 | 72.11 | 85 | 92 |
| Zambia | 55.99 | 55.71 | 46.11 | 60.55 | 61.6 |
| Zimbabwe | 84.75 | 89.17 | 80.33 | 85.5 | 84 |

General interpretation for overall IWRM implementation score

| Progress | Range % | General interpretation |
|-------------|----------|--|
| Very high | 91 - 100 | Vast majority of IWRM elements are fully implemented, with objec- |
| | | tives consistently achieved, and plans and programmes periodically |
| | | assessed and revised. |
| High | 71 – 90 | IWRM objectives of plans and programmes are generally met, and |
| | | geographic coverage and stakeholder engagement is generally good. |
| Medium-high | 51 - 70 | Capacity to implement elements of IWRM is generally adequate, and |
| | | elements are generally being implemented under long-term |
| | | programmes. |
| Medium-low | 31 - 50 | Elements of IWRM are generally institutionalised, and implementation |
| | | is underway. |
| Low | 11 - 30 | Implementation of elements of IWRM has generally begun, but with |
| | | limited uptake across the country, and potentially low engagement of |
| | | stakeholder groups. |
| Very low | 0 - 10 | Development of elements of IWRM has generally not begun or has |
| | | stalled. |

The overall IWRM scores for most Member States are in the "medium-high" to "high" range, with several Member States showing significant progress towards full implementation.

Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels (I-6.1): Member States have made moderately strong progress with respect to establishing the enabling environments to support sustainable water resources management and development. Five Member States (Ghana, Namibia, Tanzania, Uganda and Zimbabwe)had attained an 80% or higher level of implementation of enabling environment at national level, local government levels and within water catchments. Chad, Comoros, and Gabon have put in place the necessary conditions and systems to support the integrated management of water resources at all levels, but there seems to be limited implementation of these measures throughout the countries.




Figure 11: Individual Member States' reported degree of implementation of enabling environments at all levels




Figure 12: Individual Member States' reported degree of implementation establishment and reform of institutions at all levels (I-6.2a), 2022

Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels (I-6.2a): the distribution of the progress of the implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels is uneven. Six Member States – Benin, Ghana, South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, and Zimbabwe – have reported significant progress and have a strong capacity for review and stakeholder involvement, including contributing to decision-making. The Central African Republic, Gabon, Guinea, Somalia, and South Sudan have limited stakeholder engagement and have achieved less than 30% progress in this area.

Degree of implementation of management instruments (Part of SDG 6.5.1): According to the data reported, nine Member States – Burkina Faso, Côte d'Ivoire, DR Congo, Ghana, Rwanda, Seychelles, Tanzania, Uganda, and Zimbabwe – have made good progress in the adoption and operationalization of management instruments. These instruments are reported to have

excellent coverage and high effectiveness. Seven Member States – Gabon, Comoros, Guinea, Namibia, São Tomé and Príncipe, South Sudan, and Togo – have also adopted management instruments, but their operationalization across the country is limited.

However, there is still room for improvement and further progress in the establishment and use of management instruments in almost all Member States. Progress in implementing management instruments goes together with institutional capacity development. In fact, a one degree in implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels will improve the implementing management instruments in Member States by 0.6 degrees. (Figure 13).




Figure 13: Correlation between establishment and reform of institution and implementation of management instrument (2022)

Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels

2.5.3. GENDER MAINSTREAMING IN ENABLING ENVIRONMENT

Member States' reported progress with respect to this indicator is summarized in Figure 14.




Figure 14: Individual Member States' reported percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws, and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration.

28 Member States reported data on this indicator. Nine Member States (Burkina Faso, Gabon, Malawi, Niger, Nigeria, South Sudan, Tanzania, Uganda, and Zimbabwe) are categorised as "very high", indicating that gender concerns have been considered for all policies, laws, and plans related to water. Mozambique has an average score of 6.667% suggesting that gender concerns have not been appropriately taken into account for many policies, laws, and plans related to water.

2.5.4. COOPERATION ON TRANSBOUNDARY WATER MANAGEMENT

Member States' reported progress with respect to this indicator is summarized in Table 21.

Table 21: Progress of Member States with respect to the area of transboundary waterbasins with an operational arrangement, 2022

| Country name | I-6.4: Area of transboundary water basins with an operational arrangement for water cooperation as a percentage of all transboundary basin areas in the country |
|--------------------------|---|
| Botswana | 100 |
| Burkina Faso | 5.474 |
| Cameroon | 0.1199 |
| Central African Republic | 100 |
| Chad | 58.69 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 20.21 |
| Egypt | 100 |
| The Gambia | 3.24 |
| Ghana | 88.36 |
| Guinea | 66.44 |
| Kenya | 26.75 |
| Malawi | 0.09 |
| Mali | 100 |
| Mauritania | 100 |
| Namibia | 100 |
| Niger | 100 |
| Rwanda | 91.95 |
| Senegal | 28.59 |
| South Africa | 99.99 |
| Sudan | 89.56 |
| Tanzania | 0.0004 |
| Тодо | 52.05 |
| Uganda | 100 |
| Zambia | 0.01 |
| Zimbabwe | 72.76 |

General interpretation for overall IWRM implementation score

| Progress | Range % | General interpretation |
|-------------|-----------|--|
| Very high | 90 - 100 | An operational arrangement for water cooperation exists for all |
| | | transboundary basins in the country |
| High | 70 - 89.9 | Operational arrangement for water cooperation exists for a significant |
| | | portion of transboundary basins in the country |
| Medium-high | 50 - 69.9 | An operational arrangement for water cooperation exists for a moder- |
| | | ate portion of transboundary basins in the country |
| Medium-low | 30 - 49.9 | |
| Low | 10 - 29.9 | An operational arrangement for water cooperation exists for only a |
| | | small portion of transboundary basins in the country |
| Very low | 0 - 99.9 | An operational arrangement for water cooperation exists for only a |
| | | small portion of transboundary basins in the country |

Overall, Member States have varying levels of implementation arrangements for water cooperation in transboundary basins. Eight Member States (Botswana, Central African Republic, Egypt, Mali, Mauritania, Namibia, Niger, and Uganda) have a score of 100, indicating that an operational arrangement for water cooperation exists for all transboundary basins. Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Côte d'Ivoire, The Gambia, Kenya, Malawi, Senegal, Tanzania, and Zambia each have a "low" or "very low" score, implying that an operational arrangement for water cooperation exists for only a small portion of transboundary basins.

2.5.5 SYNTHESIS

i. There is a high level of awareness on the continent on the importance of cooperatively managing international water systems.

ii. There are uneven implementation levels of IWRM, management instruments, transparency, and integrity between Member States, which indicates that there is room for improvement across the continent. AMCOW will play a key role in this improvement by facilitating peer-to-peer learning among Member States. For example, Member States with higher scores can serve as examples for others to follow and offer assistance in capacity building and implementing best practices.

iii. To improve the capacity and resources of institutions involved in water cooperation and IWRM, the AMCOW Secretariat will collaborate with the African Network of Basin Organisations and UN custodian agencies such as United Nations Economic Commission for Europe and United Nations Environment Programme. This collaboration could include promoting the development and implementation of management instruments tailored to the specific needs of Member States.

iv. To support transboundary water cooperation, IWRM, and gender equality, the AMCOW Secretariat will coordinate the efforts of civil society organizations, private sector actors, and

international organizations to provide education and advocacy on these issues, as well as networking and collaboration opportunities. By coordinating these efforts, AMCOW aims to enhance the capacity and resources of institutions involved in water cooperation, IWRM and gender, and promote transparency and integrity in government activities.

v. To support Member States' efforts in financing water cooperation initiatives, the AMCOW Secretariat will collaborate with partners to explore innovative approaches such as public-private partnerships, crowdfunding, and impact investing.




2.6 THEME 7: INFORMATION MANAGEMENT AND CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT

A significant number of the commitments tracked in Theme 7 relate to information management and capacity development as stated in Africa Water Vision, eThekwini 2008, Ngor 2015, Tunis 2013, AMCOW 2008, PANAFCON 2003 and Sirte 2004. These issues are also addressed in Agenda 2030 through SDG 6.5 on IWRM. A large portion of these commitments are addressed in this theme.

2.6.1 KEY MESSAGES:

The following conclusions can be drawn from the information provided by Member States:



The *degree of establishment* of the national monitoring and • reporting system for WASSMO *varies greatly* across Member States. While some Member States have achieved a high level of establishment, others have not yet begun to establish the system.



Burkina Faso, Ghana, Kenya, Mauritania, Niger, Senegal and Tanzania have *a strong degree of establishment* of the WASSMO system nationally (high to very high)as per the AWV 2025, PANAFCON 2003, and Ngor 2015 Declaration.



Four Member States (Burkina Faso, Malawi, Mauritania, and • Rwanda) reported dedicating 5% of funding of the water and sanitation sector to support *education and research activities*.

2.6.2 WASSMO CAPACITY AT THE MEMBER STATES LEVEL

The progress of Member States with respect to these indicators is summarized in Table 22.

Table 22: Reported progress with respect to the establishment of national monitoringand reporting system (Indicator 7.1a and 7.1b)

| Country name | I-7.1a: Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO. | | I-7.1b: Percentage of monitoring and repor reported by country. | |
|--------------------------|---|------|---|-------|
| Angola | | | | 2.74 |
| Benin | | | | 23.29 |
| Botswana | | 20 | | 53.42 |
| Burkina Faso | | 100 | | 61.64 |
| Cameroon | | | | 61.64 |
| Central African Republic | | 51 | | 71.23 |
| Chad | | 64 | | 32.88 |
| Comoros | | | | 23.29 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | | 20 | | 82.19 |
| DR Congo | | | | 41.10 |
| Egypt | | 59.4 | | 58.9 |
| Eswatini | | | | 60.27 |
| Gabon | | 22 | | 53.42 |
| The Gambia | | | | 34.25 |
| Ghana | | 76 | | 49.32 |
| Guinea | | | | 43.84 |
| Guinea Bissau | | | | 0.22 |
| Kenya | | 76 | | 80.82 |
| Lesotho | | | | 31.51 |
| Liberia | | | | 47.95 |
| Libya | | | | 31.51 |
| Madagascar | | 66 | | 65.75 |
| Malawi | | 54 | | 52.05 |
| Mali | | | | 52.05 |
| Mauritania | | 80 | | 64.38 |
| Mozambique | | | | 47.95 |
| Namibia | | 19.2 | | 69.86 |
| Niger | | 76.4 | | 87.67 |

| Nigeria | 82 | 87.67 |
|-----------------------|------|-------|
| Rwanda | 62.4 | 58.90 |
| São Tomé and Príncipe | | 35.62 |
| Senegal | 100 | 79.45 |
| Seychelles | | 0.19 |
| Sierra Leone | | 0.60 |
| South Africa | | 83.56 |
| South Sudan | | 42.47 |
| Sudan | | 76.71 |
| Tanzania | 92 | 60.27 |
| Тодо | | 78.08 |
| Tunisia | | 47.95 |
| Uganda | 11.8 | 73.97 |
| Zambia | | 50.68 |
| Zimbabwe | 56.8 | 63.01 |

General interpretation

| Progress | Range % | I-7.1a: Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO. | I-7.1b: Percentage of African monitoring and reporting system reported by country. |
|-------------|-----------|--|---|
| Very high | 90 – 100 | There are effective mechanisms in place to ensure transparency and accountability | There are effective mechanisms in place to ensure transparency and accountability of the monitoring system |
| High | 70 – 89.9 | A strong degree of establish- ment of the WASSMO system nationally | A strong degree of establishment of the African Monitoring and Reporting |
| Medium-high | 50 - 69.9 | Some progress in the establish- ment of a national system for WASSMO reporting, but there is still room for improvement | Some progress in this area, but that there is still room for improvement |
| Medium-low | 30 - 49.9 | Low progress in establishing a national monitoring and report- ing system for WASSMO | Low progress in establishing a national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO |
| Low | 10 - 29.9 | Very weak level of establishment of a national system for WASSMO reporting | Very weak of establishment of African monitoring and reporting system reported |
| Very low | 0 – 9.9 | Ongoing process in the estab- lishment of the WASSMO System nationally | Ongoing process in the establish- ment of the African monitoring and reporting system reported |

Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO: Member States have made uneven progress in establishing a national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO. Most of the Member States have medium-high (50% – 69.9%) levels of progress. Member States with a weak level of establishment of a national system for WASSMO reporting (low) progress include Botswana, Côte d'Ivoire, Gabon, Namibia, and Uganda. Burkina Faso, Ghana, Kenya, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal and Tanzania have a strong degree of establishment of the WASSMO system nationally (high to very high).




Figure 15: Individual Member States' reported degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting *Percentage of African monitoring and reporting system reported by country:* Overall, progress in establishing an African monitoring and reporting system varies. Member States have either medium-low (30% – 49.9%) or medium-high (50% – 69.9%) progressMember States with very low progress include Angola, Guinea Bissau, Seychelles, and Sierra Leone, while those with very high progress include Cote d'Ivoire, Kenya, Niger, and Nigeria.




Figure 16: Individual Member States' reported proportion of African monitoring and reporting

2.6.3 FUNDING FOR EDUCATION AND RESEARCH

The progress of Member States with respect to this indicator is summarized in Table 23.

Table 23: Reported Progress with respect to the amount of funds disbursed to educationand research (Indicator 7.2b)

| Indicator | I-7.2b1: Amount of funds disbursed to education and research as a percent- |
|------------------------|--|
| | age of the total disbursement to the water and sanitation sector |
| Target | T-7.2: By 2030, establish ongoing and effective capacity development |
| | programs for water resources management and development, with 5% of |
| | the funding of the water and sanitation sector going to support education |
| | and research activities. |
| | [AWV 2025; PANAFCON 2003; Ngor 2015] |
| Number of reporting | 13 |
| Member States | |
| Early Achiever | |
| On-track | Burkina Faso, Malawi, Mauritania, Rwanda |
| Off-track | Kenya, Cote d'Ivoire, Namibia, Nigeria, Somalia, South Africa, Tanzania, |
| | Tunisia, Uganda |
| Progress Indeterminate | Baseline yet to be established: Cote d'Ivoire, Malawi, Rwanda, Tunisia, |
| | Uganda |
| | Information not accessed: Angola, Benin, Botswana, Cameroon, Central |
| | Africa Republic, Chad, Comoros, DR Congo, Egypt, Eswatini, Gabon, The |
| | Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea Bissau, Lesotho, Liberia, Libya, Madagascar, |
| | Mali, Mozambique, Niger, São Tomé and Príncipe, Senegal, Seychelles, |
| | Sierra Leone, South Sudan, Sudan, Togo, Zambia, Zimbabwe |

13 Member States have provided data on this indicator. Burkina Faso, Malawi, Mauritania, and Rwanda are on-track of allocating 5% of their funding of the water and sanitation sector to support education and research activities. Nine Member States (Kenya, Côte d'Ivoire, Namibia, Nigeria, Somalia, South Africa, Tanzania, Tunisia, and Uganda) are off-track.

2.6.4 SYNTHESIS

i. Member States are making good progress in the establishment of both a WASSMO and African national monitoring system. As a result, the AMCOW Secretariat will facilitate an exchange of experiences and lessons from Member States with good progress to help facilitate learning amongst all Member States.

ii. The AMCOW Secretariat and partners will continue to support Member States' efforts to implement national monitoring systems and ensure adequate mechanisms are in place to achieve transparency and accountability.



3.1 CONCLUSIONS

Water, sanitation, and hygiene are essential for healthy living and longevity. When there is water insecurity in an area, there is a heightened risk of poor sanitation, unsafe hygiene, increased inequalities, ill-health, food insecurity and a stunted economy. This was demonstrated during the unprecedented global Covid-19 pandemic, which heavily impacted the national health systems of Member States. The pandemic drew attention to the state of financing and investing in the WASH sector across Africa and has spurred new initiatives and opportunities in the sector. Member States have therefore intensified efforts in increasing resource allocations in the WASH sector and meeting various international targets and commitments.

The analysis of the information provided by 44 Member States for the 2022 report revealed:

i. The amount of money allocated to the WASH sector and its subsectors in Member States is extremely low relative to other economic sectors. On average, allocation to the sector is significantly less than the target of 0.5% of GDP. It is imperative for Member States to develop effective strategies for mobilizing adequate resources to scale-up financing in this sector.

- ii. Progress of Member States in attaining the targets on indicators of SDGs and Ngor Declaration is uneven. Many Member States are off-track on several indicators of both commitments. In fact, the proportion of the population with access to safe water and safely managed sanitation is decreasing in Member States, especially in those with high poverty rates, unfavourable demography, weak national health system, and slow economic growth.
- iii. There was low quality of data provided by Member States on various indicators. For example, there were widespread missing values, insufficient information, absence of baseline data and inconsistent trends in data relative to previous year's data analysis. Improving data capability, data availability, and data reliability of Member States is critical to the success of the report and for ensuring that water-related targets are appropriately monitored. This requires strengthening the statistical capacity of Member States for effective monitoring and evaluation of progress on WASH and more targeted decision-making.
- iv. Increased partnership collaboration is needed at all levels within Member States, between Member States, and with donor and development institutions to address the multidimensional challenges facing the WASH sector across the continent. This will require strengthening reporting through the WASSMO System, particularly on the Africa Water Vision 2025 and SDG 6. This collaboration can create National Coordination Platforms (NCPs) as a first step to strengthening the system for effective reporting and monitoring and ensuring adequate resources are available to support various WASH initiatives and programmes in Member States.
- v. There are opportunities for synergies to improve availability of sector financing information and its quality by strengthening operational linkages with the UN-Water Global Analysis and Assessment of Sanitation and Drinking-Water. A key entry-point will be joint actions to develop capacity at all levels to apply TrackFin⁶ methodology to cascade preparation of WASH accounts to all Member States.
- vi. It is essential to introduce new approaches to Member States' efforts in exploring innovative approaches to financing water cooperation initiatives, such as public-private partnerships, crowdfunding, and impact investing.

⁶ TrackFin produces WASH accounts which can be used for national benchmarking, cross-country comparisons and to provide an evidence base to better plan, finance, manage and monitor WASH services and systems. More information: shorturl.at/aMUX4

3.2 KEY RECOMMENDATIONS

As a result of these key outcomes, the following recommendations are made:

1 Improve the WASSMO System

This system is crucial to the success of this annual flagship report. Without quality data in the system, it is extremely challenging to undertake any meaningful analysis and monitor progress of Member States towards attaining international commitments. Accordingly, there is ample opportunity to improve the design and scope of the System to allow Member States to enter trend data (two or more years), annually review their data, and flexibly view, save, and download their data in various formats.

Partnerships with various stakeholders (for example, ministries and international agencies) who are relevant to data collection will be encouraged to ensure that varied, consistent and reliable data are entered into the system. It is important to improve and strengthen data governance, institutions, and personnels involved in collecting data for the WASSMO System. To this end, AMCOW will, in collaboration with Member States, conduct a needs assessment on capacity development requirements for an effective WASSMO System at all levels. It will also work closely with Member States to establish baseline data for each indicator captured in the system.

For the needs assessment, six Member States – at least one from each of the AU's five regions – will be sampled. The pilot studies will be used to determine systemic and institutional requirements, including technology and human capital. The results of the studies will inform a medium-term programme to strengthen WASSMO capacity at the Member States level to support decision-making processes. In addition, the outputs will guide prioritisation of targeted, fundamental-change trigger actions for operationalizing NCPs in at least 15 Member States by 2026. The NCPs will provide high-potential entry points for wider sector policy strengthening and implementation. This will be emphasised in AMCOW's contribution to Member States' actions to operationalise the NCPs.

At least 50 Member States will be targeted to provide information for the 2023 WASSMO reporting cycle. The aim is to gather as much information as necessary to form a representative picture of the actual progress towards realising the Africa Water Vision 2025. It is desired that the 2023 edition of the WASSMO Report will form the basis for formulating technical proposals for the post-2025 Africa Water Vision. As such, it is imperative that as many Member States as possible – if not all – participate in the process. This will ensure that the technical proposals lead to a post-2025 Vision which addresses the real challenges to water security in Africa. More importantly, the action framework should release the potential of Africa's water resources to drive and sustain Africa's aspirations for social transformation, economic growth, trade, environmental sustainability, peace, and security.

2 Leverage partnerships at various levels

i. Partnering with Member States for knowledge sharing

Member States who have demonstrated positive progress and achievements in WASH sector will be identified and encouraged to share their experiences with other Member States. A reverse-linkage programme will be developed on different themes to create opportunities for information, knowledge and experience sharing. The programme will encourage sharing of best practices and lessons learned with those with weaker WASH sectors or national statistical systems. By facilitating this learning and program, AMCOW shall become a knowledge broker or a catalyst in the sector. In addition, Member States-led communities of practice shall be explored and operationalised through the AMCOW Knowledge Hub.

ii. Partnering with donor and development institutions to scale up financing for WASH programme

The results from this year's analysis of data have clearly shown that many resources, beyond the national financial capacity of Member States, are needed in WASH sector in Africa if the continent is to achieve the targets set in the SDGs and Ngor Declaration. Donor partners have been proactive in regard to this, but it is not enough to meet the current demand. More grants and resources are needed by Member States to help them to move from their weak WASH systems to a level that can support sustainable development, take their citizens out of poverty, and improve health and longevity at every stratum of society. Preliminary indications suggest that capacity development support is required to strengthen national level systems and mechanisms for data collection, analysis, storage and sharing. It is important that donor institutions come to the aid of Member States by helping them fill the financial gaps in their WASH activities.


AMCOW, in collaboration with the World Health Organization and UN-Habitat, will coordinate a support programme to improve availability of information on wastewater management. Working with Member States, capacity developing actions will be undertaken to improve information availability on SDG 6.3 for Africa. This will be the first step to a long-term programme of targeted actions to assure effective water quality management across the continent.

AMCOW will also collaborate with African Network of Basin Organizations and UN custodian agencies to enhance the capacity and resources of institutions in Member States to: assure that water cooperation and IWRM objectives are met; create and implement management instruments that are tailored to the specific needs of the country; and ensure that transparency and integrity are upheld in all government activities.

3.3 NEXT STEPS

Efforts are already underway to act on these recommendations with key emphasis being placed on:

Figure 17: Road map to the adoption of the post-2025 Africa Water Vision



1. Enhancing reporting mechanisms through collaborations

For the period 2022/3 – 2024/5, a multi-partner collaboration between AMCOW and partners has been arranged to increase levels of reporting on the WASSMO System, particularly on the Africa Water Vision 2025 and SDG6. The collaboration aims to operationalise NCPs as a first

step to strengthening the System for effective reporting at continental and global level. Not only will this improve the completeness and reliability of available information, but it will also identify which urgent capacity development requirements need to be addressed.

The key entry point for the success of this collaboration is to institutionalise inter-sectoral coordination mechanisms for information gathering, validation and vetting by national statistics agencies prior to reporting on continental and global commitments.

2. Assessing capacity development requirements

In 2023, a needs assessment of Member States' capacity development requirements will be conducted to achieve an effective WASSMO System at all levels. For the needs assessment, six Member States – at least one from each of the African Union's five regions – will be sampled. The pilot studies will be used to determine systemic and institutional requirements, including technology and human capital. The results of the studies will inform a medium-term programme to strengthen WASSMO capacity at the Member States level to support decision-making processes. In addition, the outputs will guide the prioritisation of targeted, fundamental-change trigger actions for operationalizing NCPs in at least 15 Member States by 2026. The NCPs will provide high-potential entry points for wider sector policy strengthening and implementation.

3. Improve data collection and information sharing

AMCOW will work with Member States to establish baselines and capture as much information as possible into the WASSMO System. This is based on the fact that during the 2022 reporting period, the progress of a significant number of Member States was indeterminate across all thematic areas. This is partly because baselines are yet to be established. Another missing element is live information on a prevailing situation as the actions of a commitment come into effect. As such, AMCOW, in collaboration with Member States and mandated UN Agencies reporting on SDG 6, will focus on filling in data gaps in the WASSMO System. The activity will take a long-term approach to identifying and addressing capacity constraints at Member States level for:

- a. data collection and storage
- b. information generation and accessibility
- c. utilisation of information to support decision-making processes and policy advocacy
- d. inter-sectoral coordination mechanisms and functionality of NCPs.


4. Encourage Member States' participation

It is necessary to target the mobilisation of 50 Member States to provide information for the 2023 WASSMO reporting cycle, building on the results from previous activities to establish baselines. The intent is to gather as much information as necessary to form an appropriately representative overview of the progress towards actualising the Africa Water Vision 2025 as it is desired that the 2023 edition of the WASSMO Report will form the basis for formulating the technical proposals for the post-2025 Africa Water Vision. As such, it is imperative that as many Member States as possible – if not all – participate in the process. This will ensure that the technical proposals will generate a post-2025 Vision which addresses the real and dominant challenges to water security in Africa. More importantly, the post-2025 action framework should release the potential of Africa's water resources to drive and sustain Africa's aspirations for social transformation, economic growth, environmental sustainability, trade, peace, and security.

5. Establish a multi-level dialogue process

Implementing mechanisms for productive, multi-level dialogue will:

- a. assure broad and active stakeholder input into the prioritisation of the technical proposals and articulation of the action framework of the post-2025 Africa Water Vision
- offer high-level political ownership of the Vision across stakeholders and, in turn, generate active commitment for its actualisation. Here, emphasis will be placed on making a business case for the water and sanitation economy as an essential success factor of national fiscal policy
- c. ensure that the post-2025 Africa Water Vision is aligned to and adopted as an implementation framework of Agenda 2063



6. Support shared learning

AMCOW will work to facilitate experiential learning and sharing of proven solutions through reverse linkage programmes among Member States. In so doing, empirical information on success factors, encountered issues, lessons learnt, approaches and methodologies can be shared among the Member States. In addition, focus will be placed on the operationalisation of Member-States-led communities of practice linked to the AMCOW Knowledge Hub.

3.4 CASE STUDIES FROM MEMBER STATES

By monitoring and reporting Member States' progress on water and sanitation targets, several case studies have arisen across WASSMO themes which are both useful and encouraging. Two examples are Nigeria's and Tunisia's developments on Theme 2: Water Supply, Sanitation, Hygiene and Wastewater.

COUNTRY CASE STUDY: NIGERIA

Nigeria has participated in the WASSMO data collection process for the past eight years, during which it has significantly improved WASH services provision. Some of its milestone achievements include: declaring a state of emergency in the WASH sector in 2018 to boost action and investment; the revitalisation of the WASH Sector Action Plan; the development of the 'Nigeria Open-Defecation Free by 2025: A National Road Map'; the establishment of the 'Clean Nigeria: Use the Toilet' behaviour change campaign; and increased levels of advocacy and capacity building work. Data collection and reporting on WASH has also been a priority, Nigeria's Ministry of Water Resources, Ministry of Agriculture, Ministry of Health, Ministry of Education, and National Bureau of Statistics have worked together during the WASSMO process.

As a result of the national prioritisation of WASH and the increased awareness of the need for safe water supply, adequate sanitation and appropriate hygiene practices, Nigeria's sub-national governments have increased funding to support the sector. However, the Ministry of Water Resources recognises that this attention must be sustained for there to be positive, long-term effects.

GOOD PRACTICES AND INNOVATION

Nigeria has introduced a range of good practices and innovations in recent years. An example is the Adashe system in the north of the country. Adashe is a voluntary community savings scheme to which local residents contribute to support the construction of toilets for the vulnerable. This has also provided community members with capacity building support on maintaining water infrastructure through a Village Level Operations and Maintenance (VLOM) strategy.

Additionally, Nigeria has introduced a national WASH fund, proving to be an innovative form of financing in the water sector.

LESSONS LEARNED

- I. Increased state financing boosts project implementation, success and sustainability.
- II. Projects are more effective when governments and partners involve members of the local community from the outset.
- III. Innovative financing is vital to support the government's dwindling resources.

NEXT STEPS

Nigeria intends to continue its advocacy visits to stakeholders and local communities for increased sensitisation and support. It will monitor sector progress, re-strategise when necessary, and explore other financing options for continued project implementation. Nigeria will also increase the number of experts attending relevant meetings and conferences.

Additionally, Nigeria will collaborate with AMCOW Secretariat and development partners to establish a functional coordination platform to strengthen its WASH sector and improve access to services.

COUNTRY CHANGE AGENTS

A critical change agent for Nigeria is the Hon. Minister of Water Resources, Eng. Suleiman Adamu. The Federal Ministry of Water Resources works to provide sustainable access to safe and sufficient water and sanitation to meet all Nigerians' socio-economic and cultural needs. The Ministry also ensures that these services enhance public health, food security and poverty reduction while maintaining the integrity of freshwater ecosystems across the nation.

Eng. Adamu played a significant role in regularly updating the Nigerian president, Muhammadu Buhari, on the country's WASH sector status during the government's monthly federal meeting. During these regular updates, the Minister was able to mobilise presidential support for the WASH sector. Additionally, Eng. Adamu carried out advocacy visits to relevant stakeholders to support and fund Nigeria's WASH activities at national and sub-national levels.

COUNTRY CASE STUDY: TUNISIA

Tunisia demonstrates encouraging trends of access to safe drinking water and good sanitation, having achieved the highest access rates to water supply and sanitation services across the Middle East and North Africa region. It does so by running a performance indicator-based financing framework in collaboration with donors (for instance, the World Bank and African Development Bank). This institutional set-up is clear with defined operators' roles as follows: the Société Nationale d'Exploitation et de Distribution des Eaux (National Water Distribution Utility) is responsible for supplying drinking water in urban areas, and has achieved 100% coverage; the Direction Générale de Génie Rural et de l'Exploitation des Eaux (General Directorate of Rural Engineering and Water Utilities) provides drinking water supply in rural areas and reaches 94.7%; and the Office National de l'Assainissement (National Office for Sanitation) is in charge of sanitation across the country, where 63% of the population are connected to the sanitation network.

One of the success factors for Tunisia is the provision of effective management instruments. The government publishes an annual national water sector report which provides stakeholders with the information needed to improve their performance. Information and data are also regularly published on an Open-Data platform on water.

GOOD PRACTICES AND INNOVATION

As part of good practices, Tunisia conducts a medium- and long-term planning and programming called Budget Management by Objective. It also established adequate implementation mechanisms, expenditure framework and management instruments to improve services delivery.

LESSONS LEARNED

- I. Strong institutional set-up, including clearly defined roles of stakeholders, helps to facilitate services delivery.
- II. Using management instruments and data sharing accelerates learning and increases productivity.
- III. Building expenditure frameworks that are linked to predefined objectives aid efficiency and reduce costs and losses.

COUNTRY CHANGE AGENTS

The former Minister of Agriculture, Water Resources and Fisheries, played a significant role in implementing the Ministry's water resources management policy. His efforts accelerated the achievement of the needed change in the sector across Tunisia. The Former Director General of Rural Engineering and Water Exploitation, Abdelkader Hamdan, championed the implementation of national programs for drinking water supply in rural areas and the promotion of the irrigated sector. The Former Chief Executive Officer of Société Nationale d'Exploitation et de Distribution des Eaux, contributed to the implementation of structuring projects for securing drinking water.

NEXT STEPS

Tunisia will be implementing its WASH action plan and its 2050 Water Strategy, and updating its regulatory framework.

RECOMMENDATION

Tunisia recommends other Member States to:

- a. Establish an adequate regulatory and institutional framework.
- b. Implement a transparent funding framework based on well-defined and achievable objectives.
- c. Mobilise sustainable funding mechanisms.
- d. Provide relevant members of staff with capacity building support.

ANNEXES

ANNEX 1: TECHNICAL NOTE ON MODEL

This technical note details the estimation procedures and techniques used in tracking progress of member states towards achieving the targets set in the Sharm El-Sheikh Declarations, Africa Water Vision 2025, SDGs, and Ngor Declarations. It also presents the classification rules. The approach follows the lead of UNDP-ADB- UNESCAP joint study⁷.

The model or approach is designed to estimate the actual year a Member States is expected to reach a target level on an indicator if growth progress continues. It is simple to use and interpret because data used in the model are not normalized (that is not transformed or scaled within some range).

The targets of most indicators in SDGs and Ngor Declaration are expressed in either increasing or decreasing order or no explicit target. The model provides an appropriate approach for each of these situations.

Below are the estimation procedures or models for estimating year of attaining a target for an indicator that is expressed in increasing or decreasing manner. And when an indicator has no explicit target, a classification rule is also explained.

Estimation model for attaining a target for decreasing indicators:

Our aim is to calculate t* which is expressed in the following formula

$$t* = t_{Lst} + \{LOG(Y*/Y_{Lst})\} / \{LOG(1+r_{-bar})\}$$

where

t* is the year by which a country will reach its target (AWV2025, SDGs, AfricaSan Ngor, etc) if the trend continues

 $\mathbf{t}_{\mathsf{l},\mathsf{st}}$ is the last year with data available

Y* is the target value of the indicator

Y_{1st} is the latest value available

 ${\bf r}_{\rm -bar}$ is the average growth rate between the first (t_{\rm Fst}) and last (t_{\rm Lst}) year data is available and is given as

$$\mathbf{r}_{-\mathbf{bar}} = [\Upsilon_{|st} / \Upsilon_{Fst}](1/(t_{|st} - t_{Fst})) - 1$$

where

Y_{Est} is the earliest value available

 ${\rm t}_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle Fst}$ is the first year with data available

⁷ "The Millennium Development Goals: Progress in Asia and the Pacific 2007".

Estimation model for attaining a target for increasing indicators:

In this model, our interest is to calculate t* expressed as follows:

$$t * = t_{Lst} + \{Y * - Y_{Lst}\} / q_{-bar}$$

where

 $\boldsymbol{q}_{\text{-bar}} = \left\{\boldsymbol{Y}_{\text{Lst}} - \boldsymbol{Y}_{\text{Fst}}\right\} \; / \; \left\{\boldsymbol{t}_{\text{Lst}} - \boldsymbol{t}_{\text{Fst}}\right\}$

which is the average unit increase per period between \boldsymbol{t}_{Fst} and \boldsymbol{t}_{Lst}

t* is the year by which a country will reach its target (AWV2025, SDGs, AfricaSan Ngor, etc) if the trend continued

 t_{Lst} = the last year with data available Y* = the target value of the indicator Y_{Lst} = the latest value available

Based on t* calculated from the above formula, the following classification rule is applied.

When an indicator has explicit target, this classification rule is applied

| Classification | Condition |
|--|---|
| Early Achiever: Already achieved the 2025 (for | t* < t _{Lst} |
| Ngor) or 2030 (for SDG) target. | |
| On-track: Expected to meet the target by 2025 | t _{Lst} < t*< 2025 (AWV) or 2030 (SDG) |
| (Ngor indicator) or 2030 (SDG indicator) | |
| Off track: Expected to meet the target, but after | 2025 (AWV) or 2030 (SDG) < t* |
| 2025 (Ngor indicator) or 2030 (SDG indicator | |

Else, if an indicator is without explicit target, this classification rule is applied

| Classification | Condition |
|---|--|
| Early Achiever: Already achieved the 2025 | Indicators trending in the <i>right</i> |
| (AWV) or 2030 (SDG) target | direction |
| On-track: Expected to meet the target by | Indicators showing no <i>change</i> at all |
| 2025(Ngor) or 2030(SDG) | over the period |
| Off track: Stagnating or slipping backwards | Indicators trending in the <i>wrong</i> |
| | direction |

ANNEX 2: MEMBER STATES SCORECARDS

Angola

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| (D | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| NCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINANCING | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ē | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| NE & | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | | 70-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| łYGIEł | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | | Information not accessed | |
| ION, H | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| PLY, SANITATI WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| Y, SAI \STE V | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| UPPL WA | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | | less than 0.5% | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| 2 - WA | and water at home (total) Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | | accessed Information not accessed | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| MTH | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | | Information not accessed | |
| FOR GROV | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - W | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |

Benin

| тнеме | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| G | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FIN | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| - | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | 100,00 | 90-100 | | on-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 72,97 | 70-100 | 84,39 | on-track | 2021 |
| ATI ON | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | | Information not accessed | |
| ANIT/ E WAT | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| SUP NE & | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| ATEF /GIE | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | 51,75 | less than 0.5% | 50,16 | Off-track | |
| 2 - W/ | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| 臣 | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 85,25 | off-track slow progress | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | 64,59 | off-track slow progress | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 0,13 | Off-track | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |

| | | 1 | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
| Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | 412,70 | Off-track | |
| Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | 15,34 | Off-track | |
| Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| Degree of implementation of management instruments | | | 70,14 | Off-track | |
| National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,08 | | 23,29 | Off-track | |
| Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Level of water stress Water use efficiency across all sectors Percentage of water recycled and reused Percentage of rainwater use Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality Sustainable groundwater abstraction Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels Proportion of dinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees Degree of implementation of management instruments National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration Degree of implementation of pational monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system for WASS | Level of water stressLevel of water stressWater use efficiency across all sectorsPercentage of water recycled and reusedPercentage of rainwater useProportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water qualityProportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water qualityProportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water qualityProportion of groundwater aduifers with good ambient water qualityProportion of groundwater abstractionChange in extent of water-related ecosystems over timeDegree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measuresNumber of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 peopleDegree of implementation of enabling environment at all levelsDegree of implementation of enabling environment at all levelsDegree of implementation of enabling environment at all levelsDegree of implementation of management instrumentsDegree of implementation of management instrumentsDegree of implementation of management instrumentsDegree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparencyPercentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans percentage of implementation of norinoring and reporting system for WASSMODegree of implementation of povernance mechanisms for integrity and transparency <td< td=""><td>Level of water stressImage: constraint of the stressLevel of water stressImage: constraint of the stressWater use efficiency across all sectorsImage: constraint of the stressPercentage of rainwater useImage: constraint of the stressProportion of streams and rivers with good ambient waterImage: constraint of the streams and rivers with good ambient waterProportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient waterImage: constraint of the stream of qualityProportion of surface and ground water bodies with goodImage: constraint of qualityProportion of surface and ground water bodies with goodImage: constraint of qualitySustainable groundwater abstractionImage: constraint of the streed / qualitySustainable groundwater abstractionImage: constraint of the streed / qualityDegree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measuresImage: constraint of the streed / qualityNumber of deaths, missing and persons affected by water-related disaster per 100,000 people.Image: constraint of the streed / qualityDirect economic loss from water-related disastersImage: constraint of the streed reconstraint of the streed reconstration of the stre</td><td>Level of water stressImage: stressUevel of water stressImage: stressWater use efficiency across all sectorsImage: stressPercentage of water recycled and reusedImage: stressPercentage of rainwater useImage: stressProportion of streams and rivers with good ambient waterImage: stressProportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient waterImage: stressqualityImage: stressProportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient waterImage: stressqualityImage: stressProportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient waterImage: stressqualityImage: stressProportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient waterImage: stressqualityImage: stressProportion of groundwater adurence thange adaptation andImage: stressProportion of surface and ground water bodies with goodImage: stressQualityImage: stressImage: stressSustainable groundwater related ecosystems over timeImage: stressDegree of implementation of climate change adaptation andImage: stressNumber of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people.Image: stressDirect economic loss from water related disastersImage: stressDegree of implementation of enabling environment at all levelsImage: stressProportion of dinking water ports having actively functioning water ad santation committeesImage: stressProportion of transboundary basin area with an operation al angement for water cooperationi</td><td>reducted water inflasticulation to the second of the sec</td></td<> | Level of water stressImage: constraint of the stressLevel of water stressImage: constraint of the stressWater use efficiency across all sectorsImage: constraint of the stressPercentage of rainwater useImage: constraint of the stressProportion of streams and rivers with good ambient waterImage: constraint of the streams and rivers with good ambient waterProportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient waterImage: constraint of the stream of qualityProportion of surface and ground water bodies with goodImage: constraint of qualityProportion of surface and ground water bodies with goodImage: constraint of qualitySustainable groundwater abstractionImage: constraint of the streed / qualitySustainable groundwater abstractionImage: constraint of the streed / qualityDegree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measuresImage: constraint of the streed / qualityNumber of deaths, missing and persons affected by water-related disaster per 100,000 people.Image: constraint of the streed / qualityDirect economic loss from water-related disastersImage: constraint of the streed reconstraint of the streed reconstration of the stre | Level of water stressImage: stressUevel of water stressImage: stressWater use efficiency across all sectorsImage: stressPercentage of water recycled and reusedImage: stressPercentage of rainwater useImage: stressProportion of streams and rivers with good ambient waterImage: stressProportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient waterImage: stressqualityImage: stressProportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient waterImage: stressqualityImage: stressProportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient waterImage: stressqualityImage: stressProportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient waterImage: stressqualityImage: stressProportion of groundwater adurence thange adaptation andImage: stressProportion of surface and ground water bodies with goodImage: stressQualityImage: stressImage: stressSustainable groundwater related ecosystems over timeImage: stressDegree of implementation of climate change adaptation andImage: stressNumber of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people.Image: stressDirect economic loss from water related disastersImage: stressDegree of implementation of enabling environment at all levelsImage: stressProportion of dinking water ports having actively functioning water ad santation committeesImage: stressProportion of transboundary basin area with an operation al angement for water cooperationi | reducted water inflasticulation to the second of the sec |

Botswana

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| ŊĠ | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ξ | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | 100,00 | 90-100 | 100,00 | on-track | |
| Ē | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| ž | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 33,28 | 70-100 | 33,33 | Off-track | |
| WATER SUPPLY, SANITATIC HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | 80,64 | 100 | 81,15 | on-track | |
| ', SAN STE W | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 78,55 | 70-100 | 80,03 | on-track | 2034 |
| PPLY & WAS | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| S SI | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | 75,49 | on-track | |
| - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | 9,88 | less than 0.5% 80-100 | 9,30 | Off-track Information not accessed | |
| 5 | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ħ | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | 13,40 | Off-track | |
| OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 39,83 | Off-track | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | 80,00 | on-track | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | 0,55 | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 36,39 | on-track | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |

| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not | |
|---|---|------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| S | | | | | accessed Information not | |
| JRCE | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | accessed | |
| JUSJE | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| /ATER | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| TING M | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 55,56 | Off-track | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| NG & PI | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 91,67 | on-track | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 76,92 | off-track slow progress | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| - CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | 28,32 | on-track | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | | | 64,78 | off-track slow progress | |
| UTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | | | 37,22 | Off-track | |
| 6 - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| E AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | | | 49,67 | Off-track | |
| RNANG | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | 66,00 | off-track slow progress | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | 25,00 | Off-track | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | 20,00 | Off-track | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,19 | | 53,42 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |

Burkina Faso

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | 0,03 | Off-track | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation | | >=0.5 | 0,02 | Off-track | |
| | and hygiene. | | 5 | 0,81 | Off-track | |
| ŊĊ | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | 0,64 | Off-track | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| LIN | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | 35,05 | 90-100 | 35,52 | Off-track | |
| ÷ | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | 50,00 | 90-100 | 65,60 | off-track slow progress | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | 11,99 | Off-track | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | | 70-100 | 56,50 | Off-track | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | 21,68 | Off-track | |
| SANIT 'E WA' | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 25,84 | Off-track | |
| WATER SUPPLY, SANITATI HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| SUF SUF & A | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | 1,39 | Off-track Information not | |
| GIEN | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | | less than 0.5% | | accessed | |
| 2 - WA HY | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | 200,40 | early achiever | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | 0,06 | Off-track | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ħ | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | | Information not accessed | |
| ⁻ OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | 0,33 | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | on-track | |
| 3 - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | 57,40 | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 917,50 | early achiever | 2019 |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |

| | | | | | Information not | |
|---|--|--------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Level of water stress | | | | accessed | |
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | 6,50 | | | Off-track | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ATER | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| TING M | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ROTEC' | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| NG & PI | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ANAGII | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | 518,30 | Off-track | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | 0,10 | Off-track | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 63,33 | | 65,00 | off-track slow progress | |
| UTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 81,00 | | 81,00 | on-track | |
| INSTIT | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | 3,59 | Off-track | |
| JE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 57,78 | | 56,67 | Off-track | |
| RNANG | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | 100,00 | | 5,47 | Off-track | |
| 6 - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | 73,33 | | 60,00 | off-track slow progress | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | 202,40 | early achiever | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,22 | | 61,64 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | 21,70 | on-track | |

Cameroon

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | 0,01 | >=0.5 | 0,01 | Off-track | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| NCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| FINANCING | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | | Information not | |
| Ļ | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources | | 90-100 | 30,00 | Off-track | |
| | development and management Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | accessed Information not | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | accessed Information not | |
| | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services | 50,77 | 70-100 | 56,41 | accessed on-track | |
| Ň | (total) Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water | | | | | |
| WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | services (total) | 50,77 | 100 | 56,41 | on-track off-track slow | 2028 |
| SANI TE W/ | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 38,71 | 70-100 | 39,87 | progress | 2072 |
| SUPPLY, JE & WAS | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | 44,88 | 70-100 | 44,79 | Off-track | |
| R SUF | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| 2 - WATER HYGIEN | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap | 5,56 | less than 0.5% | 15,45 | Off-track | |
| 2 - V F | and water at home (total) | 36,82 | 80-100 | 36,75 | Off-track | |
| | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | 4,17 | >=25 | 4,17 | Off-track | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | 0,00 | Off-track | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| 臣 | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | 2,93 | >= 30 | 2,93 | Off-track | |
| OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 43,85 | Off-track | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | 100,00 | Productivity above 95%: | 100,00 | early achiever | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | 102,09 | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 102,10 | on-track | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |

| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|--------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| TING W | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | 36,36 | 80% of tested / good quality | 36,36 | Off-track | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | 88,24 | 80% of tested / good quality | 88,24 | off-track slow progress | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | 100,00 | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 50,67 | Off-track | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | 115,50 | early achiever | |
| | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 48,17 | | 48,17 | Off-track | |
| IUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 33,00 | | 33,00 | Off-track | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | 66,51 | 90 by 2030 | 66,51 | Off-track | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 36,67 | | 36,67 | Off-track | |
| RNANC | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | 0,12 | Off-track | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | Information not accessed | |
| FORM/ | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,48 | | 61,64 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |

Central African Republic

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | 0,04 | Off-track | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | 0,03 | Off-track | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | 1,11 | Off-track | |
| <u>o</u> | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | 1,08 | off-track slow progress | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | 100,00 | Off-track | |
| FINA | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | 100,00 | 90-100 | 64,94 | Off-track | 2019 |
| ÷ | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | 11,00 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| ,NO | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 16,58 | 70-100 | 14,52 | Off-track | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | 78,12 | on-track | |
| , SAN TE W | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 28,88 | 70-100 | 60,00 | on-track | |
| PPLY & WAS | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 20,72 | Off-track | |
| S SU | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | 81,62 | on-track Off-track | |
| WATER SUPPLY, SANITATI HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | 74,74 | less than 0.5% 80-100 | <u>19,10</u> 69,91 | off-track slow progress | |
| 2 - | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | 73,75 | early achiever | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | 0,00 | Off-track | |
| ΗL | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | | Information not accessed | |
| OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | 0,01 | Off-track | |
| 3 - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |

| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| /ATER | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | 92,48 | Off-track | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | | | 41,43 | Off-track | |
| NOITU | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | | | 39,09 | Off-track | |
| GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | 45,93 | Off-track | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | | | 21,11 | Off-track | |
| RNAN | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| 1 | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | 34,00 | off-track slow progress | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | 83,33 | on-track | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | 51,00 | Off-track | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,19 | | 71,23 | off-track slow progress | |
| 7 - IN ANE | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |

Chad

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| (1) | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINA | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| ÷ | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | 20,00 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services | | 70-100 | 43,20 | Off-track | |
| TION, B | (total) Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water | | 100 | 1,44 | Off-track | |
| 'NITA' WATE | services (total) Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 13,08 | Off-track | |
| WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation | | 70-100 | | Information not | |
| SUPPI E & W | services (total) Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | | accessed Information not | |
| IER S SIEN | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | | less than 0.5% | | accessed Off-track | |
| - | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | | 80-100 | 38,28 | Off-track | |
| 2 | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| E | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | | Information not accessed | |
| OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |

| | | 1 | | | |
|---|--|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Level of water stress | | | Information not accessed | |
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | Information not accessed | |
| RESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Percentage of rainwater use | | | Information not accessed | |
| TING W | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| - 2 | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | | 30,50 | Off-track | |
| IUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | | 38,89 | Off-track | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | | 43,33 | Off-track | |
| ERNAN | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | 58,69 | Off-track | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | Information not accessed | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | 70,00 | off-track slow progress | |
| ATION | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | 64,00 | Off-track | |
| - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | | 32,88 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | Information not accessed | |

Comoros

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| (1) | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINA | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ē | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 70,18 | 70-100 | 151,00 | early achiever | 2019 |
| TI ON, | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | 42,24 | 100 | 31,12 | Off-track | |
| WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 30,25 | Off-track | |
| 'LY, S, ASTE | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| SUPPLY, JE & WAS | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | 43,00 | 80-100 | 43,00 | Off-track | |
| TER S SIENE | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | | less than 0.5% | | Information not accessed | |
| 2 - WATER HYGIEN | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| () | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| 표 | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | 100,00 | >= 30 | 100,00 | earlyachiever | |
| OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |

| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|-------|--|-------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| JESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| /ATER I | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| 2 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 31,00 | | 29,18 | Off-track | |
| IUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | | | 28,57 | Off-track | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ERNANC | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | 28,57 | | 28,57 | Off-track | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,15 | | 23,29 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |

Cote d'Ivoire

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | 0,36 | >=0.5 | 0,21 | Off-track | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | 0,29 | >=0.5 | 0,10 | Off-track | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | 2,52 | 5 | 1,96 | Off-track | |
| Ð | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | 0,90 | Off-track | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | 55,59 | <25 | 95,42 | Off-track | |
| FIN/ | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities Degree of implementation of financing for water resources | 100,00 | 90-100 | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ÷ | development and management | | 90-100 | 0,02 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| ž | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 40,56 | 70-100 | 77,99 | on-track | 2021 |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | 41,11 | 100 | 49,32 | on-track | 2026 |
| ', SAN STE W | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 46,94 | 70-100 | 94,84 | on-track | |
| PPLY % WA | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | 33,16 | 70-100 | 50,12 | on-track | |
| SU NE 8 | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | 1,27 | 80-100 | 55,84 | on-track | |
| GIEI | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | 19,90 | less than 0.5% | 5,17 | Off-track | |
| - WA HY | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | 41,90 | 80-100 | 42,05 | off-track slow progess | |
| 5 | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | 32,25 | >=25 | 32,25 | earlyachiever | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ħ | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | 7,54 | >= 30 | 10,05 | on-track | 2028 |
| FOR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | 2,24 | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | 2,30 | early achiever | |
| 3 - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | 54,30 | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 52,95 | off-track slow progess | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | 89,06 | Productivity above 95%: | 90,00 | on-track | 2025 |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | 83,56 | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 76,93 | Off-track | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |

| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|--------|--|--------|-----------------------------|------|
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | 35,48 | | 34,01 | off-track slow progess | |
| RESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | 0,00 | | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| ATER I | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| TING W | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | 67,39 | 80% of tested / good quality | 67,39 | off-track slow progess | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | 4,17 | 80% of tested / good quality | 4,08 | Off-track | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 88,96 | on-track | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | 93,08 | 80-100 | 94,25 | on-track | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | 50,03 | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | 2,14 | early achiever | 2019 |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 49,33 | | 49,33 | Off-track | |
| IUTIONS | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 77,00 | | 77,00 | off-track slow progess | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUT | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | 100,00 | 90 by 2030 | 100,00 | early achiever | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 53,33 | | 53,33 | Off-track | |
| RNANG | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | 17,58 | | 20,21 | Off-track | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | 28,00 | | 28,00 | Off-track | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | 33,33 | | 33,33 | Off-track | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | 18,00 | | 20,00 | Off-track | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,74 | | 82,19 | on-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | 0,02 | | 0,80 | Off-track | |

DR Congo

2022 Benchmark

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| (1) | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINA | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| ÷ | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | 0,49 | 90-100 | 0,49 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | | 70-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| VTION, ER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | 116,70 | early achiever | |
| ANIT/ E WAT | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 0,04 | Off-track | |
| SUPF NE & V | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| GIE | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | 0,01 | less than 0.5% | 0,01 | early achiever | |
| 2 - WA HY | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | 66,74 | 80-100 | 66,74 | off-track slow progress | |
| | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | 2,58 | >=25 | 2,58 | Off-track | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| 臣 | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | | Information not accessed | |
| OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | 0,01 | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | 0,01 | Off-track | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | 0,00 | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 0,00 | Off-track | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |

| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|--------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
| SICES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ATER F | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| TING W | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | 100,00 | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | 100,00 | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | 95,69 | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | 95,69 | Off-track | |
| 2 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 52,16 | | 52,16 | Off-track | |
| IUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 76,82 | | 76,82 | off-track slow progress | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 38,89 | | 38,89 | Off-track | |
| ERNAN | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | 124,00 | on-track | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | 13,75 | | 13,75 | Off-track | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | 100,00 | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | Information not accessed | |
| (FORM) CAPA | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,26 | | 41,10 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |

Egypt

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| NG | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ē | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | 100,00 | 90-100 | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ÷ | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | 66,00 | 90-100 | 66,00 | off-track slow progress | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | 0,00 | at least 30% | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| ブ | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 1,29 | 70-100 | 100,00 | early achiever | 2020 |
| TER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | 98,88 | 100 | 98,72 | on-track | |
| WATER SUPPLY, SANITATI HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 38,59 | 70-100 | 37,39 | Off-track | |
| PLY, WAST | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | 61,41 | 70-100 | 98,00 | on-track | |
| SUP E & | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | 211,00 | early achiever | |
| VTER (| Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | 0,00 | less than 0.5% | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | 98,69 | 80-100 | 98,72 | on-track | |
| | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | 118,00 | >=25 | 118,00 | early achiever | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| ΗĻ | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | | Information not accessed | |
| -or grow | Agricultural Water Productivity | 0,43 | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | 0,69 | earlyachiever | 2020 |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | 6,99 | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Off-track | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | 100,00 | Productivity above 95%: | 100,00 | early achiever | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | 0,12 | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Off-track | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |

| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|--------|--|--------|-----------------------------|----|
| | Level of water stress | 100,92 | | 106,10 | early achiever | |
| SCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | 0,84 | | | Off-track | |
| JESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | 17,31 | | 16,67 | Off-track | |
| /ATER I | Percentage of rainwater use | 1,67 | | 1,60 | off-track slow progress | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | 100,00 | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | 100,00 | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | 11 |
| - CLIMATE CHANGE | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| থ | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 60,00 | | 62,86 | off-track slow progress | |
| TUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 59,00 | | 59,00 | Off-track | |
| 6 - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 64,44 | | 65,56 | Off-track | |
| ERNAN | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | 100,00 | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | 95,00 | | 95,00 | on-track | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | 59,60 | | 59,40 | Off-track | |
| - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,60 | | 58,90 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |

Overall Performance Index (PI)

eSwatin

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | 0,00 | Off-track | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | 0,00 | Off-track | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | 0,17 | Off-track | |
| NI | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | 0,17 | Off-track | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | 21,82 | early achiever | |
| - FIN | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | 2,99 | Off-track | |
| - | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | 13,34 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | 2,73 | Off-track | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| ENE & | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | | 70-100 | 76,64 | on-track | |
| HYGII | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | 11,12 | Off-track | |
| R NOI | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 54,03 | Off-track | |
| PLY, SANITATI WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| PLY, S, VASTE | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| supf v | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | | less than 0.5% | 6,00 | off-track slow progress | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | | 80-100 | 64,70 | on-track | |
| 2 - W | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| H | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | 4,77 | Off-track | |
| OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 0,01 | Off-track | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |

| | | | | Information not | |
|---|--|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Level of water stress | | | accessed | |
| IRCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | Information not accessed | |
| RESOL | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | Information not accessed | |
| /ATER | Percentage of rainwater use | | | Information not accessed | |
| TING V | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| IANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - √ | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | 5,20 | Off-track | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | | | Information not accessed | |
| IUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | | | Information not accessed | |
| INSTI | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | 90 by 2030 | 12,00 | Off-track | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | | | Information not accessed | |
| ERNANG | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | Information not accessed | |
| 6 - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | Information not accessed | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | 60,00 | Off-track | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | Information not accessed | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | | 60,27 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |

Gabon

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| ŊŊ | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ц | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | 8,37 | 90-100 | 4,85 | Off-track | |
| ÷ | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | 18,80 | 90-100 | 7,76 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| Ť | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 55,21 | 70-100 | 81,64 | on-track | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | 55,21 | 100 | 81,64 | on-track | |
| WATER SUPPLY, SANITATI HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 39,83 | Off-track | |
| PLY, S WAST | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 24,80 | Off-track | |
| SUP 8 | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | 33,36 | Off-track | |
| GIEN | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | | less than 0.5% | 3,01 | off-track slow progress | |
| 2 - WA HY | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | 3,30 | >=25 | 3,30 | Off-track | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | 30,60 | | 30,60 | on-track | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ħ | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | | Information not accessed | |
| FOR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | 0,08 | Productivity above 95%: | 50,16 | off-track slow progress | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | 34,38 | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Off-track | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |

| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|--------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
| SICES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ESOUR | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | 100,00 | 80% of tested / good quality | 85,54 | off-track slow progress | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 90,91 | on-track | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 88,83 | on-track | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - CLIMATE CHANGE | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 28,57 | | 28,57 | Off-track | |
| IUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 18,11 | | 18,11 | Off-track | |
| 6 - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 21,56 | | 21,56 | Off-track | |
| RNAN | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | 32,00 | | 32,00 | Off-track | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| VTION | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | 22,00 | | 22,00 | Off-track | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,29 | | 53,42 | Off-track | |
| 7 - INI AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |

Overall Performance Index (PI)

The Gambia

2022 Benchmark

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| (1) | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINA | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| ÷ | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| NE & | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 77,29 | 70-100 | 77,07 | on-track | |
| - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | 48,74 | 100 | 85,41 | on-track | |
| rion, f B | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 42,19 | Off-track | |
| 'NITA' WATE | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 50,25 | Off-track | |
| PLY, SANITATI WASTE WATER | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| SUPP W | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | | less than 0.5% | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| ATER | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | 30,76 | 80-100 | 41,65 | on-track | |
| 2 - W | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| 표 | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | | Information not accessed | |
| OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | 15,90 | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 15,40 | Off-track | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | 24,88 | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 24,68 | Off-track | |

| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all | | | | Information not | |
|---|--|-------|--|-------|-----------------------------|--|
| | riparian states. | | | | accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
| IRCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| /ATER | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| TING V | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| IANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - N | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| 2 | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| <u>N</u> | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 38,00 | | 38,00 | Off-track | |
| IUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 36,67 | | 37,78 | Off-track | |
| 6 - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 33,33 | | 32,22 | Off-track | |
| ERNAN | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | 3,24 | | 3,24 | Off-track | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,22 | | 34,25 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |

Ghana

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| NG | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| 1 - FIN | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 90-100 | | on-track Information not accessed | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 44,33 | 70-100 | 85,78 | on-track | |
| - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | 41,45 | 100 | 41,45 | Off-track | |
| WATER SUPPLY, SANITATI HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 10,37 | 70-100 | 23,71 | on-track | 2026 |
| PLY, § WAST | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | 13,34 | 70-100 | 13,82 | Off-track | |
| R SUP ENE & | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| ATE YGII | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | 17,78 | less than 0.5% | 17,68 | Off-track | |
| 2 - X | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | 41,55 | 80-100 | 41,55 | Off-track | |
| | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | 63,71 | >=25 | 63,71 | early achiever | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ħ | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | 1,59 | >= 30 | 1,59 | Off-track | |
| -OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | 44,92 | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 242,90 | on-track | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | 5,71 | Off-track | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | 93,77 | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 210,90 | early achiever | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |

| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|--------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| JESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| /ATER I | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | 82,93 | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | 442,29 | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | 167,80 | Off-track | |
| 2 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | 0,16 | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | 0,16 | Off-track | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 100,00 | | 100,00 | early achiever | |
| TUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 100,00 | | 100,00 | early achiever | |
| 6 - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 100,00 | | 100,00 | early achiever | |
| ERNAN | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | 88,36 | | 88,36 | on-track | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | 100,00 | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | 15,38 | | 30,77 | Off-track | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | 76,00 | | 76,00 | off-track slow progress | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,44 | | 49,32 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |

Guinea

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| ŰZ | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FIN | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | 100,00 | on-track | |
| - | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| _ | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 10,79 | 70-100 | 100,00 | early achiever | 2020 |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | 36,78 | Off-track | |
| MATER SUPPLY, SANITATI HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 2,63 | 70-100 | 30,10 | off-track slow progress | |
| PLY, S VAST | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 28,39 | Information not accessed | |
| { SUPI NE & V | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| ATEF /GIE | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | 4,61 | less than 0.5% | 15,09 | Off-track | |
| 2 - WA | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | 1,96 | 80-100 | 17,70 | Off-track | |
| | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | 5,81 | >=25 | 9,78 | Off-track | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| Η | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | 4,02 | >= 30 | 5,81 | off-track slow progress | 2034 |
| FOR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 42,73 | off-track slow progress | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | 27,83 | Off-track | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 20,55 | Off-track | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |

| Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | 90,37 | Off-track | |
| Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 65,17 | | 64,29 | off-track slow progress | |
| Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 22,50 | | 28,18 | Off-track | |
| Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | 7,43 | Off-track | |
| Degree of implementation of management instruments | 19,44 | | 30,00 | Off-track | |
| National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | 66,43 | | 66,44 | off-track slow progress | |
| Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | 12,00 | | 24,00 | Off-track | |
| Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,27 | | 43,84 | Off-track | |
| Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Level of water stress Water use efficiency across all sectors Percentage of water recycled and reused Percentage of rainwater use Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality Proportion of groundwater adjufers with good ambient water quality Sustainable groundwater abstraction Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water-related disaster per 100,000 people. Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees Pegree of implementation of management instruments National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration Degree of implementation of pavernance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | Level of water stressImage: constraint of the state stressWater use efficiency across all sectorsPercentage of water recycled and reusedPercentage of rainwater useProportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water qualityProportion of streams and reservoirs with good ambient water qualityProportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water qualityProportion of groundwater adurfers with good ambient water qualityProportion of groundwater abstractionChange in extent of water-related ecosystems over timeDegree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measuresNumber of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people.65,17Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels65,17Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levelsProportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committeesDegree of implementation of management instruments19,44National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation66,43Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparencyPercentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans on there gender concerns have been taken into considerationDegree of implementation of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMOPercontage of impl | Level of water stressImage: constraint of the state of the | Level of water stressImage: stressImage: stressWater use efficiency across all sectorsImage: stressImage: stressPercentage of water recycled and reusedImage: stressImage: stressPercentage of rainwater useImage: stressImage: stressPoportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water qualityImage: stressImage: stressProportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water qualityImage: stressImage: stressProportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water qualityImage: stressImage: stressProportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water qualityImage: stressImage: stressProportion of groundwater adureum dwater bodies with good ambient water qualityImage: stressImage: stressSustainable groundwater abstractionImage: stressImage: stressImage: stressDegree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mesting persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people.Image: stressImage: stressDirect economic loss from water-related disastersImage: stressImage: stressImage: stressDegree of implementation of enabling environment at all levelsImage: stressImage: stressImage: stressPeopertion of dinking water points having actively functioning water and santation committeesImage: stressImage: stressImage: stressDirect economic loss from water related disastersImage: stressImage: stressImage: stressImage: stressPeopert of implementation of enabling environment | Head water intestructure tox growthLevel of water stress |

Guinea Bissau

2022 Benchmark

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| U | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| 1 | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| - | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| Ň | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 72,61 | 70-100 | 72,61 | off-track slow progress | |
| - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | | Information not accessed | |
| ', SAN STE W | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 35,91 | 70-100 | 35,91 | Off-track | |
| PPLY & WA | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | 32,48 | 70-100 | 32,48 | off-track slow progress | |
| S S L | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | 20,46 | 80-100 | 20,46 | Off-track | |
| VA TEF | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap | 9,32 19,56 | less than 0.5% 80-100 | 9,32 19,56 | Off-track Off-track | |
| 2 - 1 | and water at home (total) Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | | accessed Information not | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | accessed Information not | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | accessed Information not | |
| | | | | | accessed | |
| NTH | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | | Information not accessed | |
| FOR GROV | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |

| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|------|--|------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| TING M | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| ני 1 | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| <u>N</u> | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |
| IUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RNAN | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ATION | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,22 | | 0,22 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | 0,06 | Off-track | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | 0,03 | Off-track | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | 1,74 | Off-track | |
| NG | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | 1,25 | off-track slow progress | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | 42,32 | Off-track | |
| 1 - FI | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | 85,23 | off-track slow progress | |
| | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | 44,00 | 90-100 | 44,00 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | 43,65 | early achiever | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | 8,11 | Off-track | |
| ź | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | | 70-100 | 62,69 | Off-track | |
| TATIO ATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | 34,01 | Off-track | |
| MATER SUPPLY, SANITATI HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 32,84 | Off-track | |
| JPPLY & WA\$ | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 26,62 | Off-track | |
| r Si Ene | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | 73,05 | 80-100 less than 0.5% | 77,57 8,21 | on-track Off-track | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | 26,66 | 80-100 | 27,05 | off-track slow progress | |
| 2 - | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | 13,77 | >=25 | 13,90 | Off-track | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| ΗL | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | 15,13 | >= 30 | 29,18 | on-track | |
| FOR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | 4,40 | early achiever | |
| 3 - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 27,25 | off-track slow progress | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 1,45 | Off-track | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |

| | Level of water stress | 20,07 | | 20,22 | Off-track | |
|---|--|--------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| ŝ | | 20,01 | | 20,22 | | |
| IRCE | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | 5,87 | Off-track | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Percentage of water recycled and reused | 0,00 | | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| VATER | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| TING V | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | 413,60 | Off-track | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | 8,15 | Off-track | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 73,33 | | 73,33 | off-track slow progress | |
| NOITU | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 70,00 | | 67,00 | Off-track | |
| LINSTI | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | 84,77 | 90 by 2030 | 79,17 | on-track | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 48,89 | | 48,89 | Off-track | |
| RNANG | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | 26,75 | | 26,75 | Off-track | |
| 6 - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | 100,00 | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | 80,00 | on-track | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | 76,00 | off-track slow progress | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,29 | | 80,82 | on-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | 1,11 | off-track slow progress | |

Lesotho

2022 Benchmark

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| <i>(</i> 5 | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| FINAI | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ļ | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources | | 90-100 | | Information not | |
| | development and management Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | accessed Information not | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | accessed Information not | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | accessed Information not | |
| | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services | | 70-100 | 80,08 | on-track | |
| Ň, | (total) Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water | | 100 | 28,71 | Off-track | |
| UI TAT VATEF | services (total) | | 70-100 | 51,35 | Off-track | |
| Y, SAN STE V | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation | | | 01,30 | Information not | |
| JPPL & WA | services (total) | | 70-100 | | accessed Information not | |
| er si Iene | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | | 80-100 less than 0.5% | 18,63 | accessed Off-track | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population practicing open detection (total) Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | | 80-100 | 18,15 | Off-track | |
| 5 | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | 2,13 | Off-track | |
| | | | - 20 | 2,10 | Information not | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| 폰 | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | | Information not accessed | |
| OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | 0,13 | Off-track | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |

LESOTH

| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ATER I | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - CLIMATE CHANGE | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |
| TUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ERNAN | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,00 | | 31,51 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |

Overall Performance Index (PI)

Liberia

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| SING | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | 0,24 | Off-track | |
| | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | 100,00 | Off-track | |
| Ц Ц | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | 100,00 | 90-100 | 16,67 | Off-track | |
| ÷ | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | 0,20 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| ž | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | | 70-100 | 71,77 | Off-track | |
| ITATIC ATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | 4,83 | 100 | 4,71 | Off-track | |
| , SANI STE W | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 34,00 | 70-100 | 17,31 | Off-track | |
| PPLY & WAS | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | 22,08 | 70-100 | 4,15 | Off-track | |
| NE SL | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | 25,09 | 80-100 | 27,59 | Off-track | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | 79,19 2,75 | less than 0.5% 80-100 | 79,11 3,75 | Off-track Off-track | |
| 2 - 1 | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | 3,83 | | | Off-track | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| HT | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | | Information not accessed | |
| or grow | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |

| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
|-------------------------------|---|-------|--|-------|-----------------------------|--|
| CES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ING W | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water guality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ROTECT | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water guality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| NG & PF | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M, | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| <u>ی</u> - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |
| UTIONS | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |
| INSTIT | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | 64,00 | 90 by 2030 | 65,26 | Off-track | |
| E AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTI | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | | Information not accessed | |
| è | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | 20,00 | Off-track | |
| | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,23 | | 47,95 | Off-track | |
| 7 - INF AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Querell Derfermenes Index (DI) | | | | | |

Overall Performance Index (PI)

Libya

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| 6 | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| FINA | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| ÷ | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| Ť | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 98,55 | 70-100 | 97,85 | on-track | |
| WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | 85,00 | 100 | 91,98 | on-track | |
| ANITA WATI | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 85,00 | 70-100 | 99,77 | on-track | |
| WATER SUPPLY, SANITATI HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | 15,00 | 70-100 | 91,69 | on-track | |
| UPP 8.3 | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | 68,85 | 80-100 | 81,00 | on-track | |
| IER S SIENE | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | 0,00 | less than 0.5% | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| 2 - WAT HYG | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | 100,00 | 80-100 | 100,00 | early achiever | |
| 7 | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| 臣 | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | 27,93 | >= 30 | 27,93 | on-track | |
| OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | 100,00 | Productivity above 95%: | 111,70 | early achiever | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |

| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|--------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ATER I | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | 100,00 | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| ם - בי | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |
| IUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RNAN | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ATION | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,29 | | 31,51 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |

Madagascar

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | 0,06 | >=0.5 | 0,08 | Off-track | 2053 |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | 0,00 | >=0.5 | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | 1,44 | 5 | 1,44 | Off-track | |
| ICING | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | 0,05 | 5 | 0,05 | Off-track | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | 41,94 | <25 | 133,80 | Off-track | |
| ÷ | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | 1,75 | 90-100 | 1,75 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% at least 30% at least 30% | 2,00 44,02 14,01 | Off-track early achiever Off-track | |
| ź | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 87,79 | 70-100 | 48,44 | Off-track | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | 66,70 | 100 | 28,81 | Off-track | |
| , SANI STE W | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 55,38 | 70-100 | 24,97 | Off-track | |
| MATER SUPPLY, SANITATI HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | 10,83 | 70-100 | 10,61 | Off-track | |
| S L | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | 18,13 | 80-100 | 7,72 | Off-track | |
| | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | 46,89 | less than 0.5% | 77,77 | Off-track | |
| гми - РҮН | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | 1,14 | 80-100 | 21,85 | on-track | |
| 2 | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | 2,08 | >=25 | 8,33 | Off-track | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| Η | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | | Information not accessed | |
| FOR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | 5,85 | Productivity above 95%: | 5,85 | Off-track | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |

| | I | | | | | |
|---|--|-------|--|-------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 'ATER I | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ROTEC' | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| NG & PI | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ANAGII | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 53,33 | | 53,33 | Off-track | |
| UTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 55,56 | | 55,56 | Off-track | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| E AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 53,89 | | 53,89 | Off-track | |
| RNANC | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | 39,80 | | 39,80 | Off-track | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | 50,00 | | 50,00 | Off-track | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | 66,00 | | 66,00 | Off-track | |
| - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,55 | | 65,75 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |

Malawi

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| ВN | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ē | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | 34,23 | 90-100 | | Off-track | |
| Ļ. | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | 20,05 | 90-100 | 9,77 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| ż | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 78,00 | 70-100 | 88,25 | on-track | |
| - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | 20,68 | 100 | 20,06 | Off-track | |
| , SAN STE W | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 30,92 | 70-100 | 55,94 | Off-track | |
| PPLY & WAS | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | 2,99 | 70-100 | 35,84 | Off-track | |
| NE 8 | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | 96,19 | 80-100 | 43,37 | Off-track | |
| 'ATER IYGIEI | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | | less than 0.5% | | Information not accessed | |
| 2 - 7 - X | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | 7,30 | 80-100 | 8,28 | Off-track | |
| | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | 90,16 | <=50 | 90,16 | early achiever | |
| | Hydropower utilization | 21,38 | >=25 | 21,38 | on-track | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | 30,93 | >= 30 | 30,93 | early achiever | |
| or grow | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | 80,91 | Productivity above 95%: | 149,40 | early achiever | 2019 |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | 0,20 | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 100,50 | on-track | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |

| Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 83,67 | Off-track | |
| Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | 100,00 | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | 100,00 | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 84,91 | on-track | |
| Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | 100,48 | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | 780,37 | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | 1,84 | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | 0,34 | Off-track | |
| Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 78,57 | | 78,57 | Off-track | |
| Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 66,36 | | 66,36 | Off-track | |
| Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | 12,83 | 90 by 2030 | 13,17 | Off-track | |
| Degree of implementation of management instruments | 63,89 | | 63,89 | Off-track | |
| National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | 0,09 | | 0,09 | Off-track | |
| Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | 80,00 | | 80,00 | Off-track | |
| Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | 100,00 | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | 60,00 | | 54,00 | Off-track | |
| Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,60 | | 52,05 | Off-track | |
| Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | 8,67 | | | on-track | |
| | Water use efficiency across all sectors Percentage of water recycled and reused Percentage of rainwater use Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality Sustainable groundwater abstraction Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water-related disaster per 100,000 people. Direct economic loss from water-related disasters Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees Degree of implementation of management instruments National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system reported | Water use efficiency across all sectorsPercentage of water recycled and reusedPercentage of rainwater useProportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality100,00Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality100,00Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality100,00Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water qualitySustainable groundwater abstractionChange in extent of water-related ecosystems over timeDegree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures100,48Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people.780,37Direct economic loss from water-related disasters1,84Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels78,57Degree of implementation of management instruments66,36Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees10,09Degree of implementation of management instruments63,89National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation0,09Degree of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration100,00Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration0,09Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO60,00P | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people.00025% reduction in each system of any system of any system for an | Local ControlLocal ControlWater use efficiency across all sectorsPercentage of water recycled and reusedPercentage of rainwater use80% of tested / good quality83,67Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality100,0080% of tested / good quality100,00Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality100,0080% of tested / good quality100,00Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality80% of tested / good quality80% of tested / good qualityProportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality80% of tested / good quality80% of tested / good qualitySustainable groundwater abstractionChange in extent of water-related ecosystems over timeNumber of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people.780,3725% reduction in cenomic imparts by 2030Direct economic loss from water-related disasters1.8478.5778.57Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels66.3666.36Proportion of analyse points having actively functioning water and santation committees100,0066.38Degree of implementation of management instruments63.8963.89Degree of implement | Level of water stressaccessedWater use efficiency scross all sectorsInformation not accessedPercentage of water recycled and reusedInformation not accessedPercentage of rainwater useInformation not accessedProportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality80% of tested good quality100.000n-trackProportion of streams and reservoirs with good ambient water quality100.0080% of tested good quality100.000n-trackProportion of streams and reservoirs with good ambient water quality100.0080% of tested good quality100.000n-trackProportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality80% of tested good quality100.000n-trackSustainable groundwater abstraction80% of tested good quality100.000n-trackSustainable groundwater abstraction100.0080% of tested good quality100.000n-trackDegree of implementation of clinate change adaptation and raisator measures100.0080% of tested good quality100.00100.00Degree of implementation of enabling environment at alleves100.00100.00Degree of implementation of enabling environment at alleves780.372% reduction imeans11.6711.67 |

Mali

| тнеме | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| ŊĊ | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| I - FIN | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| · | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | 0,25 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | 35,28 | early achiever | |
| Ť | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | | 70-100 | 62,46 | Off-track | |
| - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | 15,00 | Off-track | |
| SANIT E WA ⁻ | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 71,80 | on-track | |
| NATER SUPPLY, SANITATI HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 1,01 | Off-track | |
| R SUP | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| ATEF /GIE | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | | less than 0.5% | 6,00 | Off-track | |
| 2 - W/ H/ | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | | 80-100 | 29,50 | Off-track | |
| | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | 26,77 | early achiever | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | 5,50 | Off-track | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ħ | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | 9,43 | Off-track | |
| -OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 0,05 | Off-track | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | 34,10 | Off-track | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |

| Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | 393,40 | Off-track | |
| Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | | | 58,33 | Off-track | |
| Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | | | 60,00 | Off-track | |
| Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| Degree of implementation of management instruments | | | 55,56 | Off-track | |
| National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | 102,00 | on-track | |
| Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | 52,00 | Off-track | |
| Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,00 | | 52,05 | Off-track | |
| Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Level of water stress Water use efficiency across all sectors Percentage of water recycled and reused Percentage of rainwater use Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality Sustainable groundwater abstraction Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water-related disaster per 100,000 people. Direct economic loss from water-related disasters Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees Proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration Degree of implementation of management instruments National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation Percentage | Level of water stressLevel of water stressWater use efficiency across all sectorsPercentage of water recycled and reusedPercentage of rainwater useProportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water qualityProportion of akes and reservoirs with good ambient water qualityProportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water qualityProportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water qualityProportion of groundwater abstractionChange in extent of water-related ecosystems over timeDegree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measuresNumber of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 peopleDirect economic loss from water-related disastersDegree of implementation of enabling environment at all levelsDegree of implementation of enabling environment at all levelsDegree of implementation of enabling actively functioning water and sanitation committeesDegree of implementation of management instrumentsDegree of implementation of governance mechanisms for institutions at all levelsProportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committeesDegree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparencyPercentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into considerationDegre | Level of water stressImage: constraint of the stressLevel of water stressImage: constraint of the stressWater use efficiency across all sectorsImage: constraint of the stressPercentage of valuer recycled and reusedImage: constraint of the stressPercentage of rainwater useImage: constraint of the stressProportion of streams and rivers with good ambient waterImage: constraint of the stream of the stressProportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient waterImage: constraint of good qualityProportion of surface and ground water bodies with goodImage: constraint of qualityProportion of surface and ground water bodies with goodImage: constraint of qualityProportion of surface and ground water bodies with goodImage: constraint of qualitySustainable groundwater abstractionImage: constraint of constraint of constraint of constraint of qualityNumber of deaths, missing and persons affected by water-related disaster per 100,000 people.Image: constraint of good gualityNumber of deaths, missing and persons affected by water-related disaster per 100,000 people.Image: constraint of image: constraint of persons affected by water and sanitation committeesImage: constraint of image: constraint of imag | Level of water stressImage: stressImage: stressWater use efficiency across all sectorsImage: stressImage: stressPercentage of water recycled and reusedImage: stressImage: stressPercentage of rainwater useImage: stressImage: stressProportion of streams and rivers with good ambient waterImage: stressImage: stressProportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient waterImage: stressImage: stressProportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient waterImage: stressImage: stressQualityImage: stressImage: stressImage: stressProportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient waterImage: stressImage: stressQualityImage: stressImage: stressImage: stressProportion of surface and ground water bodies with goodImage: stressImage: stressQualityImage: stressImage: stressImage: stressSustainable groundwater abstractionImage: stressImage: stressImage: stressDegree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitgation measuresImage: stressImage: stressNumber of deaths, missing and persons affected by water-related disaster per 100,000 people.Image: stressImage: stressDirect economic loss from water-related disastersImage: stressImage: stressImage: stressDirect economic loss from water related disastersImage: stressImage: stressImage: stressDegree of implementation of enabling environment at all levelsImage: stressImage: stress | Pedgure Construction that structure for glowth-rrr<rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr<r<r<r<r<r<r<r< <thr<<th>r<<thr< td=""></thr<></thr<<th> |

Mauritania

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | 0,03 | Off-track | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | 0,04 | Off-track | |
| - FINANCING | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | 2,52 | on - track | |
| | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | 1,46 | Off-track | |
| | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | 65,61 | Off-track | |
| | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | 49,90 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | | 70-100 | 73,05 | Off-track | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | | Information not accessed | |
| SANIT E WA ⁻ | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 48,04 | Off-track | |
| MATER SUPPLY, SANITATI HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| R SUP | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| ATEI YGIE | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | | less than 0.5% | 28,88 | Off-track | |
| 2 - V | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | | 80-100 | 29,97 | Off-track | |
| | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | 31,37 | early achiever | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | 0,03 | Off-track | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| HT | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | 0,62 | Off-track | |
| -OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 4,48 | Off-track | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | 100,00 | early achiever | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 69,05 | Off-track | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |

| | Required water infrastructure for growth | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|--|--------|-----------------------------|---|
| | Level of water stress | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of rainwater use | | | Information not accessed | |
| TING W | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | n |
| | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| 2 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| ទ | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | | 70,71 | Off-track | |
| IUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | | 68,12 | Off-track | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | | 56,88 | Off-track | |
| ERNAN | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | 53,33 | Off-track | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | Information not accessed | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | 80,00 | on-track | |
| IFORM/ | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | | 64,38 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | 8,77 | on-track | |
| | | | | | |

Mozambique

2022 Benchmark

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINANCING | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| FINAD | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ļ | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities Degree of implementation of financing for water resources | | 90-100 90-100 | 47,51 | Off-track Information not | |
| | development and management Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | 1,34 | accessed Off-track | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation Percentage of population using basic drinking water services | | at least 30% | 5,37 | Off-track | |
| ATION, ER | (total) Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water | | 70-100 100 | 133,20 57,63 | early-achiever Off-track | |
| SANIT/ E WAT | services (total) Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 42,30 | Off-track | |
| - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 34,62 | Off-track | |
| er suf Iene & | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| 2 - WATI НҮG | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | | less than 0.5% 80-100 | 65,33 34,62 | Off-track Off-track | |
| | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | mising data | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| Η | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | 7,50 | Off-track | |
| FOR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |

| | | 1 | | Information and | |
|---|--|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Level of water stress | | | Information not accessed | |
| IRCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | Information not accessed | |
| RESOL | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | Information not accessed | |
| VATER | Percentage of rainwater use | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | Information not accessed | |
| IANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| - CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | | 63,33 | Off-track | |
| IUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | | 66,25 | Off-track | |
| 6 - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | 90 by 2030 | 98,61 | early-achiever | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | | 68,33 | Off-track | |
| RNANG | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | Information not accessed | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | Information not accessed | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | 6,67 | Off-track | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | | 47,95 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | |

Namibia

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | 6,10 | early achiever | |
| 5NI | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | 35,96 | Off-track | |
| Ē | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | 56,52 | 90-100 | 56,52 | Off-track | |
| ÷ | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | 100,00 | 90-100 | 33,58 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| , Ž | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 58,14 | 70-100 | 57,07 | Off-track | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | | Information not accessed | |
| , SAN STE W | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 17,08 | 70-100 | 16,76 | Off-track | |
| PPLY & WAS | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | 26,17 | 70-100 | 25,69 | Off-track | |
| NE SL | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | 88,89 | 80-100 | 88,29 | on-track | |
| GIE TER | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | 47,36 | less than 0.5% | 46,49 | Off-track | |
| - WA HY | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| 5 | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | 13,19 | >=25 | 13,19 | Off-track | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ę | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | 43,08 | >= 30 | 38,84 | early achiever | 2022 |
| OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 189,20 | early achiever | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | 96,25 | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |

| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
|---|---|--------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ATER | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | 75,00 | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 80,00 | Off-track | |
| NG & PI | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ANAGII | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 84,21 | Off-track | |
| 4 - M, | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | 213,00 | early achiever | |
| - CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | 31,95 | early achiever | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 78,00 | | 88,00 | on-track | |
| UTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 76,67 | | 7,00 | Off-track | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | 73,07 | 90 by 2030 | 57,35 | Off-track | |
| JE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 57,56 | | 60,89 | Off-track | |
| RNANG | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | 100,00 | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | 98,33 | on-track | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | 33,33 | on-track | |
| | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | 19,20 | Off-track | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,40 | | 69,86 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | 0,02 | Off-track | |

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | 0,10 | >=0.5 | 0,07 | Off-track | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | 0,05 | >=0.5 | 0,08 | Off-track | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | 2,95 | 5 | 2,07 | Off-track | |
| CING | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | 1,89 | 5 | 2,52 | on-track | |
| FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | 191,20 | Off-track | |
| | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | 78,87 | 90-100 | 84,24 | on-track | |
| | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | 32,00 | 90-100 | 24,00 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation NGO contribution to water and sanitation | 21,52 | at least 30% at least 30% | 34,53 34,53 | early achiever early achiever | |
| ź | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 39,04 | 70-100 | 50,54 | Off-track | |
| TATIO VTER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | 10,46 | 100 | 9,38 | Off-track | |
| SANI TE WA | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 5,28 | 70-100 | 6,99 | Off-track | |
| PPLY, & WAS | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | 4,55 | 70-100 | 6,01 | Off-track | 2085 |
| NE 8 | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | 15,79 | Off-track | |
| - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap | 79,19 25,16 | less than 0.5% 80-100 | 72,98 26,74 | Off-track Off-track | |
| 2 - V + | and water at home (total) Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | 0,00 | accessed Information not accessed | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | 2,49 | >= 60 | 3,92 | Off-track | |
| Η | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | 24,62 | >= 30 | 24,62 | on-track | |
| OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | 0,58 | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | 1,11 | early achiever | |
| 3 - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 0,12 | Off-track | |
| 3 - W/ | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | 97,24 | Productivity above 95%: | 97,24 | early achiever | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | 54,68 | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 178,30 | early achiever | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |

| CES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | 7,17 | | 15,96 | Off-track | |
|---|---|---------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| TING W | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | 100,00 | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| NG & PF | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ANAGII | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| 4 - M <i>I</i> | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| - CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | 1006,78 | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| ي - ا | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | 0,11 | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | 0,26 | Off-track | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 60,00 | | 60,00 | Off-track | |
| STITUTIONS | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 72,73 | | 70,91 | Off-track | |
| | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | 100,00 | 90 by 2030 | 100,00 | early achiever | |
| SE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 53,33 | | 55,56 | Off-track | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND IN | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | 100,00 | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | 92,00 | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| و | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | 125,00 | on-track | |
| | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | 76,40 | | 76,40 | Off-track | |
| - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,71 | | 87,67 | on-track | |
| 7 - INI AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | 0,00 | | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |

Nigeria

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | 0,02 | Off-track | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | 1,54 | early achiever | |
| - FINANCING | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| FINA | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | 3,64 | <25 | 0,00 | early achiever | |
| <u>-</u> | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | 58,01 | 90-100 | 58,01 | Off-track | |
| | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | 61,81 | 90-100 | 64,65 | Off-track | 2032 |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | 0,00 | Off-track | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | 0,00 | Off-track Off-track | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation Percentage of population using basic drinking water services | | at least 30% | 0,00 | | |
| TI ON, | (total) Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water | | 70-100 | 38,80 | Off-track | |
| NITA WATE | services (total) | 35,90 | 100 | 49,30 | on-track | 2024 |
| -Y, S⊿ ASTE | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 92,60 | 70-100 | 45,45 | Off-track | |
| WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | 44,10 | 70-100 | 18,28 | Off-track | |
| EN S | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | 2,90 | 80-100 | 8,00 | Off-track | 2038 |
| WATI HYG | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap | 39,00 34,00 | less than 0.5% 80-100 | 23,15 17,23 | Off-track Off-track | |
| 2 - | and water at home (total) | | | | | |
| | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated Hydropower utilization | 83,88 15,48 | <=50 >=25 | 182,30 | early achiever early achiever | |
| | | 10,40 | >-20 | 102,30 | | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | 0,00 | >= 60 | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| ΗL | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | 100,00 | early achiever | |
| -OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWT | Industrial Water Productivity | 0,01 | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 7700,00 | early achiever | |
| 3 - W <i>F</i> | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | 100,00 | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Off-track | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |

| RESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOU | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| M 9NIT | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | 42,93 | 80% of tested / good quality | 27,75 | Off-track | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | 56,73 | 80% of tested / good quality | 48,08 | Off-track | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 36,63 | Off-track | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | 29,67 | | 36,33 | on-track | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | 84,14 | on-track | |
| - CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | 9,39 | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| 2 2 | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | 0,93 | Off-track | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 62,14 | | 70,00 | Off-track | |
| IUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 63,18 | | 67,45 | Off-track | |
| AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | 32,13 | 90 by 2030 | 26,79 | Off-track | |
| | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 50,33 | | 55,67 | Off-track | |
| - GOVERNANCE | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | 65,00 | | 67,00 | Off-track | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| VTION SITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | 82,00 | on-track | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,53 | | 87,67 | on-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | 0,01 | Off-track | |

Rwanda

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | 0,05 | >=0.5 | 0,05 | Off-track | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | 0,02 | >=0.5 | 0,02 | Off-track | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | 1,46 | 5 | 1,46 | Off-track | |
| ð | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | 0,73 | 5 | 0,73 | Off-track | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| FINA | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | 11,60 | 90-100 | 11,60 | Off-track | |
| - | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| - | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 83,80 | 70-100 | 83,80 | on-track | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | | Information not accessed | |
| E WA | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 69,24 | 70-100 | 69,24 | Off-track | |
| VATER SUPPLY, SANITATI(HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| NE & | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| ATER /GIEI | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | 2,81 | less than 0.5% | 2,81 | on-track | |
| 2 - W | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | 85,52 | >=25 | 85,52 | early achiever | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ħ | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | 11,17 | >= 30 | 11,17 | Off-track | |
| OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - W⊅ | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |

| | Level of water stress | 11,35 | | 11,35 | Off-track | |
|---|---|--------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| SICES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| ATER | Percentage of rainwater use | 8,62 | | 8,62 | Off-track | |
| TING W | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | 75,00 | 80% of tested / good quality | 75,00 | Off-track | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | 66,67 | 80% of tested / good quality | 66,67 | Off-track | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | 100,00 | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ANAGII | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | 0,25 | | 0,25 | Off-track | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | 37,20 | 80-100 | 37,20 | Off-track | |
| - CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | 3,27 | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | 3,27 | early achiever | |
| י זי | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 67,50 | | 67,50 | Off-track | |
| UTIONS | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 72,50 | | 72,50 | Off-track | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUT | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | 1,57 | 90 by 2030 | 1,57 | Off-track | |
| E AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 67,78 | | 67,78 | Off-track | |
| RNANC | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | 91,95 | | 91,95 | on-track | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | 82,02 | | 82,02 | on-track | |
| و | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | 33,33 | | 33,33 | Off-track | |
| | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | 62,40 | | 62,40 | Off-track | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,59 | | 58,90 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | 7,24 | | 7,24 | on-track | |

Sao Tome et Principe

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| (7) | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINA | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| - | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | 20,00 | 90-100 | 20,00 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 78,16 | 70-100 | 76,67 | on-track | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | 38,35 | 100 | 37,62 | Off-track | |
| ANIT/ | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 48,46 | Information not accessed | |
| ⊃LY, S VASTI | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | 0,00 | 70-100 | 6,19 | Off-track | |
| k SUPI NE & V | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | 34,43 | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| ATEF YGIE | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | | less than 0.5% | 30,00 | Off-track | |
| 2 - W | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | | 80-100 | 81,82 | on-track | |
| | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| 臣 | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | | Information not accessed | |
| OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |

| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|-------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| /ATER | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| TING W | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 447,10 | early achiever | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | 75,00 | 80% of tested / good quality | 75,00 | Off-track | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| 2 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 39,00 | | 39,00 | Off-track | |
| IUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 30,00 | | 30,00 | Off-track | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RNAN | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | Information not accessed | |
| FORM/ | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,23 | | 35,62 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |

Senegal

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | 0,71 | >=0.5 | 1,47 | early achiever | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation | 6,16 | >=0.5 | 0,25 10,44 | Off-track on-track | |
| ŊĠ | and hygiene. Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation | | 5 | 3,59 | on-track | |
| - FINANCING | and hygiene Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | 20,64 | early achiever | |
| Ē | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | 9,59 | Off-track | |
| - | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | 34,00 | 90-100 | 34,00 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | 1,06 | at least 30% | 1,22 | Off-track | 2207 |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | 1,06 | at least 30% | 1,22 | Off-track | 2207 |
| Ň, | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | | 70-100 | 96,77 | on-track | |
| - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | 79,85 | 100 | 97,54 | on-track | |
| <pre>', SAN STE W</pre> | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 52,85 | 70-100 | 23,18 | Off-track | |
| IPPLY & WAS | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | 48,57 | 70-100 | 57,75 | on-track | |
| S SL | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | 1,23 | Off-track | |
| GE | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap | 17,27 | less than 0.5% | 12,57 | Off-track | |
| AV - 1 HY | and water at home (total) | 0,03 | 80-100 | 35,60 | on-track | |
| 2 | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | 100,00 | >=25 | 20,00 | on-track | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| ΗĽ | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | 60,44 | early achiever | |
| FOR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | 0,72 | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | 0,79 | early achiever | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | 24,82 | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 27,98 | Off-track | |
| 3 - WI | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | 76,61 | on-track | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | 62,51 | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 50,00 | Off-track | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |

| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | 7,39 | | 7,18 | Off-track | |
|---|---|--------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| RESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| /ATER | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| TING W | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 72,73 | Off-track | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 75,00 | Off-track | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 71,43 | Off-track | |
| ANAGII | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 72,73 | on-track | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | 26,50 | Off-track | |
| 5 - CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 58,33 | | 58,33 | Off-track | |
| STITUTIONS | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 54,29 | | 52,44 | Off-track | |
| | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | 66,28 | on-track | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND IN | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 55,56 | | 55,56 | Off-track | |
| RNANG | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | 100,00 | | 28,59 | Off-track | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | 100,00 | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,52 | | 76,71 | on-track | |
| 7 - INI AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |

Seychelles

2022 Benchmark

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| G | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINA | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | 0,00 | 90-100 | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| - | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | 40,00 | 90-100 | 40,00 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| ENE & | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | | 70-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| HYGIE | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | | Information not accessed | |
| TION, | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| ANITA | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| PLY, SANITATIO WASTE WATER | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | 0,00 | 80-100 | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| SUPF | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | 0,00 | less than 0.5% | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| 2 - V | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| ΗL | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | | Information not accessed | |
| FOR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - W <i>i</i> | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | 100,00 | Productivity above 95%: | 100,00 | early achiever | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |

| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|--------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 'ATER I | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| M DNIL | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | 88,89 | 80% of tested / good quality | 88,89 | on-track | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | 100,00 | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| 2 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 60,00 | | 60,00 | Off-track | |
| IUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 71,43 | | 71,43 | Off-track | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 51,11 | | 51,11 | Off-track | |
| ERNAN | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ATION | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,19 | | 0,19 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |

Sierra Leone

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | 0,04 | >=0.5 | 0,04 | Off-track | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | 0,01 | >=0.5 | 0,01 | Off-track | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | 3,76 | 5 | 3,76 | on-track | |
| Ŋ | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | 3,02 | 5 | 3,02 | Off-track | |
| NCII | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | 82,54 | <25 | 82,54 | Off-track | |
| - FINANCING | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | 55,13 | 90-100 | 55,13 | Off-track | |
| ÷ | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 53,44 | 70-100 | 53,44 | Off-track | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | 10,72 | 100 | 10,72 | Off-track | |
| | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 2,57 | 70-100 | 2,57 | Off-track | |
| WATER SUPPLY, SANITATI HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | 14,29 | 70-100 | 14,29 | Off-track | |
| i suf Ne & | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| ATER /GIEI | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | 16,41 | less than 0.5% | 16,41 | Off-track | |
| Ň. | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | 42,38 | 80-100 | 42,38 | Off-track | |
| 2 | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | 5,60 | >=25 | 5,60 | Off-track | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | 0,01 | | 0,01 | Off-track | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| ΗL | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | 3,72 | >= 30 | 3,72 | Off-track | |
| OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | 15,64 | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | 15,64 | early achiever | |
| 3 - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - W¢ | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |

| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|--------|--|-------|-----------------------------|--|
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ROTEC' | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| NG & PI | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ANAGII | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| - CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | 428,73 | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | 0,01 | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | 0,01 | Off-track | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 60,71 | | 60,71 | Off-track | |
| NOITU | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 51,36 | | 51,36 | Off-track | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | 61,11 | 90 by 2030 | 61,11 | Off-track | |
| E AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 50,00 | | 50,00 | Off-track | |
| RNANC | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,60 | | 0,60 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |

Somalia

2022 Benchmark

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| o | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINA | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| - | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 70,73 | 70-100 | 70,73 | Off-track | |
| - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | | Information not accessed | |
| ANIT/ E WAT | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 20,06 | 70-100 | 20,06 | Off-track | |
| PLY, S NAST | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| MATER SUPPLY, SANITATI HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| 'ATEF IYGIE | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap | 58,78 | less than 0.5% | 58,78 | Off-track Information not | |
| 2 - - X | and water at home (total) | | 80-100 | | accessed | |
| | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ŧ | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | 32,50 | >= 30 | 32,50 | early achiever | |
| OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |

| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|-------|--|-------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| VATERI | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| TING W | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| IANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 44,29 | | 44,29 | Off-track | |
| TUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 31,82 | | 31,82 | Off-track | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 30,00 | | 30,00 | Off-track | |
| ERNAN | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | 28,00 | | 28,00 | Off-track | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | 25,00 | Off-track | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,25 | | | Information not accessed | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | 0,25 | Off-track | |
| | | | | | | |

South Africa

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|---|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | 0,29 | >=0.5 | 0,57 | early achiever | 2020 |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | 0,22 | >=0.5 | 0,54 | early achiever | 2020 |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | 3,60 | 5 | 7,30 | early achiever | |
| DN | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | 3,06 | 5 | 6,62 | early achiever | 2020 |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | 0,01 | on-track | |
| | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | 48,83 | Off-track | |
| ÷ | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | 66,20 | 90-100 | 57,20 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | 0,03 | Off-track | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | | 70-100 | 88,08 | on-track | |
| SANITATION, TE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | 80,08 | 100 | 66,23 | Off-track | 2018 |
| ANIT, WAT | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 71,11 | 70-100 | 83,49 | on-track | |
| WATER SUPPLY, SANITATI HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | 61,16 | 70-100 | 61,44 | Off-track | |
| SUP NE & | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| WATER : HYGIEN | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | 1,50 | less than 0.5% | 0,68 | on-track | |
| 2 - WA НҮ | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | 63,95 | 80-100 | 70,48 | on-track | |
| | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | 49,55 | >=25 | 25,61 | on-track | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | 0,31 | >= 60 | 28,50 | on-track | |
| ΗT | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | 84,38 | early achiever | |
| -OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | 0,66 | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | 1,99 | early achiever | |
| 3 - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | 33,84 | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 380,50 | early achiever | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | 100,00 | early achiever | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | 92,48 | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 116,00 | on-track | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |

| | Level of water stress | 42,88 | | 40,92 | Off-track | |
|---|--|--------|--|-------|-----------------------------|--|
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | 25,40 | | 48,30 | on-track | |
| RESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | 3,90 | Off-track | |
| ATER F | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 34,78 | Off-track | |
| | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 28,57 | Off-track | |
| NG & PI | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 66,67 | Off-track | |
| ANAGII | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 52,73 | Off-track | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | 20,78 | | 21,33 | Off-track | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 78,33 | | 78,33 | Off-track | |
| UTIONS | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 54,00 | | 55,00 | Off-track | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUT | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| E AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 67,78 | | 75,00 | Off-track | |
| RNANG | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | 100,00 | | 99,99 | on-track | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | 26,00 | | 26,00 | Off-track | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | 21,43 | Off-track | |
| | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,59 | | 83,56 | on-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | 0,13 | Off-track | |

South Sudan

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| U | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| 1 | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| - | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| ž | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | | 70-100 | 49,30 | Off-track | |
| TATIC | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | | Information not accessed | |
| MATER SUPPLY, SANITATIC HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 13,00 | Information not accessed | |
| PPLY, & WAS | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 2,00 | Off-track | |
| R SU | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | 72,80 | 80-100 less than 0.5% | 72,80 87,00 | Off-track Off-track | |
| - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population practicing open detection (total) Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | | 80-100 | 35,33 | Off-track | |
| 2 - | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| ΗĻ | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | 0,01 | >= 30 | | Off-track | |
| -OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | 0,00 | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 0,00 | Off-track | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |

| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|--------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| /ATER I | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| TING W | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | 0,14 | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | 286,00 | early achiever | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 32,14 | | 32,14 | Off-track | |
| IUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 14,55 | | 27,27 | Off-track | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | 0,10 | 90 by 2030 | | Off-track | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 22,22 | | 23,56 | Off-track | |
| ERNANC | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | 324,20 | early achiever | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | 24,00 | | 24,40 | Off-track | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | 100,00 | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,64 | | 42,47 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |

Sudan

| тнеме | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| CING | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | 10,99 | early achiever | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | 32,00 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | 36,58 | early achiever Information not | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | 36,58 | early achiever | |
| Ň, | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | | 70-100 | 70,02 | Off-track | |
| WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | 66,12 | Off-track | |
| Y, SAN STE W | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 30,59 | Information not accessed | |
| UPPL' & WA | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 0,80 | Off-track | |
| R SI ENE | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | | 80-100 less than 0.5% | 60,73 30,27 | Off-track Off-track | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, HYGIENE & WAS | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | | 80-100 | 25,08 | Off-track | |
| 2 - | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | 468,90 | early achiever | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| 臣 | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | | Information not accessed | |
| OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | 0,70 | early achiever | |
| 3 - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 910,50 | early achiever | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | 60,13 | Off-track | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 21,80 | Off-track | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |

| Level of water stress | | | 80,98 | on-track | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | 4,01 | Off-track | |
| Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not | |
| Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 114,30 | on-track | |
| Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 66,67 | Off-track | |
| Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | 10,67 | Off-track | |
| Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | | | 31,43 | Off-track | |
| Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | | | 36,82 | Off-track | |
| Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| Degree of implementation of management instruments | | | 38,44 | Off-track | |
| National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | 89,56 | on-track | |
| Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | 46,00 | Off-track | |
| Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | 17,39 | Off-track | |
| Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | Information not accessed | |
| Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | | | 76,71 | Off-track | |
| Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Water use efficiency across all sectors Percentage of water recycled and reused Percentage of rainwater use Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality Sustainable groundwater abstraction Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. Direct economic loss from water-related disasters Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees Degree of implementation of management instruments National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASS | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water-related disastersDegree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levelsDegree of implementation of management instrumentsProportion of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 peopleDegree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levelsDegree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levelsDegree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levelsDegree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levelsDegree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levelsDegree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levelsDegree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levelsProportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committeesDegree of implementation of an appending and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 peopleDirect economic loss from water-related disastersDegree of implementation of establishment and reform of integrity and transparencyDegree of implementation of establishment and reform of integrity and transparencyDegree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparencyDegree of implementation of accord ploicies, laws and plans where gender concerns have b | Induct to the total of the t | Index constructionIntermIntermIntermWater use efficiency across all sectorsIIIIPercentage of water recycled and reusedIIIIPercentage of rainwater useIB0% of tested/ good qualityI14,30Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water qualityB0% of tested/ good qualityI00,00Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water qualityB0% of tested/ good qualityI00,00Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water qualityB0% of tested/ good qualityI00,00Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water qualityIB0% of tested/ good cualityI00,00Sustainable groundwater abstractionIIIIDegree of implementation of olimate change adaptation and mitigation measuresIIINumber of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per I00,000 people.IIIDirect economic loss from water-related disastersIIIIDegree of implementation of etablishment and reform of instrutions at all levelsIIIIDirect economic loss from water-related disastersIIIIIDegree of implementation of management instrumentsIIIIIDirect economic loss from water ooperationIIIIIIDegree of implementation of management instrumentsIII <td>Income controlIncome controlIncome controlWater use efficiency across all sectorsI.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.III (IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII</td> | Income controlIncome controlIncome controlWater use efficiency across all sectorsI.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.III (IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII |

Overall Performance Index (PI)

Tanzania

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | 0,02 | >=0.5 | | Off-track | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | 0,02 | >=0.5 | | Off-track | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | 2,52 | 5 | | on-track | |
| ŋ | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | 1,69 | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| FINA | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | 52,17 | 90-100 | 51,22 | Off-track | |
| ÷ | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | 4,75 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 88,38 | 70-100 | 70,38 | Off-track | 2018 |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | 71,33 | Off-track | |
| E WA | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 17,78 | 70-100 | 143,60 | early achiever | 2020 |
| NATER SUPPLY, SANITATI HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | 0,14 | 70-100 | 42,74 | on-track | |
| s SUF NE & | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| ATEF YGIE | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | 0,02 | less than 0.5% | 2,56 | on-track | |
| Ϋ́Ξ | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | 0,16 | 80-100 | 82,40 | on-track | |
| | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | 10,92 | >=25 | 11,06 | Off-track | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ħ | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | 2,36 | >= 30 | 2,36 | Off-track | |
| FOR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WATER INFRAsTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | 0,06 | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Off-track | |
| 3 - W | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | 64,29 | Productivity above 95%: | 85,45 | on-track | 2020 |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | 57,31 | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 54,22 | Off-track | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |

| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|--------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ATER I | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| TING M | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 61,54 | Off-track | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | 9,84 | 80% of tested / good quality | 55,56 | Off-track | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | 31,57 | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| - CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | 222,80 | Off-track | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 92,33 | | 98,75 | on-track | |
| IUTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 75,63 | | 85,00 | on-track | |
| 6 - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | 67,57 | 90 by 2030 | | Off-track | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 97,78 | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| RNAN | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | 0,00 | | 0,00 | Off-track | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | 80,00 | | 82,50 | on-track | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | 100,00 | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | 80,00 | | 92,00 | on-track | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,58 | | 60,27 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | 0,32 | Off-track | |

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | 0,14 | >=0.5 | 0,94 | early achiever | 2019 |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | 0,12 | >=0.5 | 0,63 | early achiever | 2020 |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | 8,04 | 5 | | early achiever | |
| CING | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | 4,72 | 5 | 8,65 | early achiever | 2019 |
| 1 - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | 77,39 | <25 | 8,90 | early achiever | 2020 |
| | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities Degree of implementation of financing for water resources | | 90-100 | 45,15 | Off-track | |
| | development and management | 26,00 | 90-100 | 26,00 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% at least 30% | 4,73 1,26 | Off-track Off-track | |
| ź | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 34,82 | 70-100 | 64,46 | Off-track | 2021 |
| TATIO ATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | 19,89 | Off-track | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 46,25 | 70-100 | 18,75 | Off-track | |
| PPLY, WAS | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | 28,06 | 70-100 | 9,15 | Off-track | |
| s SU NE 8 | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | 43,49 | 80-100 | 62,95 | Off-track | |
| VATEF HYGIE | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap | 44,14 17,28 | less than 0.5% 80-100 | 45,63 16,61 | Off-track Off-track | |
| 2 - V + | and water at home (total) Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not | |
| | Hydropower utilization | 45,47 | >=25 | 45,47 | accessed early achiever | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | 0,07 | | 0,05 | Off-track | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| H | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | 0,15 | >= 30 | 0,16 | Off-track | |
| OR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| 3 - W/ | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | | Information not accessed | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | 0,12 | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 4,95 | Off-track | 2044 |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Level of water stress | 3,00 | | 3,18 | Off-track | |

| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|--------|--|--------|-----------------------------|------|
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| ATER I | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| M 9NIL | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | Information not accessed | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | Information not accessed | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| 5 - CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | 276,78 | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | 502,30 | Off-track | 2015 |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 50,00 | | 50,00 | Off-track | |
| STITUTIONS | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 30,91 | | 30,91 | Off-track | |
| LINSTI | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | 0,00 | 90 by 2030 | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 31,25 | | 31,25 | Off-track | |
| RNAN | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | 52,05 | | 52,05 | Off-track | |
| 6 - GOVERNANCE AND IN | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | 87,50 | | 88,89 | on-track | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,63 | | 78,08 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |

Tunisia

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | 0,44 | >=0.5 | | on-track | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | 2,81 | 5 | | on-track | |
| - FINANCING | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | 30,58 | <25 | | Off-track | |
| Ē | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | 47,46 | Off-track | |
| - | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | 62,08 | 90-100 | 115,80 | early achiever | 2020 |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | 25,36 | at least 30% | 25,36 | on-track | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| ENE & | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | | 70-100 | 98,33 | on-track | |
| HYGIE | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | 98,33 | on-track | |
| TION, ER | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 59,95 | Off-track | |
| PLY, SANITATI WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 59,95 | Off-track | |
| 'LY, S <i>i</i> ASTE | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| SUPP V | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | | less than 0.5% | | Information not accessed | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| 2 - W | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| ΗĽ | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | | Information not accessed | |
| =or grow | Agricultural Water Productivity | 0,58 | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | 0,65 | on-track | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 173,60 | early achiever | |
| 3 - WA | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | 100,10 | early achiever | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | 28,42 | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 34,69 | Off-track | 2034 |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |

| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Level of water stress | | | 102,10 | early achiever | |
| CES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | 8,96 | | 11,31 | Off-track | |
| ESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ATER F | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 54,29 | Off-track | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 86,36 | on-track | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - CLIMATE CHANGE | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| <u>ی</u> - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | | | 58,57 | Off-track | |
| NOITU | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | | | 65,00 | Off-track | |
| 6 - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| E AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | | | 55,56 | Off-track | |
| RNANC | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | | | Information not accessed | |
| GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | | Information not accessed | |
| - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,25 | | 47,95 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | 1,09 | | | Off-track | |

Overall Performance Index (PI)

Uganda

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| ŋ | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINANCING | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | 1,35 | Off-track | |
| - FIN | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| - | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | 5,46 | 90-100 | 77,16 | on-track | 2020 |
| | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | 25,13 | 90-100 | 14,06 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | 0,40 | at least 30% | 0,51 | Off-track | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | 0,01 | at least 30% | 0,45 | Off-track | 2087 |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | 5,81 | at least 30% | 8,24 | Off-track | 2029 |
| TION, R | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | 68,64 | 70-100 | 60,62 | Off-track | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | 90,60 | 100 | 99,92 | on-track | |
| LY, SA ASTE | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | 78,51 | 70-100 | 22,71 | Off-track | |
| SUPPI E & W | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | 15,13 | 70-100 | 117,00 | early achiever | |
| EN : | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | 41,07 | 80-100 | 19,85 | Off-track | |
| АT YG | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap | 19,48 | less than 0.5% | 18,28 | Off-track | |
| 2 - W H | and water at home (total) | 43,88 | 80-100 | 47,26 | Off-track | 2036 |
| | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | 58,00 | <=50 | | earlyachiever | |
| | Hydropower utilization | 18,27 | >=25 | 9,90 | Off-track | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| ΗTΛ | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | 1,53 | >= 30 | 0,74 | Off-track | |
| FOR GROW | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | | Information not accessed | |
| - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 102,00 | on-track | |
| 3 - W <i>I</i> | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | 100,00 | Productivity above 95%: | 201,50 | earlyachiever | 2019 |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | 128,68 | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 989,40 | early achiever | 2019 |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |

| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|--------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| ATER I | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| TING M | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | 108,60 | early achiever | |
| 5 - CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | 820,46 | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | 0,38 | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | 0,42 | Off-track | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 83,17 | | 82,83 | on-track | |
| STITUTIONS | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 79,50 | | 85,00 | on-track | |
| INSTI | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | 90,01 | 90 by 2030 | 1,92 | Off-track | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 73,11 | | 72,11 | Off-track | |
| RNANG | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | 100,00 | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| 6 - GOVERNANCE AND IN | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | 80,00 | | 92,00 | on-track | |
| | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | 100,00 | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ATION | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | 11,80 | | 11,80 | Off-track | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,63 | | 73,97 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | 0,08 | | 0,06 | Off-track | |

Zambia

| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| DN | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ē | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | 100,00 | 90-100 | 100,00 | on-track | |
| Ė | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | 0,00 | Information not accessed | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| ź | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | | 70-100 | 65,27 | Off-track | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | | Information not accessed | |
| WATER SUPPLY, SANITATIC HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 35,19 | Off-track | |
| PPLY WAS | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 116,90 | early achiever | |
| SU E 8 | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | 35,36 | Off-track | |
| E E | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | | less than 0.5% | 2,06 | on-track | |
| - WAT HYG | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | | 80-100 | 46,60 | Off-track | |
| 2 | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | | >=25 | 31,17 | early achiever | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| ΗL | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | | >= 30 | 6,55 | Off-track | |
| or grow | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | 10,80 | early achiever | |
| 3 - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 105,80 | on-track | |
| 3 - W <i>I</i> | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | 91,02 | on-track | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | 0,01 | Off-track | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |

| | Level of water stress | | | Information not accessed | |
|---|--|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | 0,03 | Off-track | |
| RESOU | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | Information not accessed | |
| 'ATER I | Percentage of rainwater use | | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ROTEC | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| NG & P | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ANAGI | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | 80% of tested / good quality | 100,00 | on-track | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| - CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | | Information not accessed | |
| י נו | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | | 55,71 | Off-track | |
| UTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | | 60,55 | Off-track | |
| - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| CE AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | | 46,11 | Off-track | |
| RNANG | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | | 0,01 | Off-track | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | | 61,60 | Off-track | |
| Q | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | | | Information not accessed | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | | | Information not accessed | |
| - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | | 50,68 | Off-track | |
| 7 - INI AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Querell Berfermence Index (BI) | | | | |

Overall Performance Index (PI)

Zimbabwe


| THEME | INDICATOR | Baseline Value: 2019 | Target | Value for 2022 | Progress Status | Projected Year of Achievement |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Percent of GDP allocated to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | 1,65 | early achiever | |
| | Percent of GDP disbursed to sanitation and hygiene | | >=0.5 | | Information not accessed | |
| ŊĠ | Percent of national budget allocated to water supply, sanitation and hygiene. | | 5 | 9,49 | earlyachiever | |
| | Percent of national budget disbursed to water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | 5 | | Information not accessed | |
| - FINANCING | Proportion of ODA in financing of water supply, sanitation and hygiene | | <25 | 2,99 | early achiever | |
| ∠ ∐ | Application of pro-poor financing by utilities | | 90-100 | 30,69 | Off-track | |
| Ļ. | Degree of implementation of financing for water resources development and management | | 90-100 | 3,37 | Off-track | |
| | Private sector contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | Non-profit stakeholder contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| | NGO contribution to water and sanitation | | at least 30% | | Information not accessed | |
| ž | Percentage of population using basic drinking water services (total) | | 70-100 | 62,85 | Off-track | |
| 2 - WATER SUPPLY, SANITATION, HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using safely managed drinking water services (total) | | 100 | 30,16 | Off-track | |
| WATER SUPPLY, SANITATI(HYGIENE & WASTE WATER | Percentage of population using basic sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 76,22 | on-track | |
| PPLY & WAS | Percentage of population using safely managed sanitation services (total) | | 70-100 | 25,38 | Off-track | |
| SU SU | Percentage of schools catering to sanitary needs of girls | | 80-100 | 59,02 | Off-track | |
| E E | Percentage of population practicing open defecation (total) | | less than 0.5% | 21,10 | Off-track | |
| - WAT HYG | Percentage of population with handwashing facilities with soap and water at home (total) | | 80-100 | 41,93 | Off-track | |
| 7 | Percentage of wastewater not safely treated | | <=50 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Hydropower utilization | 38,92 | >=25 | 124,70 | early achiever | |
| | Energy Water Productivity | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in Crop Water Productivity | | >= 60 | | Information not accessed | |
| Ħ | Irrigation area as a percentage of National Irrigation Potential | 10,30 | >= 30 | 8,83 | Off-track | |
| or grow | Agricultural Water Productivity | | Global average:0.65 USD/m3: | 0,44 | Off-track | |
| 3 - WATER INFRASTRUCTURE FOR GROWTH | Industrial Water Productivity | | Global average of industrial water use efficiency reported by UN Water: 18.5 USD/m3-31 USD/m3 | 54,09 | Off-track | |
| 3 - W <i>i</i> | Municipal Water Supply Efficiency | | Productivity above 95%: | 100,10 | early achiever | |
| | Services Water Use Efficiency | | Global average: 120 USD/m3 | | Information not accessed | |
| | Regional development of infrastructure to the benefit of all riparian states. | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Required water infrastructure for growth | | 70 | | Information not accessed | |

| | I. | | | | | |
|---|--|--------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Level of water stress | | | | Information not accessed | |
| RCES | Water use efficiency across all sectors | | | 319,60 | early achiever | |
| 4 - MANAGING & PROTECTING WATER RESOURCES | Percentage of water recycled and reused | | | | Information not accessed | |
| 'ATER I | Percentage of rainwater use | | | | Information not accessed | |
| M BNI | Proportion of streams and rivers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ROTEC ⁻ | Proportion of lakes and reservoirs with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | 33,33 | Off-track | |
| NG & PI | Proportion of groundwater aquifers with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| ANAGII | Proportion of surface and ground water bodies with good ambient water quality | | 80% of tested / good quality | | Information not accessed | |
| 4 - M | Sustainable groundwater abstraction | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Change in extent of water-related ecosystems over time | | | | Information not accessed | |
| | Degree of implementation of climate change adaptation and mitigation measures | | 80-100 | | Information not accessed | |
| - CLIMATE CHANGE | Number of deaths, missing and persons affected by water- related disaster per 100,000 people. | | 25% reduction in deaths, missing persons and persons affected by 2030. | 18,15 | early achiever | |
| 5 - | Direct economic loss from water-related disasters | | 25% reduction in economic impacts by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| S | Degree of implementation of enabling environment at all levels | 85,00 | | 89,17 | on-track | |
| UTION | Degree of implementation of establishment and reform of institutions at all levels | 71,30 | | 85,50 | on-track | |
| 6 - GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONS | Proportion of drinking water points having actively functioning water and sanitation committees | | 90 by 2030 | | Information not accessed | |
| E AND | Degree of implementation of management instruments | 90,67 | | 80,33 | on-track | |
| RNANC | National proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation | 72,76 | | 72,76 | Off-track | |
| - GOVE | Degree of implementation of governance mechanisms for integrity and transparency | 75,00 | | 84,00 | on-track | |
| 9 | Percentage of water-related sectoral policies, laws and plans where gender concerns have been taken into consideration | 100,00 | | 100,00 | on-track | |
| ATION CITY | Degree of establishment of national monitoring and reporting system for WASSMO | 54,09 | | 56,80 | Off-track | |
| 7 - INFORMATION AND CAPACITY | Proportion of African monitoring and reporting system reported on by country | 0,11 | | 63,01 | Off-track | |
| 7 - IN AND | Degree of implementation of education and research on water resources management at all levels | | | | Information not accessed | |

ANNEX 3: KEY PROGRESS CHARACTERISTICS

Progress by Themes

According to the report's analysis, the themes with the highest scores are themes 2, 6, 7, and 1. Conversely, the themes that need the most improvement, as indicated by their low scores, are themes 3 and 4



Progress by Sub-Region

The report's analysis indicates that Northern Africa had the highest level of performance, followed by Central Africa and Southern Africa. West Africa and Eastern Africa had lower levels of performance in comparison.




Figure 19:Reported Progress of Member States grouped by AMCOW Sub-Regions

ANNEX 4: GLOSSARY OF POLITICAL COMMITMENTS

Several initiatives have been launched over the years to address the issue of poor access to safe drinking water and improved sanitation facilities. Some of these initiatives have taken the form of political declarations and commitments with specific targets to be achieved. The major initiatives include the following:

- PANAFCON 2003. The African Implementation and Partnership Conference (PANAFCON) was the ground-breaking platform held in Addis Ababa in December 2003, where 40 African Ministers of Water and hundreds of other stakeholders met to address the implication of the outcomes of the World Summit on Sustainable Development on regional water initiatives, and Africa's role in the implementation of the outcomes of the Summit. Among other things, the conference called upon African Heads of State and Governments to commit at least 5% of their national budgets to water and sanitation.
- eThekwini Declaration: This declaration was issued by the African Ministers of Water in February 2008 to urge African Member States to allocate a minimum of 0.5% of GDP to sanitation and hygiene.
- Sharm El-Sheikh Commitment: This declaration was issued by African Heads of State and Governments in July 2008. The Heads of State upheld the eThekwini Declaration on sanitation by the African Ministers of Water, and committed their Member States to renew efforts to implement the eThekwini and other previous declarations on water and sanitation; increase domestic financial resource allocations to water and sanitation; increase resource mobilization for the WASH sector; and strengthen national policy, regulatory and institutional frameworks for water governance. They tasked the Ministers of Water to report on progress towards these commitments on an annual basis.
- The Kigali Action Plan: The Kigali Action Plan, launched by the African Union in 2014, had as its overarching goal to mobilise catalytic funding of at least €50 million as a first step to bringing rural water supply and sanitation services to an additional 10 million people in 10 Member States. The programme, which was agreed upon with the African Development Bank and spearheaded by the Government of Rwanda, was also designed to give priority to water and sanitation in national spending across the African continent.
- The Ngor Declaration on Water Security and Sanitation: In this declaration issued in May 2016 by the African Ministers of Water, the Member States committed to implement past declarations on water and sanitation: prioritize the implementation of programs that seek to extend access to water supply and sanitation such as the Kigali Action Plan; close the infrastructure gap through promoting the implementation of water projects under the Program for Infrastructure Development; and ensure that national targets on investment in water and sanitation are consistent with national sustainable development targets.

AMCOW'S WATER SECTOR MONITORING AND REPORTING SYSTEM

A1.1 Overview of the WASSMO System

The 11th ordinary session of the African Union Summit of Heads of State and Government that took place in July 2008 in Sharm El-Sheikh, Egypt tasked the African Minister's Council on Water (AMCOW) to monitor and report annual progress towards implementation of what has come to be known as the Sharm El-Sheikh Commitments on water and sanitation.

Following the above directive, AMCOW, in collaboration with the African Union Commission, and with financial support from the African Water Facility, developed an African Water and Sanitation Monitoring and Reporting System (WASSMO) in 2016. WASSMO is the first ever continent-wide automated web-based system that exclusively captures data on water and sanitation across the 55 member states of the African Union using harmonized progress indicators. The purpose of WASSMO is to aid decision-making by the AU Heads of State and Government through the creation of a continent-wide credible monitoring and reporting system that regularly provides information on the state of water development on the African continent, and on progress towards implementation of the Sharm El-Sheikh commitments and SDG targets.

WASSMO is an improvement over a preliminary African Water and Sanitation Monitoring & Evaluation System that was developed in 2011-2012 with technical and financial support from GIZ. The present WASSMO System comprises four key elements as follows: (a) framework of targets and indicators; (b) water factsheet; (c) web-based data capture and reporting system; (d) annual reports. These four elements are briefly described below.

A1.2 Framework of themes, targets, indicators, and parameters

This is the core of the WASSMO System. It comprises 7 themes, 28 sub-themes, 44 indicators and 156 parameters for computing indicator values. The seven themes are (1) water infrastructure for growth; (2) managing and protecting water resources; (3) water supply, sanitation, hygiene, and wastewater; (4) climate change and disaster risk reduction; (5) Governance and Institutions; (6) financing; and (7) information management and capacity development.

A1.3 Water Fact Sheet

In addition to the system of themes, sub-themes, targets, and indicators, the WASSMO Framework also includes a set of 36 data points (background water facts) used to provide a general overview of water-related issues in the countries. The country fact sheet is organized under the following 7 themes: (a) socio-economic dimension; (b) water availability; (c) water use; (d) water sanitation and health; (e) water management and regulation; (f) transboundary dimension; and (g) extreme events.

A1.4 Web-based data capture and reporting system

The web-based system serves as a central data repository and information management

system. It is used by Member States to input new data and to access data and information already in the system. The system displays data on indicators in tabular and graphical form and allows for export of data held in the system. An Operational Manual on the system has been prepared and national stakeholders have been trained on use of the system. The system comprises of three components: (a) an updating module that is used by country Focal Points and M&E teams to upload national data using their personal passwords; (b) a reporting module that is accessible to the general public and is used to view and download data and graphic outputs from the system; and (c) an administration module only accessible by the system administrator based at the AMCOW Secretariat.

Other important features of the online WASSMO system include (a) the ability to display data submitted under the previous monitoring round to serve as a guide during data entry in a new monitoring round; (b) the ability to automatically compute indicator values based on input data; this helps to avoid errors in indicator value computation by country staff; (c) allowing for offline use; this can help to overcome challenges of intermittent internet connectivity experienced by some Member States; (d) allowing for designation of different levels of users, some who can create new temporary records, and others ('super users') who can add new records, and make changes, to the permanent database; this allows to designate many persons to enter data at country level, and one officer to be responsible for verifying the accuracy of entered data and its uploaded onto the permanent system.

A1.5 Annual reports

The African Water and Sanitation Sector Reports, also known as the Annual Report on the Implementation of the July 2008 Assembly Declaration on the Sharm El-Sheikh Commitments, are reports jointly prepared by AMCOW and the African Union Commission for the AU Summit of Heads of State and Government. Five annual reports (2013, 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017) have been prepared since the initiation of the African Monitoring and Reporting System around 2010. The current report is the sixth report to the AU summit in the water sector. Regional meetings are usually organized at which country experts review the draft reports before they are presented to AMCOW.

ANNEX 5: CONTRIBUTORS

In this section, we would like to recognise and thank the individuals who have contributed to the development of this report. Their efforts and expertise have been invaluable in the research and writing process, and we are grateful for their contributions.

THE MEMBERS OF THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE:

Ms Ndiyakupi Nghituwamata (Namibia), Mr. Vondou Madi (Cameroon), Mr. Sylvain Guebanda (Central Africa Republic), Mr. Armel Alouna (Congo Brazzavile), Mr. Jocelyn Bouyou Mavoungou (Gabon), Mr. Djasrabe Nguemadjita (Chad), Dr. Adanech Yared Jillo (Ethiopia), Mr. Dismas Karunga (Rwanda), Mr. Robert K.M. Sunday (Tanzania), Mr. Peter Mahal Dhieu Akat (South Sudan), Ms. Gladys Wekesa (Kenya), Mr. Cheikh Zamel (Mauritania), Dr. Ms. Tahani Sileet (Egypt), Engr. Abderrahman Ouasli (Tunisia), Mokhtar F. Radi Salem (Libya), Ms. Bogadi Mathangwane (Botswana), Ms Lindiwe Lusenga (South Africa), Engr. Danjuma Madaki (Nigeria), Col.-Major Oualou Kollou (Cote d'Ivoire), Mr Niokhor Ndour (Senega), Mr. Tabalaba Boureima (Mali), Mr Alusine Sesay (Sierra Leone).

WASSMO FOCAL POINTS WITHIN THE MEMBER STATES:

Linda Hacici (Algeria), Elsa Ramos (Angola), Lucas Lourenço (Angola), Jean-Pierre Bfiogbe (Benin), Ogopotse Batlokwa Pule (Botswana), Ireen Madilola (Botswana), Baki Modou Traore (Burkina Faso), Jeanne francine Nkunzimana (Burundi), Nimfasha Joseph (Burundi), Barreto Da Moura Miguel Angelo (Cape Verde), Pélagie NGO Biemble E. (Cameroon), Christian Ondoua Ayibolo (Cameroon), Marc Simba (Central African Republic), Frank Elvis Matkoss (Central African Republic), Djasrabe Nguemadjita (Chad), Abdou Chakour Chadhouliati (Comoros), Armel ALOUNA (Congo Brazzaville), Christiane Anzan (Côte dIvoire), Jean-Marc Guelabe (Côte d'Ivoire), Nour Usman Moustapha (Djibouti), Ismail Habaneh (Djibouti), Louis Lungu Malutshi (DR Congo), Ms Enas Halim (Egypt), Ahmed Yassin (Egypt), Don Juan de Dios Nzang Mangue (Equatorial Guinea), Mr. Abraham Milash Iyassu Mebrahtu (Eritrea), Makhosini Khoza (Eswatini), Trevor M. Shongwe (Eswatini), Yared Senbete Tadesse (Ethiopia), Victor Boumono (Gabon), Lamin M. Fadera (Gambia), Kwabena Asare Gyasi Duku (Ghana), Abdoulaye Camara (Guinea), Conde Mandiou (Guinea), Fatima K. Assad (Guinea Bissau), George O Ochieng (Kenya), Felix Malachamela (Lesotho), Bobby Whitfield (Liberia), Mokhtar Radi Salem (Libya), Emmanuel Thierry M. Rataovoniaina (Madagascar), Ramah Angelica Aina (Madagascar), Mercy Jalazi Sowoya (Malawi), James Peaches Phiri (Malawi), Damassa Bouire (Mali), Tabalaba Boureima (Mali), Cheikh Zamel (Mauritania), Assane Gaye (Mauritania), Lomush Juggoo (Mauritius), Alcino Luís Nhacume (Mozambique), Ms Agnes Aneke (Nigeria), Ms Ojowu Alice (Nigeria), Mr Alsad Ndayizeye (Rwanda), Ms Estella Tarimo Mgala (Tanzania), Mr Khamada Baye (Niger), Mr Bawa (Togo), Napo Sapolh (Togo), Mr Peter Mahal Dhieu Akat (South Sudan), Alberto Kandido Legge Lommuka (South Sudan), Mr George Mweenda (Zambia), Isabel Miyanda (Zambia), Mr Nesbert Shirihuru (Zimbabwe), Tatenda Mawokomatanda (Zimbabwe), Mr Alcino Luís Nhacume (Mozambique), Egidio Govate (Mozambique), Mr Phillip Tangui (Namibia), Dr Elijah Ngurare (Namibia), Mr Moctar Sall (Senegal), Mr Frankie Dupres (Seychelles), Mr Patrick Amara Ngaojia (Sierra Leone), Augustine Amara (Sierra Leone), Mr Abdukadir Ahmed Abdirahman (Somalia), Ahmed Mohamed Haji Hassan (Somalia), Ms Mabovu (South Africa), Behrmann Dennis Mark (South Africa).

THE AMCOW SECRETARIAT TEAM:

Dr. Rashid Mbaziira, Patrice Danaud Leumeni Noutadieu, Obinna Annah, Dr. Tanko Azzika, Prof. Moshood Tijani, , Emmanuel Uguru, Genevieve Osurgi, Dr. Abdulateef Bello (Consultant).

DESIGN, LAYOUT, AND COPYEDITING:

Kseniia Goniaeva, Eliana Harrigan, Wietske Howell, Athénaïs Georges and Christian Fischer – Water Science Policy gUG (haftungsbeschränkt)